### **AGENDA**

# PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING

TUESDAY, MAY 14, 2024 – 10:00 AM
THIS MEETING WILL BE HELD REMOTELY ON ZOOM AND IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REGISTERING FOR PUBLIC ACCESS TO THIS MEETING
AND FOR SUBMISSION OF PUBLIC COMMENTS ARE LOCATED ON THE PAGE
FOLLOWING THE AGENDA

#### **AGENDA**

- I. Roll Call
- II. Approval of Minutes of the Meeting of April 9, 2024
- III. Executive Director's Report
- IV. Administrative Matters
  - A. Resolution Appointment of Herb Wetzel as Interim Board Chair Replacing Anne Fadullon
  - B. Resolution Setting Date for Election of Board Officers and 11th Board Member
  - C. Amendments to Approved Dispositions
    - 1. Amendment to Resolution No. 2022-38 adopted by the Board on October 11, 2022, which amended Resolution No. 2020-25 adopted by the Board on December 8, 2020 approving the development of twenty-nine (29) single-family homes, to approve the conversion of five (5) market-rate units to five (5) Turn the Key-eligible homes to be sold at 100% AMI for \$280,000.
      - 2037, 2039, 2047, 2050 and 2052 E. William Street (CD 1) BMK Properties, LLC
    - 2. Amendment to Resolution No. 2023-16 adopted by the Board on April 11, 2023, which amended Resolution No. 2022-30 adopted by the Board on September 13, 2022 approving the renovation of six (6) single-family homes, to approve the return of five (5) homes to the Land Bank and the renovation of one (1) single-family home.
      - 759, 761, 763, 773 and 783 Pallas Street (CD 3) West NoMa Revitalization Group LLC
    - 3. Amendment to Resolution No. 2019-35, adopted by the Board on May 15, 2019 for the development of twenty (20) homeownership units and twenty-eight (28) rental units, to approve the following: (1) the cure of the Construction Completion Deadline default; (2) the modification of the proposed development and the completion deadlines to encompass twenty-seven (27) single-family homes to be built in three (3) phases, with fourteen (14) units to be sold at 100% AMI for \$270,000 to \$299,000 to homebuyers

eligible for the Turn the Key Program, and thirteen (13) units to be sold at market rate for approximately \$330,000 to \$349,000.

- 1901-09 and 1911-15 N. 33rd Street (CD 5) Mosaic Berks, LP
- 4. Amendment to Resolution No. 2022-22 adopted by the Board on August 9, 2022, which amended Resolution No. 2021-54 adopted by the Board on November 9, 2021 approving the construction of fifty-seven (57) single-family homes, of which (a) fourteen (14) were to be 1-bedroom, 1-bath affordable units to be sold at 80% AMI for \$150,000; (b) fifteen (15) were to be 3-bedroom, 2-bath affordable units to be sold at 100% AMI for \$250,000; and (c) 28 were to be be 3-bedroom, 2.5-bath units to be sold at market rate, with the sale price estimated at \$440,000. The amendment is to approve the following: (1) the modification of the proposed development to encompass thirty three (33) 3-story townhomes with 3-bedrooms and 2-baths, of which (a) seventeen (17) will be single family homes to be sold at 100% AMI for \$280,000 to homebuyers eligible for the Turn the Key Program and (b) sixteen (16) will be market rate single family homes with garages with an estimated sales price of \$650,000; and (2) the removal of 1926, 1930, 1931, 1936 and 1958 N. Orianna Street from the proposed development.
  - Paseo de Jardines: 32 Parcels 33 units (Reduction of 8 Parcels) (CD 7) TABLED

### **D.** Interagency Transfers

The conveyance of these properties will be for disposition, reuse and/or management by the Philadelphia Housing Development Corporation.

- 1944 W. Westmoreland Street (CD 8)
- 5019 N. Camac Street (CD 9)

#### V. Property Dispositions

### A. Side/Rear Yards

The property below is proposed for conveyance to the following individual applicant as a side yard; the applicant owns and resides in the adjacent home. The property will be subject to a 30-year mortgage and permanently restricted for use as a side yard.

• 2839 N. Orianna Street (CD 7) – Pedro Alejandro Mencia Brito and Aryam Vargas Almonte

#### B. Development – Affordable Housing (unsolicited)

- 1. The properties below are proposed for disposition to Civetta Property Group LLC to develop nine (9) single-family homeownership units in the Fifth (5th) Councilmanic District. The units will be 3 stories, each approximately 1,200 square feet and containing 3 bedrooms and 2 baths. They will be sold to households with incomes at or below 100% AMI with a maximum sales price of \$280,000. The homes will be eligible for the Neighborhood Preservation Initiative's Turn the Key Program and will be subject to a Declaration of Restrictive Covenants. The application was unsolicited and evaluated pursuant to the disposition policy. An EOP plan will apply to this project.
  - 2806, 2903\*, 2907\*, 2913, 2917-19\*, 2925\* and 2937-39\* Cecil B. Moore Avenue
     (CD 5) (\*denotes properties being transferred by the City of Philadelphia and Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority to the Land Bank)

- 2. The properties below are proposed for disposition to BMK Properties, LLC to develop forty-five (45) single-family homeownership units in the Seventh (7th) Councilmanic District. All forty-five (45) single-family homeownership units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 100% AMI with a maximum sales price of \$280,000. The units will be two stories, with finished basements, containing 3 bedrooms and 2 baths at approximately 1,400 SF each. The homes will be eligible for the Neighborhood Preservation Initiative's Turn the Key Program and will be subject to a Declaration of Restrictive Covenants. The application was unsolicited and evaluated pursuant to the disposition policy. An EOP plan will apply to this project.
  - 311 Diamond St; 2219, 2231, 2233, 2235, 2250, 2301 and 2303\* N. Lawrence Street; 2047, 2112, 2128, 2132, 2142, 2146, 2152, 2208, 2214, 2230, 2234, 2238, 2355, 2365, 2405, 2407, 2419, 2423, 2425 and 2433 N. 3rd Street; 1820, 1943, 2236 and 2405 N. 4th Street; 1804, 1806, 2005, 2120, 2122, 2126, 2136, 2148, 2154, 2166, 2211, 2217 and 2225 N. 5th Street (CD 7) (\*denotes property being transferred by the Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority to the Land Bank)
- VI. Public Comment (Old & New Business)
- VII. Adjournment

1234 Market St., 16<sup>th</sup> Floor, Philadelphia PA 19107 phdcphila.org

- MEMORANDUM -

FROM: Andrea Imredy Saah, Esq., Senior Counsel

RE: Philadelphia Land Bank May 14, 2024, Board Meeting

Remote Board Meeting Notice, Public Attendance, and Comment Procedures

**DATE**: May 2, 2024

The May 2024 Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank ("Land Bank") is currently scheduled for Tuesday, May 14, 2024, with the executive session to begin at 9:30 A.M. and the meeting to begin at 10:00 A.M or as soon as the Executive Session has ended. This meeting will be held remotely using Zoom webinar. The meeting is open to public attendees and for public comments and questions.

PLEASE NOTE: <u>To participate in the meeting on your computer, you must register in advance</u>. This requirement is necessary to allow us to collect the names of participants as required by law. Using a computer, tablet or smartphone, use the following link:

https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN uShnioX9SLuhXBEJVc4utQ

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar. Members of the public who join before 10 A.M. will be given access when the meeting begins.

Webinar ID: 863 4196 8429; Passcode: 433530

### To join the meeting by calling in, dial one of the following numbers:

+1 267 831 0333 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 929 205 6099 **Passcode: 433530** 

The Board agenda and package will be available to view no later than five (5) days prior to the Board meeting at <a href="https://phdcphila.org/who-we-are/boards/philadelphia-land-bank-board/">https://phdcphila.org/who-we-are/boards/philadelphia-land-bank-board/</a>. Public comment and questions regarding the matters that are posted on the agenda may be submitted by email prior to the Board meeting and/or in person if attending the Board meeting.

### **Public Comment Prior to Board Meeting:**

Email the following information to andrea.saah@phdc.phila.gov by 3:00 p.m. on Monday, May 13, 2024:

- Your full name and group or company affiliation, if applicable;
- Contact information (your email address);
- Identify the agenda item that you are addressing; and
- State your question/comment in a clear and concise manner.

Questions/comments submitted via email by the 3:00 pm deadline will be summarized at the Board meeting, answered or addressed to the extent the Board chooses, and attached to the minutes of the meeting.

### **Land Bank Public Comment Policy During Board Meeting:**

To submit questions or comments during the Board meeting when public comment on an agenda item is requested by the Board Chair, use the "Raise Hand" function at the bottom of the screen. Questions may also be submitted using the "Q&A" function. Q&A submissions will be attached to the minutes of the meeting.

Individuals will be allowed two (2) minutes for public comment per person per agenda item.

- The Chair reserves the right to limit comments when more than a certain number of people have the same comments on the same matter.
- Staff will assist with timing and muting microphones as needed.

### Rules of Conduct:

- Comments must be related to the specific agenda item in question.
- There will be no personal attacks or hate speech against anyone, including applicants, staff members, Board members or other members of the public.

### **Recording of Board Meeting:**

If possible, the Board meeting will be recorded and made publicly available within thirty (30) days. If you have a question about an agenda item after the meeting concludes, please submit it as described above. To the extent possible, it will be addressed by Land Bank staff or by the Board at the next meeting.

#### PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK

### **APRIL 9, 2024 BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES (DRAFT)**

A Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank was held on Tuesday, April 9, 2024, via Zoom webinar, of which proper notices were given. A condensed certified transcript of this meeting is attached to these minutes as **Exhibit A**.

### Call to Order

Acting Board Chair Andrew Goodman called the meeting to order at 10:03 a.m.

Andrea Imredy Saah, Senior Counsel, made the following announcements:

Today's Board meeting is being held via an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions and comments may be made using the Q&A function or by using the "raise hand" function. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar link, you may ask questions or make comments by pressing \*9 on your phone or using the "raise hand" function. Please do not use the Chat function. If any member of the public has any issues submitting questions or comments, please send an email to <a href="mailto-Andrea.Saah@phdc.phila.gov">Andrea.Saah@phdc.phila.gov</a>. Please note that all questions and comments received by email prior to this meeting or through the Q&A function during the meeting will be included in the minutes. All questions and comments received by 5 pm yesterday were shared with the Board.

Prior to today's Public Session, the Board held an Executive Session during which Mr. Rodriguez reviewed the agenda and discussed the order of review of the agenda items.

### Item I Roll Call

The following members of the Board of Directors reported present: Alexander Balloon, Andrew Goodman, Maria Gonzalez, Michael Johns, Rebecca Lopez Kriss, and Rick Sauer.

The following Board members were absent during roll call: Majeedah Rashid, who had informed Land Bank staff that she might be able to join the meeting later in the morning. Three seats are currently vacant.

The following Land Management staff members were present: Angel Rodriguez, Andrea Imredy Saah, Esq., Robert Spence, Esq., Todd Hestand, Brian Romano, Tracy Pinson-Reviere, Cristina Martinez, and Mathen Pullukattu.

Public Attendees: The list of public attendees follows these minutes.

A quorum of Directors was present, and the meeting, having been duly convened, proceeded with business.

Mr. Goodman announced that an updated agenda was posted online. Item V.B.1. appeared on the initial posted agenda but is being tabled at this time.

The order of the items under Agenda Item V.A. will be switched, and the item listed as V.A.2. will be heard first. The Board currently has a quorum for all other agenda items, but there is not a quorum for agenda V.A.1. One Board member has recused themselves from item V.A.1; moving it to later in the agenda gives Board Member Rashid more time to join the meeting for a quorum to be present when the item is considered.

### Item II Approval of Board Minutes

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the Board regarding the minutes of the Board meeting of March 12, 2024. There were none.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding approval of the minutes.

Ms. Lopez Kriss moved to approve the minutes. Mr. Johns seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the March 12, 2024, Board Meeting minutes.

## Item III Executive Director's Report

Mr. Rodriguez had no Executive Director's report other than to inform the Board that staff is working diligently to get prospective home buyers approved and into homes built on Land Bank land that were approved for participation in the Turn the Key (TTK) program.

### <u>Item IV</u> <u>Administrative Matters</u>

### A. Interagency Transfers

### Item IV.A.1

Mr. Rodriguez requested the Board's approval to transfer property in the 8th Council District to the Philadelphia Housing Authority (the PHA) for the preservation of affordable rental housing. The properties are 6657-59 Blakemore Street; 50, 56, 60-74 and 101 Collom Street; 67, 83, 85 and 87 Church Lane; 45, 47 and 51 E. Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513 and 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951, 6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim Street; and 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46 and 63 E. Wister Street. The properties are being transferred from the Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority (PRA) to the PHA via the Land Bank, and the transfer is being done in collaboration with the 8th Councilmanic District.

Mr. Goodman asked if any public comment was received prior to today's Board meeting on this agenda item. Ms. Imredy Saah responded that nothing was received.

Mr. Goodman asked if the Board had any questions or comments on this agenda item. Mr. Sauer asked the staff to clarify the use of the proposed transfers for the benefit of the public. Mr. Rodriguez explained that the transfer will allow the PHA to seek financing to renovate the properties and preserve them as affordable rental housing. Mr. Johns asked if the background on this disposition

PLB Board of Directors DRAFT Meeting Minutes for April 9, 2024

could be explained to the public. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the properties were formerly known as Germantown Settlement properties. The properties were taken to mortgage foreclosure by Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority (PRA) due to the developer's default under the redevelopment agreement. PRA has since been tasked to provide property management services, but PHA is better equipped to manage the properties and their tenants. Conversations with Council lead to a determination that the properties should be transferred to the PHA.

Mr. Goodman then reviewed the Land Bank Board's meeting public comment rules and called for public comments and questions. Mr. Goodman recognized Greg Paulmier. Mr. Paulmier requested confirmation that the PHA would be taking ownership and continuing to provide low- and moderate-income rental housing for residents in the community. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed that is correct.

Mr. Goodman next recognized Yvonne Haskins. Ms. Haskins expressed displeasure that the properties are being transferred to the PHA and not being made available for homeownership opportunities. Ms. Haskins's full comments can be found at pages 14 to 16 of the attached transcript.

Mr. Goodman recognized Allison Weiss of SoLo Germantown Civic Association. Ms. Weiss believes that community input was ignored, and asked questions about the timing and process for the transfer to the PHA, and when the properties would be available for occupants. Ms. Weiss's full comments can be found at pages 16 to 17 of the attached transcript. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the transfer will receive all necessary approvals in short order, and the deeds will likely be recorded in the next couple of months.

Mr. Goodman noted the properties have been having problems for a long time, and asked whether this transfer would allow the properties to become long-term affordable housing. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed that is the plan. Mr. Johns asked if someone from the PHA would be at the PRA Board meeting to answer questions. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the PHA does not typically send a representative for interagency transfers.

Mr. Sauer asked if the PHA planned for all units to be affordable rentals or if there might be homeownership opportunities. Mr. Rodriguez responded that he does not know PHA's plans, but that PHA has the capacity to acquire, manage, and finance the renovation of the properties. Mr. Sauer asked if there was any community notification for the transfer. Mr. Rodriguez responded there was no notification.

Mr. Johns expressed a desire to hear from the PHA regarding its plans for the properties.

Ms. Gonzalez expressed support for the PHA and its efforts to expand affordable housing in Philadelphia.

Ms. Lopez Kriss asked if there were any alternatives to this transfer while maintaining the units as affordable housing. Mr. Rodriguez responded that he is not aware of any other public or private entity which can both relocate the tenants to alternate affordable housing and manage the renovation and re-occupation of the subject properties. Mr. Johns concurred.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding the interagency transfer. Mr. Balloon moved to approve the interagency transfer and Ms. Gonzalez seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the **Resolution** Authorizing Conveyance of 6657-59 Blakemore Street; 50, 56, 60-74, 101 Collom Street; 67, 83,

85, 87 Church Lane; 45, 47, 51 East Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513, 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951, 6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim Street; 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 63 East Wister Street to Philadelphia Housing Authority (attached to these minutes as Exhibit B).

### Item IV.A.2

Mr. Rodriguez requested the Board's approval to transfer properties in the 3rd Council District to the PHA for the Westpark Choice Neighborhoods application. The properties are 4310R Haverford Avenue and 4419R Market Street. The properties are being transferred from the City to PHA via the PRA and the Land Bank, and the transfer is being done in collaboration with the 3rd Councilmanic District. The properties are remnants of former parcels mostly already conveyed to the PHA in the past. Ms. Imredy Saah worked with the PHA to develop the legal descriptions and addresses, and these transfers will complete the assemblage.

Mr. Goodman asked if these are part of the existing PHA site or if they are adjacent. Mr. Rodriguez responded that they are in the development area but had been left out of conveyances over time. Ms. Imredy Saah added that the properties were assumed to be owned by PHA, but title work identified the City as the actual owner, and this transfer simply memorializes what was always assumed to be the case.

Mr. Goodman asked if any public comment was received prior to today's Board meeting on this agenda item. Ms. Imredy Saah responded that nothing was received.

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the Board. There were none.

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the public. There were none.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding the interagency transfer. Mr. Johns moved to approve the interagency transfer and Mr. Balloon seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the **Resolution Authorizing Conveyance of 4310R Haverford Avenue and 4419R Market Street to Philadelphia Housing Authority** (attached to these minutes as **Exhibit C**).

## Item V Property Dispositions

### A. Development – Affordable Housing (Unsolicited)

Mr. Goodman reiterated the Board would start with item V.A.2 on the posted agenda.

### Item V.A.2

Mr. Rodriguez requested the Board's approval to convey 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 and 2559 North 28th Street in the 5th Council District to Soar City Development Co. to develop five (5) single-family homeownership units. All five (5) single-family homeownership units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 100% AMI with a maximum sale price of \$280,000. The units will be three stories, containing 3 bedrooms and 2 baths at approximately 1,200 SF each. Homebuyers will be

eligible for the Neighborhood Preservation Initiative's Turn the Key Program. The application was unsolicited and evaluated pursuant to the disposition policy. An EOP plan will apply to this project.

Mr. Goodman requested confirmation that the community meeting occurred prior to today's Board meeting. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed that was the case.

Mr. Goodman asked if any comments were received prior to today's Board meeting. Ms. Imredy Saah received four (4) letters of support, which were provided to the Board prior to the meeting. The letters are from Kevin Williams on behalf of Black Squirrel, David Langlieb on behalf of Philadelphia Accelerator Fund, Christopher Pitt on behalf of Pitt Pass Development Group, and Kevin Moran on behalf of ULI Philadelphia. All letters are attached in full to the minutes as **Exhibit D**. They all highlighted the importance of increasing the number of projects awarded to small minority developers.

Mr. Goodman noted that this item was previously before the Board and asked for clarification regarding the changes. Mr. Rodriguez described the design changes, including a set-back third story while maintaining the front façade in accordance with the design overlay.

Mr. Johns commended the architect team on improving the design but raised questions about the accuracy of the fact sheet that was distributed, including the square footage of the units, the number of stories in the units, the gross square footage for the project, and whether there are porches in the plans.

Mr. Rodriguez stated that the developer, Ibraheim Campbell, was participating in the meeting, and requested that he respond. Mr. Campbell clarified that the current plans include three stories but that based on the feedback he received at the community meeting, he had decided to change the plans again to build homes of 1,200 square feet with two stories, without a setback, but with a porch. He had not provided those revised plans to the Land Bank staff.

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the public. Mr. Goodman recognized Bonita Cummings on behalf of Strawberry Mansion Community Concern. Ms. Cummings does not support the project. Ms. Cummings's full comments can be found at pages 43 to 46 of the attached transcript.

Mr. Goodman recognized Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams is from the Strawberry Mansion neighborhood and supports the comments made by Ms. Cummings.

Mr. Goodman recognized David Langlieb. Mr. Langlieb is the Executive Director of the Philadelphia Accelerator Fund, and the Fund supports the project. Mr. Langlieb's full comments can be found at pages 48 to 49 of the attached transcript.

Mr. Goodman and Mr. Rodriguez offered context on the TTK programs. All units in this project will be eligible to receive the \$75,000 soft mortgage and will be coupled with \$10,000 of closing support. Mr. Rodriguez added that the average monthly mortgage payment for a TTK home at \$280,000 approximately \$1,353, which is lower than the average local rent for a 3-bedroom unit.

Mr. Goodman asked whether the Land Bank could adjust its TTK-eligible property dispositions to target a lower AMI. Mr. Rodriguez responded that Turn the Key is governed by the bond issuance documents, and adjusting the terms of the program would require at least Council approval.

Mr. Sauer asked whether a lower target AMI requires a legislative change in light of previous 80% AMI dispositions. Mr. Rodriguez distinguished RFP offerings, which provide the opportunity to set AMI levels, and unsolicited applications, which are governed by the disposition policy and existing legislation. Mr. Sauer next suggested the Land Bank act more strategically in areas with limited land remaining in public ownership. Mr. Rodriguez responded that Land Bank staff already regularly meet with Council and City staff to develop disposition strategies for neighborhoods in which the Land Bank is actively selling property. Mr. Rodriguez also clarified that the Land Bank does not subsidize development. Developer applicants must obtain private financing, and the selected applicants are highly motivated to complete their projects because they have contractual obligations to the Land Bank and financial obligations to their lenders.

Ms. Gonzalez suggested a change to the Land Bank scoring rubric to better favor greater affordability. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the scoring rubric was set by Council ordinance and can only be changed by Council.

Mr. Rodriguez acknowledged Calvin Williams's question "Will PHA advocate for Policy hanges [sic]?" in the Q&A feature. Mr. Rodriguez noted that PHA is not subject to the dispositions policy or ordinance. PHA is a federally chartered entity with its own governance requirements. The disposition ordinance applies to public property dispositions from the Land Bank and Redevelopment Authority to private entities and applicants.

Mr. Goodman and Ms. Imredy Saah responded to the question in the Q&A regarding Board minutes, stating that the video recording is posted as soon as it is available and the draft minutes are posted as part of the next month's Board package.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding the disposition. Mr. Johns moved to approve the disposition, conditioned upon the staff making the corrections to the fact sheet and updating the posted Board package. Ms. Gonzalez seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the **Resolution Authorizing Conveyance of 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 and 2559 North 28th Street to Soar City Development Co.** (attached to these minutes as **Exhibit E**), conditioned upon updates to the fact sheet pertaining to the number of stories, unit square feet, setbacks, and front porches and said revised fact sheet being added to the posted April Board package.

### Item V.A.1

Mr. Goodman noted that a Board member recused herself from the discussion and voting on this item and that the Board therefore did not have a quorum to conduct business on this item at this time. As a result, the item has to be tabled. Ms. Gonzales's recusal letter is attached to these minutes as **Exhibit F**. Mr. Goodman apologized, and stated the Board is working to have the existing vacancies filled to reduce the likelihood of this problem recurring.

## Item VI Public Comment (Old & New Business)

Mr. Goodman asked if any member of the public had old or new business they would like to bring to the Board.

Mr. Goodman recognized Adam Butler. Mr. Butler asked which Board member had to be recused. Mr. Goodman responded that Ms. Maria Gonzalez recused herself. Mr. Butler requested that the Board have a Board member who supported the disposition of Land Bank land for non-development uses.

Mr. Goodman recognized Mo Rushdy, the developer whose application was tabled. Mr. Rushdy asked if a reason was given for the recusal. Ms. Imredy Saah responded that the recusal letter will be included with the minutes, and the letter states that through her position as President of HACE, which is a member of the CEIBA Latino Equitable Development Coalition working in the neighborhood to promote affordable housing, she has an inherent conflict of interest. CEIBA had already expressed its opposition to the proposed disposition that was tabled. Mr. Rushdy asked what differentiates his application from the application in the same neighborhood which included 51 percent affordable housing and 49 percent market rate housing and which Ms. Gonzalez voted to approve. Ms. Gonzalez stated her recusal is consistent with her recusal from previous discussions of the tabled disposition.

Mr. Goodman next recognized Bonita Cummings on behalf of Strawberry Mansion Community Concern. Ms. Cummings asked for clarity regarding the conditional approval. Mr. Goodman asked Mr. Rodriguez to distribute the updated Fact Sheet to the affected RCOs. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed this will be done.

Mr. Goodman next recognized Xiente RCO. Michelle Carrera spoke on behalf of the RCO and asked about the effect of community meetings and community opposition on the tabled disposition. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the purpose of the community meeting is to inform the community about the project and to give community members information prior to the Land Bank Board of Directors making a determination regarding the project. Mr. Rodriguez added that the Board takes all information, including community responses, into consideration when deliberating how to vote on each item.

Mr. Goodman recognized Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams expressed support for righting the wrongs which have been done against his community, and he has no issues with the recusal.

### Item VII Adjournment

Seeing no further comments from the Board or the public, Mr. Goodman called for a motion to adjourn. Mr. Sauer moved to adjourn the meeting and Ms. Gonzalez seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously voted to adjourn at 11:30 am.

NOTE: Any comments and questions submitted via the Q&A function follow the list of attendees attached to these minutes.

SECRETARY TO THE BOARD	

### PUBLIC ATTENDANCE SHEET

PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, April 9, 2024, at 10:00 AM, held remotely using Zoom Webinar.

User Name
Jamila Davis
Mark Coriolan
Abdul-Rahim Muhammad
David Fecteau
Winnie Branton
Mike Tomasetti
Jacquelyn Sims
Strawberry Mansion Community Concern
(Bonita Cummings)
Wadia Gardiner
Mo Rushdy
Allison Weiss
Russell Hicks
Kwame Gray
Logan M
Julian Rios
Mark Lawson
Omaira Santiago
Ibraheim Campbell
Manny Delgado
Candis Pressley
Walter Toliver
David Langlieb
Suzanne Ponsen
Jake Blumgart
Antonio Cerqueira
Sheila Newkirk-Squire
Daniel Galarraga
Kiana Gonzalez
Doris Aldridge
Leah Apgar
Aimee Thomson
David Whitaker
Greg Paulmier
Michelle Carrera
Charlie Huemmler
Rose Gray

Page 1 of 2

### PUBLIC ATTENDANCE SHEET

PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, April 9, 2024, at 10:00 AM, held remotely using Zoom Webinar.

User Name
Dee Dukes
Calvin Williams
Lydia Hurtado
Kevin Moran
Michael Kuehner
Ben Fries
Svitlana Tutova
Conlan Crosley
Ubong Udoekwere
Xiente RCO
Mark Harris
Iliana Dominguez-franco
Sean Ongley
Sloane Folks
Yvonne Haskins
Leslie Fuentes
Dawn Rulli
Kyle Meiers
Rhakeim Miller
Relina Bonilla
Ryan Boyer
Pamela Bracey
Christopher Pitt
Lansana Sylla
Caller 1
Caller 2
Caller 3

Page 2 of 2

### PUBLIC COMMENTS SUBMITTED IN Q&A

## PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, April 9, 2024, at 10:00 AM, held remotely using Zoom Webinar

#	Question	Asker Name	Answer
1	Where is the agenda?	Dee Dukes	https://phdcphila.org/who-we- are/boards/philadelphia-land- bank-board/
2	Where do you get a list of the addresses?	Dee Dukes	It is in the agenda
3	Does this mean they will continue to be for rent only going forward?	Jacquelyn Sims	That will be determined by PHA
4	I totally support the transfer of these property to the Philadelphia Housing Authority	greg paulmier	
5	Will PHA advocate for Policy hanges?	Calvin Williams	This is not a PHA issue
6	where can we access the after meeting minutes?	kiana gonzalez	
7	Sounds like you can only introduce what has been submitted for review on nonsolicited proposals and make no decisions regarding lowering the AMI.	Jacquelyn Sims	
8	How do you apply to be a Board member on Land Bank?	Dee Dukes	Board members are appointd by either the Administration or City Council
9.	What is the status of the 4215, 4217, 4219 & 4221 Brown Street, that the ICPIC New Africa Center submitted and application for in late Nov. 2023?	Abdul-Rahim Muhammad	

### Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

Page 1

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK

- - - - - -

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

DATE: Thursday, April 9, 2024

LOCATION: Zoom Teleconference

REPORTED BY: Jan Brooks, Court Reporter

BOARD MEMBERS: ANDREW GOODMAN, Chair

MARIA GONZALEZ, Board Member

MICHAEL JOHNS, Board Member

ALEXANDER BALLOON, Board Member

RICK SAUER, Board Member

REBECCA LOPEZ KRISS, Board Member

ALSO PRESENT: ANDREA IMREADY SAAH, ESQUIRE,

Board Member

ANGEL RODRIGUEZ, Executive Director

Page 1 through 83

### Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	01/05	7 2 0 2	···
	Page 2		Page
	AGENDA	1	send an e-mail to Andrea.Saah@phdc.phila.gov
	PAGE	2	And I will put that e-mail address in the
Ι.	Roll Call 4	3	chat. Please note that all questions and
II.	Approval of Minutes of Meeting of 7	4	comments received by e-mail prior to this
	March 12, 2024	5	meeting or through the Q & A function during
III.	Executive Director's Report 8	6	the meeting will be included in the minutes.
IV.	Administrative Matters  A. Interagency Transfers  9	7	All questions and comments received by
	A. Interagency Transfers 9  6657-59 Blakemore Street; 50, 56,	8	5:00 p.m. yesterday were shared with the
	60-74, 101 Collum Street; 67, 83, 85	9	Board.
	and 87 Church Lane; 45, 47, 51 East	10	Also, prior to today's public
	Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27,	11	session the Board held an executive session
	5429-43, 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951,	12	during which Mr. Rodriguez reviewed the
	6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim	13	agenda and also we discussed the order of the
	Street; 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 63	14	review of the agenda items.
	East Wister Street.	15	So, that's it. Thank you.
	B. Interagency Transfers 28	16	CHAIR GOODMAN: And can you also
	4310 R Haverford Avenue and 4419 R	17	please do roll call?
	Market Street	18	MS. SAAH: Yes. Andrew Goodman.
V.	Property Dispositions 33	19	CHAIR GOODMAN: Present.
	2502, 2503, 2542, 2552, 2559	20	MS. SAAH: Alexander Balloon.
	North 28th Street	21	MR. BALLOON: Here.
VI.	Public Comment (Old & New Business) 67	22	MS. SAAH: Maria Gonzalez.
VII.	Adjournment 82	23	MS. GONZALEZ: Here.
		24	MS. SAAH: Rebecca Lopez Kriss.
1	Page 3 PROCEEDINGS	1	Page MS. LOPEZ KRISS: I'm here.
2	CHAIR GOODMAN: Good morning,	2	MS. SAAH: Michael Johns.
3	everyone. Thank you for joining us this	3	MR. JOHNS: Present.
4	morning for the April, 2024 meeting of the	4	MS. SAAH: Rick Sauer.
5	Land Bank Board of Directors. My name is	5	MR. SAUER: I'm here.
6	Andrew Goodman and I'm Acting Chair of the	6	MS. SAAH: Majeedah Rashid.
7	Philadelphia Land Bank Board. Thanks again	7	She is currently, just to inform
8	for being with us this morning.	8	everyone, she is currently not present but
9	First we will start off with a	9	will is hoping to join us shortly.
10	message from our attorney about either	10	Thank you.
11	hosting virtual meetings.	11	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Andrea.
12	MS. SAAH: Good morning, everyone.	12	So I will make just a couple quick
13	Today's board meeting is being held by an	13	announcements about some changes and also
14	authorized communication device and is being	14	possible changes to the agenda for the
15	recorded. Questions or comments may be made	15	viewing public just before we proceed.
16	using the Q & A function or by using the	16	So an updated agenda was posted on
17	raised hand function on your screen. If you	17	line but just in case anybody missed it ther
		20000000	was there is one item that is being
18	are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar	18	
19	link you may ask questions or make comments	19	tabled. It was originally listed as Agenda
20	by pressing star 9 on your phone or again	20	Item V B-1. Just to make sure I get that
0.1	using the raised hand function. Please do	21	right, it was five single family home
21	not use the chat.	22	ownership units in the Fourth Councilmanic
22		00	-
	If any member of the public has any issue submitting questions or comments please	23 24	District. That item, just in case there's anybody here today wishing to, you know,

### Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

```
Page 8
                                            Page 6
                                                         has been made and properly seconded to
    observe or comment on that item, that item is
 1
                                                      1
    being tabled.
                                                          approve the Meeting Minutes from March, 2024
 3
              Another change is that we are going
                                                         Land Bank Meeting.
                                                      3
 4
    to switch the order of Section V A of the
                                                     4
                                                                   All in favor?
    agenda, Property Dispositions Development for
                                                     5
                                                                    (Chorus of ayes.)
 5
    Affordable Housing. So we will hear -- the
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?
                                                      6
 7
    one that is listed as No. 2, we will actually
                                                      7
                                                                    (No response.)
    hear that one first. That's an Application
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Great. Thank you.
 8
                                                      8
 9
    Proposal for Five Single Family Home
                                                     9
                                                         The motion passes and meeting minutes are
10
    Ownership Units in the Fifth Councilmanic
                                                     10
                                                         approved.
    District. We will hear that first and then
11
                                                     11
                                                                   We will now move to agenda item
12
    hopefully be able to get to the 45 Unit
                                                     12
                                                         No. 3, our Executive Director's Report.
    Proposal after that.
                                                                   MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Board
13
                                                     13
14
              Though I do want to note while we
                                                     14
                                                         members and public. Today I do not have an
15
    currently -- the Board does currently does
                                                     15
                                                         Executive Director's Report other than say
16
    have a quorum for all the other agenda items,
                                                     16
                                                         that we are still working diligently to get
    we don't currently have a quorum for agenda
                                                         prospective home buyers approved and into the
17
                                                     17
18
    Item V A-1 which is why we are going to, you
                                                     18
                                                         houses we have already approved for turn the
    know, have it be later in the agenda.
19
                                                     19
                                                         key.
20
              We have a Board member that has to
                                                     20
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Great. Thank you,
   recuse themselves from that agenda item which
                                                     21
21
                                                         Angel.
    would take us out of quorum. And so in order
                                                     22
                                                                   We will move to agenda Section IV,
2.3
    to have a quorum in time to be able to hear
                                                         Administrative Matters, IV A, Interagency
                                                     23
    that agenda item, that's why we are going to
                                                     24
                                                         Transfers. So can staff please start with
                                            Page 7
                                                                                                 Page 9
    move it slightly later. But I do want to
                                                         Agenda Item IV A-1?
 1
                                                     1
     just note for everybody here, again, anybody
                                                                   MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Board
 2
                                                      2
    here from the public that is here to observe
 3
                                                     3
                                                         Chair, members of the Board and the public.
    or speak on that item, there is a chance that
                                                                   Today we are asking the Board to
                                                     4
 5
    if we do not have quorum and are not able to
                                                         authorize a transfer of the following
                                                     5
    actually conduct business or conduct a vote
                                                          properties to the Philadelphia Housing
    on that matter we will have to table that
                                                      7
                                                         Authority for disposition, reuse and/or
 7
    agenda item as well. So I just want to, you
                                                         management by the Philadelphia Housing
 9
    know, be up front and transparent for
                                                     9
                                                         Authority, specifically affordable housing in
10
    everybody before we get into, you know, the
                                                     10
                                                         the Eighth Councilmanic District. The
    meat of the agenda. So thank everybody for
                                                         properties are as follows: 6657 to 59
                                                     11
11
                                                         Blakemore Street; 50, 56, 60 through 74 and
12
    your patience.
               So now we will proceed to the next
                                                         1001 Collom Street; 6783, 85 and 87 Church
13
                                                     13
14
    agenda item, and that is Approval of the
                                                     14
                                                         Lane; 4547 and 51 East Garfield Street; 5417,
    Meeting Minutes from the last Board Meeting
                                                         5423 through 27, 5429 through 43, 5513 and
15
                                                     15
    held on March 12, 2024. The minutes were
                                                         5515 Lena Street; 4949 and 4951, 6526 through
                                                     16
17
    recirculated to Board Members ahead of this
                                                         34 Germantown Avenue; 1017 Manheim Street;
                                                     17
     morning's meeting. Hopefully everyone has
                                                          and then 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46 and 63 East
18
                                                     18
19
    had a chance to review.
                                                         Wister Street. All properties are going to
                                                     19
2.0
             MS. LOPEZ KRISS: I make a motion
                                                     2.0
                                                         be transferred by the Redevelopment Authority
21
    that we approve the meeting -- accept the
                                                     2.1
                                                          to the Land Bank.
    meeting minutes.
                                                     22
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel.
22
23
              MR. JOHNS: Second.
                                                     23
                                                                   Andrea, did we receive any public
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Great. A motion
                                                     24 comment in writing on this agenda item in
```

### Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	04/05	7202	•
	Page 10		Page 12
1	advance of today's meeting?	1	I do see a few hands raised so I
2	MS. SAAH: Did not.	2	will remind everybody since we do have a
3	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Thank,	3	robust agenda this month we do have a few
4	Andrea.	4	ground rules for, you know, for public
5	Any questions from the Board about	5	comment. One is that we will call on
6	this agenda item?	6	individual members of the public only once
7	MR. SAUER: Yeah. Angel, for the	7	per agenda item. They will be asked to limit
8	benefit of the public, can you just clarify	8	your comments to two minutes or less. We
9	what the proposed transfers are or how the	9	also ask that people refrain from any
10	properties would be reused upon transfer?	10	personal attacks, be that of members of
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's for the PHA to	11	application teams, staff, Board, et cetera,
12	seek financing to rehab the properties for	12	that people, you know, only comment on the
13	affordable housing, use and management.	13	agenda item, you know, have those be relevant
14	MR. JOHNS: Can you just explain a	14	to the agenda item specifically only, and
15	little background about the project itself?	15	that just noting that we will you know,
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: For those that	16	staff will time and mute people as needed.
17	don't know, the PRA had this goes bank,	17	Thank you, everybody. We hope this
18	well, I don't know how long it went back but	18	allows people to maximize the number of
19	I want to say about a decade. These were	19	people who can comment on any particular
20	formerly Germantown Settlement properties.	20	agenda item and, you know, provide, you know,
21	PRA had issued financing. Due to malfeasance	21	provide important feedback for the Board as
22	on the part of the Germantown Settlement,	22	it makes its decisions.
23	these properties were taken to mortgage	23	So with that, going in the order as
24	foreclosure by the PRA. In one instance I	24	they appear on my screen, I see Greg
		377.70	7 "FF "7 ",
	Page 11		Page 13
1	believe three or four years ago the Land Bank	1	Paulmier. Sorry if I mispronounce that.
2	went to Sheriff's sale and acquired one of	2	Please state your name and proceed with your
3	the Lena Street properties and then	3	testimony.
4	transferred it to the PRA to complete the	4	MR. PAULMIER: Yes. My name is
5	taking of well, the taking back of the	5	Greg Paulmier. I'm a 65 year resident of
6	properties from Germantown Settlement.	6	Germantown. And I just want to make sure
7	Since then PRA has been tasked with	7	that I'm clear on what's happening today
8	providing property management. I think it's	8	regarding the prior Germantown Settlement
9	safe to say here and other areas that PRA is	9	properties. It is my understanding that
10	not really set up to be a property manager or	10	these properties are going to be become
11	a landlord, in which case in conversations	11	the ownership of PHA, Philadelphia Housing
12	with the Councilmanic District and PHA the	12	Authority, and Philadelphia Housing Authority
13	best thing would be to have the properties	13	is going to manage these properties and
14	transferred to PHA, given that they have the	14	continue to make these properties available
15	resources to address the tenant issues as	15	to low and moderate income people in our
16	well as maintenance and providing and getting	16	community.
17	finance for the rehab of the properties.	17	Is that the correct understanding?
18	MR. JOHNS: Thank you.	18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct.
19	CHAIR GOODMAN: Any questions from	19	MR. PAULMIER: Okay. Thanks very
20	the Board?	20	much.
21	(No response.)	21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Next I see Yvonne
22	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. At this	22	Haskins. When prompted, please unmute
23	point do we have any questions or comments	23	yourself, state your name and proceed with
24	from the public on this agenda item?	24	your testimony.
24	Trom one public on onto agenda roem:	2 <sup>4</sup> ±	your ossermony.

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

_			
i i	Page 14	7	Page 16 probably one of the worst landlords in the
1	MS. HASKINS: My name is Yvonne	1	
2	Haskins. Good morning.	2	City.
3	CHAIR GOODMAN: Good morning.	3	Thank you very much.
4	MS. HASKINS: I am confused that	4	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your
5	this is being all of the properties that	5	time. Thanks for joining us this morning.
6	were foreclosed on by the PRA are being	6	I also see A. Weiss. When
7	transferred to PHA?	7	prompted, please unmute yourself, state your
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct.	8	name and proceed with your testimony.
9	MS. HASKINS: That includes all 45	9	MS. WEISS: Yes. Allison Weiss,
10	properties?	10	Solo Germantown Civic Association. And I
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct.	11	have to say that this is very confusing what
12	MS. HASKINS: There was no notice	12	is happening, how it's happening, the time
13	to the community about this and we had we	13	that it's taken to get to this point, the
14	have had five years, or more no, it's	14	time that's been wasted, the condition of the
15	going on six years since we uncovered this	15	properties. This is just why was there no
16	fraud in the community, and hence, we had	16	notice that this was going to be happening?
17	several community meetings. And since the	17	Why is there total disregard for all of the
18	PRA hired someone to do community engagement	18	community meetings that were held with regard
19	and every time we have met people want to	19	to what the community wanted to have happen
20	have not only affordable housing but to also	20	to these properties? And now something
21	have home ownership opportunities. This does	21	totally different is happening. What is the
22	not provide home ownership opportunities if	22	timeline? When will these properties be
23	it's going to PHA.	23	available to have people move in? And when
24	Also, when PHA when the PRA	24	will there been an opportunity for the
1	Page 15 first foreclosed on these properties they	1	Page 17 community to be informed? This is I mean
2	gave these properties to they hired PHA	2	we are glad to see something happening
3	who managed the properties. And I received	3	finally but it's not happening in the way
4	complaints from several tenants who most	4	that we were informed that it would be
5	of the properties are unoccupied. We have	5	happening.
6	had squatters that moved in. And I received	6	A lot of money went into community
7	complaints from several tenants who were not	7	meetings, community engagement, what the
8	getting service, repairs or for problems by	8	community wanted.
9	PHA.	9	And now, and now that's been
10	One property actually complained	10	totally disregarded, in addition to the fact
11	that PHA had sent a crew of people out to do	11	that some of these properties have title
(520) 200	DATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	200	INTERPORT OF BUILDING AND THE RESIDENCE PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUCT
12	the manage to do the repairs. They never	12	issues as was brought up at the last
13	did the repairs. And then this person was	13	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a
13 14	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that	13 14	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church
13 14 15	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were	13 14 15	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have
13 14 15 16	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry	13 14 15 16	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.
13 14 15 16 17	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were  CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you	13 14 15 16 17	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.  But this is just a total surprise
13 14 15 16 17 18	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were  CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you please, you know, complete your finish	13 14 15 16 17	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.  But this is just a total surprise and shock and disregard for the community
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were  CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you	13 14 15 16 17	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.  But this is just a total surprise and shock and disregard for the community process. So I would like to know what the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were  CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you please, you know, complete your finish your question please?  MS. HASKINS: I would object and	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.  But this is just a total surprise and shock and disregard for the community process. So I would like to know what the timeline is.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were  CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you please, you know, complete your finish your question please?  MS. HASKINS: I would object and I'm sure most of the people in this community	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.  But this is just a total surprise and shock and disregard for the community process. So I would like to know what the timeline is.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were  CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you please, you know, complete your finish your question please?  MS. HASKINS: I would object and I'm sure most of the people in this community would object to PHA receiving these	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.  But this is just a total surprise and shock and disregard for the community process. So I would like to know what the timeline is.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your comments and your questions.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	did the repairs. And then this person was called to come in and sign the approval that the repairs were  CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you please, you know, complete your finish your question please?  MS. HASKINS: I would object and I'm sure most of the people in this community	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have an address.  But this is just a total surprise and shock and disregard for the community process. So I would like to know what the timeline is.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

-			
	Page 18		Page 20
1	process directly. But can you comment a	1	property manager in that respect. PHA is.
2	little bit on the kind of the steps on, you	2	CHAIR GOODMAN: Absolutely. Thank
3	know, the PRA side that kind of preceded this	3	you.
4	coming to this, you know, this Board?	4	Any other questions from members of
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So in terms of	-5	the Board?
6	timeline for the transfer, the PRA Board	6	MR. JOHNS: Will you have someone
7	meets tomorrow and they will be meeting to	7	from PHA at the Redevelopment Authority Board
8	talk about the transfer. On the Land Bank	8	Meeting that can answer questions?
9	side we are a little bit more efficient than	9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Typically they
10	PRA. I would just shout out to our Legal	10	for interagency transfers they don't send
11	Department in getting resolutions over. Once	11	anyone.
12	the Board resolves to do the transfer, PRA	12	MR. SAUER: Actually I have a
13	will handle their resolutions.	13	couple other questions. Is the assumption
14	Counsel, we are expecting counsel	14	here that the units will be rehabbed as
15	to approve this. Then PHA has their own	15	affordable rental or do we not know what the
16	process for receiving properties. So but	16	broader if there's any home ownership
17	this would be an Interagency Transfer and fee	17	opportunities that PHA is considering for
18	simple transfer so there wouldn't be, under	18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't know their
19	the Disposition Ordinance there would not be	19	specific plans. What I can talk to is the
20	a development. There would just be a title	20	capacity of PHA to acquire tax credits and
21	transfer to PHA and PHA will work towards	21	4 percents. PHA has a grade model in being
22	acquiring the properties, taking title,	22	able to secure 4 percent tax credits where
23	putting the mandatory developed plans to	23	nobody else can and work with developers to
24	rehab it.	24	actually expedite like development.
1	Page 19 CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.	1	Page 21 Obviously if there's any kind of tax credits
2	Well, obviously this is a saga	2	it will have to be affordable. I think that
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It would take a	3	is their bread and butter. So that will
4	couple of months for the to effect the	4	be have to have a conversation with
5	transfers because the deeds would be an	5	Kelvin. I don't want to speak for him.
6	issue. So the deeds I think given the	6	MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, I understand
7	prior legal, prior legal activity, the deeds	7	that.
8	shouldn't be in question. And the fact of	8	I guess my other question is it
9	the matter is that PRA then legal would just	9	sounds like there's been a community
10	have to transfer it without any consideration	10	engagement process over the last several
11	to the Land Bank. So I don't see this going	11	years. This is sort of slowly winding its
12	on. This probably will be concluded in the	12	way through the process. But maybe there
13	next couple of months.	13	wasn't notification. I don't know if that's
14	CHAIR GOODMAN: And I know this is	14	because it's an Interagency Transfer versus a
15	saga that has been going a for quite some	15	disposition by the Land Bank. But was there
16	time. But is it, I mean is it fair to say	16	any type of community notification beyond
17	that the, kind of the primary motivation	17	this being on the Board, Land Bank Board
18	behind this transfer is to enable this to be	18	Meeting agenda?
19	a site for long-term affordable housing which	19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. So I had
20	is kind of the impetus of this all along,	20	talked to the PRA Board. This kind of
21	right?	21	happened rather quickly.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. I think, you	22	MR. SAUER: I mean it seems like
23	know, as I stated earlier, the Redevelopment	23	there might be some value given the process
24	Authority is not really a landlord or	24	that was already in place to have a
	The state of the s	1000000	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	04,03	0000	
	Page 22		Page 24
1	conversation with the community before the	1	question. Just for clarity sake, since the
2	transfer is done. But I do support the goal	2	public seems to have questions remaining, is
3	of maintaining these units as long-term	3	there any other way that these properties
4	affordable housing, so that's a positive step	4	would be developed for affordable housing?
5	as well.	5	Like is this part of a natural process it
6	MR. JOHNS: Yeah, I agree that it	6	needs to go to PHA or I'm sorry, it needs
7	would be good to have someone from the	7	to go get out of our
8	Authority to have, you know, have some	8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think the issue
9	statement in terms of what it is that they	9	here is the complexity of how the properties
10	plan to do. To Rick's point, will it be	10	were taken and the fact that you have
11	affordable rental or is or will there be	11	occupancy in there. So whomever takes it,
12	opportunities for home ownership? You know,	12	and the actual conditions of the units, you
13	it's been a project that exists, been around	13	need someone who has the wherewithal to
14	for a long time so I know there's a lot of	14	relocate residents at a low affordability
15	interest. You know, the community is very	15	level and then maintain the asset. And that
16	interested in what the ultimate outcome will	16	way, with those considerations I don't think
17	be, so just if we had some statement or	17	there is any nonprofit CDC that has that
18	something from the Authority would be great.	18	capability or capacity to come up really
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I don't have	19	quickly with a relocation plan and then get
20	one but.	20	financing to then rehab the property in a
21	CHAIR GOODMAN: And we certainly	21	timely fashion and relocate the people back
22	know that PHA has a variety of or they	22	in. The PHA does. The PHA has a number of
23	have multiple different pathways to assist,	23	units that they can immediately identify to
24	you know, assist their kind of their	24	put these tenants in a much more better
	Page 23		Page 25
1	clients in getting into home ownership	1	quality housing, either temporarily or
2	opportunities and so hopefully that would be	2	permanently. Then they have the wherewithal.
3	a possibility here as well.	3	They have their the way they are
4	Any other questions from the Board?	4	structured and federally funded, they are
5	MS. GONZALEZ: I just have a	5	better at getting 4 percents which most
6	statement. I think that, you know, as	6	people cannot get and also 9 percents.
7	developers of affordable housing I'm sure	7	And I would just point out to
8	there's going to be many opportunities. I	8	everybody on the Board and the public PHA,
9	don't want to speak for PHA but I'm sure that	9	you know, is doing a hell of a job getting
10	you know, depending on the use of these	10	choice neighborhood grants. I mean you have
11	properties and permitting and all that, there	11	got them in Bartram Gardens, they have
12	will be other opportunities for public	12	already done Blumberg Sharswood, they have
13	comment and for the community to also be able	13	done Eastern North. You know, I think and
14	to speak to what they would like to see in	14	now they are doing West Philadelphia and the
15	their neighborhood. And, you know, I think	15	northern area in West Park. I think this is
	AND ADDRESS OF THE STATE OF THE		
16	we need more affordable housing in	16	a better fit. I think it meets the needs of
16 17	AND ADDRESS OF THE STATE OF THE	16 17	a better fit. I think it meets the needs of the residents who definitely need some
	we need more affordable housing in		
17	we need more affordable housing in Philadelphia, so I really applaud them for,	17	the residents who definitely need some
17 18	we need more affordable housing in Philadelphia, so I really applaud them for, you know, stepping in and saving these	17 18	the residents who definitely need some attention and also the properties need
17 18 19	we need more affordable housing in Philadelphia, so I really applaud them for, you know, stepping in and saving these properties and putting them back in use for	17 18 19	the residents who definitely need some attention and also the properties need attention.
17 18 19 20	we need more affordable housing in Philadelphia, so I really applaud them for, you know, stepping in and saving these properties and putting them back in use for the community.	17 18 19 20	the residents who definitely need some attention and also the properties need attention.  MR. JOHNS: I agree. And I'm not
17 18 19 20 21	we need more affordable housing in Philadelphia, so I really applaud them for, you know, stepping in and saving these properties and putting them back in use for the community.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.	17 18 19 20 21	the residents who definitely need some attention and also the properties need attention.  MR. JOHNS: I agree. And I'm not bias but I agree.
17 18 19 20 21 22	we need more affordable housing in Philadelphia, so I really applaud them for, you know, stepping in and saving these properties and putting them back in use for the community.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments	17 18 19 20 21 22	the residents who definitely need some attention and also the properties need attention.  MR. JOHNS: I agree. And I'm not bias but I agree.  MR. BALLOON: Thank you so much for

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

_	<u> </u>		
1	Page 26 the public feedback, I think there's some	1	Page 28 Authority, specifically affordable housing in
2	important conversations that need to happen	2	the Third Councilmanic District. These
3	with PHA about the future of the properties	3	properties are 4310 Rear Haverford Avenue and
4		4	
5	but I'm not sure that they can be answered		4419 Rear Market Street. Both properties are
6	here by the Land Bank today.	5	being transferred from the City
7	MS. GONZALEZ: I just wanted to add	7	Redevelopment Authority to the Land Bank.  As background, this is part of the
8	this is I guess Step 1. Acquisition is, you	8	
9	know, really important in order to formulate a development plan that meets the needs of	9	Choice Neighborhood initiative in West Park.  These were remnants that did not have a legal
10		10	description. The Land Bank legal team,
11	the community.	5050000	
12	And also, I just wanted to comment	11 12	Andrea specifically worked with PHA to get a
13	as it relates to relocation. Yes, PHA has		legal description, appropriate legal
03805507	the capacity to be able to absorb, you know, and be able to relocate those families. And	13	description and address so that we could do
14		14	this transfer. This will now complete the
15 16	also, they are held to a higher standard	15 16	assemblage and allow PHA to complete I
8626230	because they are, you know, governed by HUD,	2000.000	think they have already submitted their
17	you know, as it relates to making sure that	17	application to HUD but for a large scale
	no one is displaced and, you know, they are	18	affordable housing, I believe it's a mixed
19	taken care of, and also, having the flexibility to come back which no other	19 20	income, correct, Andrea, in West Park area.
21	developer, unless you really have a large	21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yes, I believe there is some yes, there's some mixed
22		22	income components, though their first
23	number of units, will be able to absorb that.	23	applications are entirely affordable.
24	CHAIR GOODMAN: All right. With	24	
24	that, seeing no other comments or questions	24	And just a clarification, since
	Page 27		Page 29
1	from the Board, is there a motion?	1	these were kind of addressless parcels for
2	MR. BALLOON: I move that we	2	some time, are these pieces of land that were
3	approve the Interagency Transfer.	3	essentially effectively already being used by
4	MS. GONZALEZ: I second.	4	PHA as part of the existing site or do they
5	CHAIR GOODMAN: A motion has been	5	just happen to be right next to the existing
6	made and properly seconded to approve the	6	PHA site?
7	Interagency Transfer from Philadelphia Land	7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: They are actually
8	Bank to the Philadelphia Housing Authority.	8	in the development area that already had
9	All in favor please say aye.	9	been, you know, part of PHA's application.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)	10	So these are like remnants they found out
11	CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?	11	like, you know, okay, these were there
12	(No response.)	12	might have been a combination at some point.
13	CHAIR GOODMAN: The ayes have it	13	But either way, these parcels did not we
14	and the Interagency Transfer is approved.	14	couldn't find them in any legal description
15	Moving on to our next agenda item	15	for conveyance so, therefore, first we had to
16	is another Interagency Transfer proposal to	16	identify legally what it was. Then once we
17	the Philadelphia Housing Authority.	17	did that, we had to go through the process of
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,	18	getting an address to convey it. So now PHA
19	Mr. Chair.	19	will legally have access and ownership of
20	Today we are asking the Board to	20	those remnants. They are just open. It's
21	authorize the transfer of the following	21	just go ahead, Andrea.
	addictize the transfer of the following		2 0
22	properties to the Philadelphia Housing	22	MS. SAAH: Yes. If I can give a
22 23		200000000	MS. SAAH: Yes. If I can give a little more background. These were actually
	properties to the Philadelphia Housing	22	-

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	*		
3527	Page 30	225	Page 32
1	are remainders they were basically	1	CHAIR GOODMAN: The motion has been
2	properties that PHA had conveyed to the City	2	made and properly seconded to approve the
3	and then the City had subdivided and conveyed	3	Interagency Transfer of 4310 R Haverford
4	some of it to the Nehemiah Way Development	4	Avenue and 4419 R Market Street to the
5	but also back to PHA. And so it was always	5	Philadelphia Housing Authority.
6	assumed that all of it had been conveyed.	6	All in favor?
7	But then we discovered that there were two	7	(Chorus of ayes.)
8	parcels that had not been conveyed back to	8	CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?
9	PHA and so we are just basically	9	(No response.)
10	memorializing what had always been assumed	10	CHAIR GOODMAN: The ayes have it.
11	was already the case.	11	The motion carries and the Interagency
12	CHAIR GOODMAN: Got you. Thank	12	Transfer is approved.
13	you, thank you. Super helpful. Thank you	13	Moving on to Section V of our
14	for that extra context.	14	agenda, Property Dispositions, Subsection A.
15	And did we receive any public	15	And as a reminder, we are going to start with
16	comment in writing about this agenda item	16	the second agenda item listed in the
17	ahead of this morning's meeting?	17	published publicized meeting agenda, a
18	MS. SAAH: We did not.	18	proposal for five single family home
19	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Thank you.	19	ownership units in the Fifth Council
20	Any questions from the Board about	20	District.
21	this agenda item?	21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,
22	(No response.)	22	Chairperson.
23	CHAIR GOODMAN: All right. Seeing	23	Today we are asking the Board to
24	none, are there any questions or comments	24	authorize the disposition of the following
1 2	from the public on this agenda item? Page 31 If so, please raise your virtual zoom hand. And	1 2	Page 33 properties to Soar City Development Company to develop five single family home ownership
3	again, please be reminded please limit your	3	units in the Fifth Councilmanic District.
4	comments to this specific agenda item, i.e.,	4	All five single family home ownership units
5	the Interagency Transfer for these two	5	will be sold to households with incomes at or
6	properties along Haverford Avenue and Market	6	below 100 percent of AMI with a maximum sales
7	Street.	7	price of \$280,000. The units will be two
8	I see Ms. Yvonne Haskins. Please	8	stories containing three bedrooms, two
9	unmute yourself, state your name and proceed	9	baths correction. They will be three
10	with your comments or questions.	10	stories. There's a setback on the third
11	(No response.)	11	story. Three bedroom, two baths,
12	(NO lesponse.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Perhaps it	12	approximately 1,200 square feet. The home
13	was a raised hand in error. Okay.	13	buyers will be illegible for the Neighborhood
14	Are there any other members of the	13	Preservation Initiatives Turn the Key
15		15	3.2
16	public who wish to ask questions or give	16	Program.  The application was uncelligited and
17	comments on this Interagency Transfer agenda item?	17	The application was unsolicited and evaluated pursuant to the Disposition Policy
18		18	
19	(No response.)	19	and Ordinance. An EOP Plan will apply to
177	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Seeing none, I will entertain a motion from the Board on		this project. There will also been an
20	I WILL ENCERCAIN A MOULON LION CHE BOARD ON	20	irrevocable Power of Attorney applied to
20		24	thic
21	this agenda item.	21	The properties are: 2502 2502
21 22	this agenda item.  MR. JOHNS: Motion to approve the	22	The properties are: 2502, 2503,
21	this agenda item.		

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

1			
	Page 34		Page 36
1	City of Philadelphia to the Land Bank through	1	that has worked with Mr. Campbell to help him
2	the Redevelopment Authority.	2	develop his project. And basically they are
3	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel.	3	all very much in favor of approving this
4	And can you confirm that the	4	project for this development.
5	that an RCO meeting took place ahead of this	5	And all of the letters were
6	morning's Board Meeting?	6	provided to the Board and they will all be
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: The meeting	7	included in the minutes to this meeting. I
8	happened.	8	cannot read every single letter. They are
9	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yes.	9	rather long. But they are very strongly in
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: RCO members were	10	support. We did not receive any e-mails
11	present.	11	either for or against e-mails from
12	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, thank	12	community members either for or against the
13	Service (A)	13	project.
14	you.  MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, I confuse	14	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Thank you
15	± ···	201000	
	the two.	15	for that summary, Andrea.
16	CHAIR GOODMAN: No, no, no. Got	16	And just to clarify for kind of
17	you. You got to use the right terminology	17	refreshing memory, this was, this was a
18	always, always.	18	proposal to come before this Board a couple
19	Andrea, did we receive any comments	19	meetings ago. And there were there have
20	from the public in writing on this agenda	20	been some correct me if I am wrong
21	item ahead of this morning's meeting?	21	design revisions since that, since that
22	MS. SAAH: Sorry. I couldn't get	22	meeting, right.
23	back to the screen.	23	Angel, can you kind of give a quick
24	Yes, we did. Let me pull them up.	24	summary of what those are?
-	Page 35	1	Page 37
1	We received a letter of we received four	1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they
2	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City	2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third
2	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black	2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There
2 3 4	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The	2 3 4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out
2 3 4 5	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass	2 3 4 5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that.
2 3 4 5	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David	2 3 4 5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put
2 3 4 5	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And	2 3 4 5 6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel.  Any questions or comments from the Board?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and brown community development financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think I was the one that had most of the comments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and brown community development financial institutions to meet the demand for the capital.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think I was the one that had most of the comments related to the land the way that the plans laid out. It is a much better plan, liveable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.  And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and brown community development financial institutions to meet the demand for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?  MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think I was the one that had most of the comments related to the land the way that the plans

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

		i	
1	Page 38 sheet. We just have to make sure that the	1	Page 40 expressed in trying to kind of, you know,
2	fact sheets are accurate. And I'm glad Angel	2	meet their concerns. There was a revision
3	mentioned the change to the three story, two	3	made to two story and but as it stands,
4	story to three story because that was one of	4	yes, three story is where it is.
5		5	
6	the things that I saw.	6	MR. JOHNS: So it is three story
7	Also, it says approximately 1,200	7	with the third story is set back to comply
8	square foot each. But when I calculate the	8	with zoning. Is that what I'm hearing?  MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.
9	dimensions of the site in parcel I get 1,336	9	
19600	for the unit. So I don't know if they can	2002	MR. JOHNS: Okay, all right. So
10	explain what the difference is.	10	this is the correct plan that we have in
11	There's also on the development	11	front of us. That's I'm just trying to
12	fact sheet Page 72 it says 5,000 square feet	12	get that straight.
13	gross. It should be 6,000. But the numbers	13	MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, but there were
14	actually create correctly to the 6,000 based	14	multiple versions. That may be why. You
15	on 1,200 square feet. And there's no porch	15	know, you may have the fact sheet from
16	shown in the plan and that should be shown.	16	another version of the design. That's all.
17	If someone could just explain what's the	17	MR. JOHNS: Okay. Well, Angel, is
18	difference between the 1,336 and the 1,200.	18	this we are assuming this is the correct
19	And is it the construction or what, other	19	one, right?
20	framing to the existing property lines or	20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. But we
21	what? Because there is a difference.	21	could what I would suggest is we have made
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't know if the	22	note of your questions and I would ask, if
23	developer is on the call, on this meeting.	23	possible, getting a provisional approval
24	CHAIR GOODMAN: Ibraheim Campbell	24	until we like correct this. I think we I
1	Page 39	1	Page 41
1 2	has raised his hand.	1 2	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were
2	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank	2	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct
2	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the	2	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure
2 3 4	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community	2 3 4	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.
2 3 4 5	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.	2 3 4 5	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to
2 3 4 5 6	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question,	2 3 4 5 6	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another
2 3 4 5 6 7	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because	2 3 4 5 6 7	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.  MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.  MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this  MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.  MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this  MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.  MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this  MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion.  MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you.  MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.  MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this  MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion.  MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three story, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you.  MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you know, as we are presenting, you know, for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.  MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this  MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion.  MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three story, correct?  MR. CAMPBELL: So the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you.  MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you know, as we are presenting, you know, for a resolution, I think it will be important to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has raised his hand.  MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.  But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.  MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this  MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion.  MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three story, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.  MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you.  MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you know, as we are presenting, you know, for a

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	Page 42		Page 44
1	footage, how many stories. And I think going	1	is everyone this morning?
2	forward I think it will be important to make	2	I am an affected resident of
3	sure that the information that we get is very	3	Strawberry Mansion as well as an affected
4	clear so we know what we are approving and	4	RCO. And the last drawings I saw were hand,
-5	there's, you know, there's no	5	some kind of hand revision. Then later we
6	misunderstanding, you know, within what we	6	did get something that may look like whatever
7	are approving and also in what the community	7	you all are looking at right now and I'm not
8	is expecting to be built.	8	really sure. Strawberry Mansion also has an
9	MR. JOHNS: And lastly, please if	9	overlay and the elevation, we are not clear
10	we can make sure I know this is ad	10	whether it's in violation of that or not,
11	nauseam to for the developers to	11	whether it's set back or not.
12	include furnishings, furniture on the plans	12	I also just wanted to indicate that
13	so, you know, we can accurately kind of see	13	words do matter. And I think it's very
14	what fits, whether it you know, bedrooms,	14	heartfelt and harmful when in particularly
15	which I know that this is legitimate sized	15	this case people keep talking about black and
16	rooms but, you know, if you don't show it and	16	brown people and never really came to our
17	you have got some smaller units, you don't	17	community to really, you know, work with us
18	show furniture, people in general can't	18	and talk with us.
19	necessarily know, you know, does this fit a	19	And these words keep being moved
20	bedroom, can a family, you know, be here.	20	around in this whole idea of affordable
21	But this, the plan that we have in	21	housing and that is not what we see. You got
22	front of us works for me. It looks good.	22	four letters of people who don't even know
23	CHAIR GOODMAN: So then just to	23	that neighborhood. You know, like we got
24	make sure, you know, for consistency that I	24	this we support Black Squirrel/Ibraheim
n	Page 43	-	Page 45
1	have got it right for what is being proposed	1	Campbell process but you don't have anything
2	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story,	2	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other
2	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback	2	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are
2 3 4	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.	2 3 4	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of
2 3 4 5	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?	2 3 4 5	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that
2 3 4 5	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the	2 3 4 5 6	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all
2 3 4 5 6 7	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called black and brown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.  The first hand I see is Strawberry	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called low income people in harm's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.  The first hand I see is Strawberry Mansion. When prompted, please unmute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called black and brown people, so-called low income people in harm's way of a \$280,000 mortgage, that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.  The first hand I see is Strawberry Mansion. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and proceed with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called black and brown people, so-called low income people in harm's way of a \$280,000 mortgage, that these properties are suitable to starter homes or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.  The first hand I see is Strawberry Mansion. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and proceed with your comments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called black and brown people, so-called low income people in harm's way of a \$280,000 mortgage, that these properties are suitable to starter homes or homes long term.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.  The first hand I see is Strawberry Mansion. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and proceed with your comments.  MS. CUMMINGS: Can you hear me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called black and brown people, so-called low income people in harm's way of a \$280,000 mortgage, that these properties are suitable to starter homes or homes long term.  So I just wanted to say that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have got it right for what is being proposed on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.  The first hand I see is Strawberry Mansion. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and proceed with your comments.  MS. CUMMINGS: Can you hear me?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Loud and clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called black and brown people, so-called low income people in harm's way of a \$280,000 mortgage, that these properties are suitable to starter homes or homes long term.  So I just wanted to say that it really is a hardship really to communities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on each of these addresses is three story, 1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback and porch.  Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?  MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the current proposal that is being presented, correct.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from the Board?  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or comments from any members of the viewing audience? If so, please raise your virtual hand and please limit your comments to two minutes or less.  The first hand I see is Strawberry Mansion. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and proceed with your comments.  MS. CUMMINGS: Can you hear me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Campbell process but you don't have anything from the community. And if there were other meetings again, these meetings are haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of the people including the process of RCOs that should be notified to make sure that we all are in agreement and that we all see the same thing.  Again, these non basement type of properties make and reduce those homes, particularly two which are only 900 square feet to approximately 700 square foot. You all are assuming that there could even be a sale of these houses for that block. Like there's no real accountability of how we are even putting so-called black and brown people, so-called low income people in harm's way of a \$280,000 mortgage, that these properties are suitable to starter homes or homes long term.  So I just wanted to say that it

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

_			· (A)
	Page 46		Page 48
1	terminologies, you know, words that really	1	Next I see David Langlieb. When
2	mean nothing because none of those people,	2	prompted, please unmute yourself, state your
3	none of that support could tell you anybody	3	name and proceed with your comments.
4	they even knew in that community. They have	4	MR. LANGLIEB: Thank you, Andrew.
5	not worked with us in any real capacity and	5	This is Dave. I'm the Executive Director of
6	they are using their banks and different	6	the Philadelphia Accelerator Fund.
7	things to just kind of bully this Board,	7	I did provide a letter of support
8	other boards and members of our elected body.	8	for this project or signed a letter of
9	So I just think we need to be more	9	support and wanted to reinforce that and also
10	accountable. This project is really wishy	10	sport Ibraheim Campbell as a developer.
11	washy. We don't know what they're building.	11	Ibraheim's project will create five new home
12	There, again, like as I said, there's an	12	ownership opportunities for Philadelphia
13	overlay. Does that, does throwing a third	13	residents, will be benefiting from Turn the
14	roof or a third height or elevated height	14	Key subsidy, and as such, will be able to own
15	violate that?	15	their homes for considerably less than it
16	CHAIR GOODMAN: Two minutes has	16	would cost to rent a comparable three bedroom
17	passed so can you wrap up your comments?	17	residence.
18	MS. CUMMINGS: Thank you. I have	18	Ibraheim grew up in Philadelphia,
19	said what I had to say. Thank you.	19	is committed to investing in the City. The
20	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Thank	20	disposition proposed here will enable him to
21	you for taking the time.	21	scale out his Affordable Housing Development
22	And, you know, certainly do know	22	activities. He's one of several successful
23	that I mean any member, any member of the	23	graduates of the Philly Rise Development
24	public is, you know, welcome to attend these	24	Accelerator Program which we at PAF here are
	an and		500 500
1	Page 47 meetings, give comment, also can bring	1	Page 49 excited to support as well. And we also
2	comment ahead of time. But, you know,	2	provided a term sheet for the project's pre
3	certainly trust and believe and know that	3	debt and expect that we will be able to
4	this Board does pay, you know, very close	4	partner with the reinvesting fund on a full
5	attention to kind of, to the origins of the	5	project financing. I think support for
6	comments, right, especially as you know,	6	developers like Ibraheim from the Accelerator
7	especially with, you know, residents and	7	Fund is designed to leverage the requisite
8	community stakeholders in the neighborhood	8	construction debt, ultimately enable Soar
9	where the, you know, where the application is	9	City to attract bank financing and to build
10	located in. So thank you for that.	10	more quality affording housing throughout
11	Next I see Calvin Williams.	11	Philadelphia.
12	Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute	12	Thank you for your time.
13	yourself, state your name and begin your	13	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And,
14	comments.	14	you know, just to clarify, even though I know
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Good	15	it does come up frequently at these Board
16	afternoon, all. I just want to put my	16	meetings but, you know, showing that these
17	support around I am Calvin Williams with	17	properties are eligible to participate in the
18	Strawberry Mansion also. I would like to put	18	City's Turn the Key Program, you know,
19	my support around the community concerns,	19	does provides first-time home buyers with
20	comments, and I will limit it to that. I	20	the opportunity for a soft second mortgage up
21	fully support the comments made there.	21	to \$75,000. So I know it, you know, comes up
22	Thank you.	22	frequently where the maximum sale price on a
23	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Thank	23	land disposition, you know, is much higher
24	you for your time.	24	than what the actual kind of obligation is to

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

2			
	Page 50		Page 52
1	the first-time home buyer thanks to the soft	1	I think kind of disconnect between, you know,
2	second as well as a variety of other	2	what the land disposition, you know, agenda
3	assistance programs for first-time home	3	item says the maximum sales price versus the
4	buyers that the City is able to bring to the	4	kind of true and genuine income and kind of
5	table.	5	obligation to whoever the first-time home
6	So I know it's obviously become a	6	buyer is.
7	pretty common discussion topic at these Board	7	So I mean I guess since it has come
8	meetings because of all the Turn the Key	8	up, I mean seeing, seeing that Turn the Key
9	related dispositions. But since it's the	9	was actually becoming beneficial to so many
10	first agenda item on that topic this month I	10	people at lower AMIs than what the land
11	just wanted to raise that up as well.	11	disposition is being sold for, has there been
12	Angel, I saw you unmuted. Did you	12	any conversation to kind of right size, for
13	have any comments on that?	13	lack of a better word, in terms of adjusting
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, just to give	14	maximum sale prices or AMI thresholds to be
15	some context. The Turn the Key Program would	15	lower since that is the target for you
16	provide \$75,000 at this point plus it would	16	know, since that is where the kind of prime
17	be coupled with an additional 10,000 of, you	17	opportunity for Turn the Key is and that's
18	know, closing support. All of our partner	18	where the average home buyer is coming in at
19	banks provide at a minimum \$10,000 of a	19	so far anyway?
20	product for that property owner. Just so we	20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think that's a
21	are really clear, you know, what we are	21	bigger conversation because, again, the
22	seeing in closings, the average monthly	22	funding is coming from a bond issuance which
23	payment, mortgage payment for Turn the Key	23	is tied to an ordinance which caps again,
24	recipients are 1,353. Typically it's, you	24	this is a cap to actually not every
	Page 51		Page El
1	Page 51 know, much less than the local rent for a	1	Page 53 council district is the same, not every
1 2		1 2	
-	know, much less than the local rent for a		council district is the same, not every
2	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what	2	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why
2	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer	2	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So
2 3 4	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the	2 3 4	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being
2 3 4 5	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We	2 3 4 5	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a
2 3 4 5	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have	2 3 4 5	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process
2 3 4 5 6 7	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent	2 3 4 5 6 7	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI  MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously we know there's a whole bunch of other steps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI  MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.  MR. SAUER: since the Second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously we know there's a whole bunch of other steps after that to actually bring something to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI  MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.  MR. SAUER: since the Second District. So I'm not sure it needs a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.  So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.  CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously we know there's a whole bunch of other steps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.  Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI  MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.  MR. SAUER: since the Second

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

1			
	Page 54	20	Page 56
1	to distinguish, Rick, is that this an	1	more strategically around what type of
2	unsolicited application. So the legislation	2	affordability we want to make in those
3	states if it's going to qualify for Turn the	3	neighborhoods and be a little bit more
4	Key funding, the maximum is 100 percent of	4	proactive on that front.
5	AMI. So it's two separate issues. There was	5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think you're
6	one the disposition of land and development	6	assuming we don't. I think we have regular
7	and then the qualifications of the Turn the	7	meetings with Council Offices about what's
8	Key home buyer. So the average of the Turn	8	happening and what the plans are. I think
9	the Key home buyer probably wouldn't change	9	part of it is, you know, how do we, you know,
10	because, you know, that's what we are seeing	10	put together RFPs and then how do we, you
11	in terms of approval.	11	know, post them. I do have regular meetings
12	What you are talking about in the	12	with Council Offices and their different
13	Second District was a conversation and a	13	concerns in different areas as to what could
14	like a distinct and deliberate decision on	14	be built, whether you can get a mortgage.
15	the part of Council President Johnson to	15	I think a lot of people have this
16	actually set the AMI at 80 percent because of	16	idea that you can just develop anywhere where
17	the impact of development in his district.	17	there's vacant land. Well, if you don't have
18	So that's why we have it set at 250 as a	18	comps you can't really do a kind of Turn the
19	maximum.	19	Key Program because you would be under water.
20	So an RFP is different from an	20	And again, I just want to like just so a
21	unsolicited application. So I just want to	21	finer point for this Board to understand, the
22	distinguish that. So as the Land Bank like	22	Land Bank does not provide subsidy to
23	issues RFPs, we do that in conjunction with	23	developers. We discount the land to help
24	the Council office to make sure we are	24	with the pro forma. The Turn the Key dollars
	Page 55		Page 57
1	Page 55 addressing those neighborhood issues. But,	1	Page 57 are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So
1 2		1 2	
75354	addressing those neighborhood issues. But,	0.015	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So
2	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited	2	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of
2	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation	2	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we
2 3 4	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says	2 3 4	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner
2 3 4 5	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development	2 3 4 5	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not
2 3 4 5	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at	2 3 4 5 6	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in
2 3 4 5 6	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home	2 3 4 5 6	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.  MR. SAUER: Very helpful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.  MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.  MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.  MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm not talking necessarily in the Strawberry	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has.  What you are seeing here, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.  MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm not talking necessarily in the Strawberry Mansion case here. But in neighbors going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has.  What you are seeing here, the benefit of Turn the Key is that imagine a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.  MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm not talking necessarily in the Strawberry Mansion case here. But in neighbors going through transition where there's a limited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has.  What you are seeing here, the benefit of Turn the Key is that imagine a doughnut and in the doughnut all the market
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.  So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.  MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm not talking necessarily in the Strawberry Mansion case here. But in neighbors going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.  So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has.  What you are seeing here, the benefit of Turn the Key is that imagine a

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	04/09	, 202	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 58 hole. We have the land and we can benefit from the comps and we are capping the sales price. So it's built-in equity. It allows developers to come in and get construction financing and then provide the home buyer where we are seeing development timelines in less than I think Pastor Allen in the Third District, they actually built single family homes in three months, which is ridiculously fast, and we are looking at home buyers like to move into those properties. So we are actually getting product up I would say on average, you know, five months, six months.  And I really would ask this Board to go visit some of these properties because they are really well made. And what you're seeing is this is a perfect partnership where we are providing land, they have to use the private market for financing, and then they have to build it because they have the development obligation to us but also to the bank. And they are highly motivated.  MS. GONZALEZ: So going back to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 60 is we follow the ordinance which has a rule of law. So I appreciate what you are saying but that is a legislative change, and until that happens we have to utilize the scoring rubric that is part of the disposition.  CHAIR GOODMAN: We know the threshold criteria rubric is the rubric. But we also know that within the definition, within the definition of how the code, how the code defines affordable housing for noncompetitive disposition there is a range, right. And while you cannot control applications that are unsolicitedly that's not a word but unsolicitedly submitted to the Land Bank you staff does have the ability to run you know, conduct analyses, market trends in particular neighborhoods as we know, you know, we know they do to see how particular proposals line up with affordability and mortgage ability.  CHAIR GOODMAN: We can do that but we can't change or operate outside the ordinance.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Of course.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one.  MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So the Council in 2020 legislated the scoring rubric for solicited and unsolicited. So to your point, it would require a legislative change. A bill would have to be introduced and the supporting rubric would have to be changed.  So how we operate at the Land Bank	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 61  MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And  nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable.  MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because  MS. GONZALEZ: I understand.  MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the Board for consideration as it pertains to the law and the ordinance.  What you are talking about is a deeper discussion with Council District and with the administration because it's how do we do it on a consistent basis and every neighborhood is different. And until that

### Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

```
Page 62
                                                                                                Page 64
    happens what we are left with is we have to
                                                          the City, the Redevelopment Authority and
 1
                                                      1
2
    look at what the ordinance says and what the
                                                      2
                                                          Land Bank. That's what the ordinance covers.
3
    scoring rubric is.
                                                      3
                                                                   CHAIR GOODMAN: I see another
              I also have a different filter is
                                                          question in the Q & A box. Minutes are
 4
                                                      4
    that I want to protect this agency from any
 5
                                                      5
                                                          posted on the Land Bank Board website via
    undue lawsuits which we have been subject to
                                                          phdcphila.org every month. So looking out
 6
     lawsuits about if we do things differently.
 7
                                                      7
                                                          for that, keep a look out for that in a
     So I just want to be really clear that what
                                                          couple weeks.
 8
                                                      8
    you are talking about is a legislative issue.
                                                     9
9
                                                                    Andrea, yes.
              MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. And I
10
                                                     10
                                                                   MS. SAAH: I was just to about to
    think again based to what Andrew just said,
                                                         answer that question as well. In the Q & A
11
                                                     11
12
    there is a range as well and no one is saying
                                                     12
                                                          and -- so the video recording of each session
     to go outside within the legislation.
                                                          is posted within a few days. As soon as we
13
                                                     13
14
              And another thing is yes, the Land
                                                     14
                                                          receive it it's posted on the Board webpage,
    Bank does not subsidize developers but, you
                                                          which is at phdc -- we can put it in the
15
                                                     15
16
    know, there is an economic benefit that they
                                                     16
                                                          chat. And then the other -- the minutes are
     are benefiting from as well because otherwise
                                                          posted as part of next month's Board package,
17
                                                     17
    they wouldn't be doing it either. So I think
                                                         the draft minutes. They are not posted prior
18
                                                     18
     the discount of the land in of itself it is a
19
                                                     19
                                                          to that. But you can always review the Board
20
    benefit, so.
                                                     20
                                                         Meeting recording.
21
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm not disagreeing
                                                     21
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Andrea.
22
    with you. But again, we cap or rely on every
                                                     22
                                                                    With that, can I get a motion from
    development at 20 percent overall. And you
                                                          the Board?
23
                                                     23
    have to understand these developers have
                                                     24
                                                                   MR. JOHNS: I motion for
24
                                           Page 63
                                                                                                Page 65
 1
    to -- the current market for financing and
                                                      1
                                                          conditional approval with the staff making
2
    construction is 9 1/2 percent, so.
                                                      2
                                                          any corrections to the effections.
3
              MS. GONZALEZ: Duly noted.
                                                      3
                                                                   MS. GONZALEZ: I second.
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: A motion has been
              MR. BALLOON: Can we move to the
                                                      4
 4
                                                          made and properly seconded to approve this
 5
    question?
                                                      5
 6
              CHAIR GOODMAN: So any other -- any
                                                      6
                                                          disposition for single family home ownership
7
    questions or -- any additional questions or
                                                      7
                                                          contingent on, contingent on the terms that
    comments for the Board on this agenda item?
                                                          were discussed in the meeting this morning
                                                      8
8
               (No response.)
                                                          being number of stories, units, square feet,
9
                                                      9
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Any other questions
10
                                                          setbacks and front porches.
                                                     10
11
    or comments from anybody in the viewing
                                                     11
                                                                   All in favor?
12
    audience?
                                                     12
                                                                    (Chorus of ayes.)
13
               I see something popping up. Oh,
                                                     13
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Those opposed have
    it's PHA related, okay. So PHA is not
14
                                                     14
                                                          the right to say nay.
15
    involved in this particular agenda item so it
                                                     15
                                                                    (No response.)
    could be related to a prior agenda item.
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Hearing none, the
16
                                                     16
17
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just to answer that
                                                     17
                                                          ayes have it. Motion carries and the
18
    question, this is not a PHA issue. PHA is
                                                     18
                                                          disposition recommendation is approved.
    not subject to the ordinance or to the
                                                     19
                                                                   With that, looking at our next
19
                                                          agenda item. Because we do have one board
    disposition policy. It is a federally
                                                     20
20
    chartered and, you know, enacted and enabled,
                                                     2.1
                                                          member who has to recuse herself from this
21
    you know, through the municipality. They are
                                                     22
                                                          proceeding, we will no longer have sufficient
2.3
    not subject to the disposition policy. This
                                                     23
                                                         board member representation to conduct
    is strictly an issue with public property in
                                                          business. So for that reason, we will have
```

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	Page 66		Page 68
1	to table any review and comments on the 45	1	Butler, not Leslie Fuentes, just for
2	unit single family home ownership application	2	clarification.
3	located in the Seventh Councilmanic District.	3	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay.
4	I sincerely apologize. I know	4	MR. BUTLER: I have a general
5	or my guess is probably the bulk of people in	5	comment. I have a question regarding the
6	the Zoom room are here to testify on this.	6	recusal. Which Board member had to recuse
7	But sadly, we have no, we have no kind of	7	for the prior item?
8	channel or vehicle to operate or conduct any	8	CHAIR GOODMAN: Secretary Maria
9	business on this agenda item or in response	9	Gonzalez. And I believe that letter will be
10	to any comments, so we need to table the	10	posted along with the Board information, you
11	proceedings until we have a sufficient quorum	11	know, along with all the public comment that
12	of Board members.	12	was submitted for this morning's Board
13	We sadly we have multiple	13	meeting on our website.
14	vacancies on the Board that we are actively	14	MR. BUTLER: Thanks, Andrew.
15	looking to fill in order to increase our	15	A general comment I have for the
16	membership. But until then, we have to	16	Board is that it's time for the Land Bank
17	operate under this kind of tighter margin of	17	Board to have a community member
18	quorums which the bylaws state that we need,	18	representative of organizations that solicit
19	you know, six active voting Board members in	19	land for nondevelopment use and it would be
20	order to, you know, in order to conduct	20	really great if there was a board member that
21	business on a particular agenda item. So,	21	was a gardener or a community group
22	for that reason, we will not be able to hear	22	represented here today.
23	it and take comments and hopefully be able to	23	Thank you.
24	bring it back at our next meeting which is	24	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Thank
1	Page 67	1	Page 69
2	May 14 at 10:00 a.m.	2	you for being with us this morning.  Next I see Mo Rushdy.
3	So again, my sincerest apologies.  This is not, you know, this is not how we	3	And I do want to make a point of
4	20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 -	4	
5	typically like to do things but sadly, it is	5	information/clarification is that Mo Rushdy is now a former member of the Land Bank
0600	a reality. And one of our Board members had	ASSE	
6	a kind of, you know, medical	6	Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement.	7	
8	CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this	8	there are any members of the viewing public
9	morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you.	9	that did not know that, I just wanted to make
10			that alonification
1 1 1	•	10	that clarification.
11	So, with that, I believe that ends	11	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted,
12	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on	11 12	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your
12 13	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move	11 12 13	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.
12 13 14	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.	11 12 13 14	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12 13 14 15	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or	11 12 13 14 15	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.
12 13 14 15 16	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with	11 12 13 14 15	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal.
12 13 14 15 16 17	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?	11 12 13 14 15 16	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just
12 13 14 15 16 17	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.  Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal.  Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes.  Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes.  Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and proceed with your comment or question.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh District with similar applications. So is
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes.  Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and proceed with your comment or question.  MR. BUILER: Hi. Can you hear me?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh District with similar applications. So is there a reason given for that recusal?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes.  Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and proceed with your comment or question.  MR. BUILER: Hi. Can you hear me?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Yes. Go ahead.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.  Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal.  Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh District with similar applications. So is there a reason given for that recusal?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Andrea, would you
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.  Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?  CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes.  Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and proceed with your comment or question.  MR. BUILER: Hi. Can you hear me?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.  MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.  I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh District with similar applications. So is there a reason given for that recusal?

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

8		,	····
	Page 70		Page 72
1	MS. SAAH: Yes. All I can say is I	1	deciding on this particular agenda item.
2	can provide the letter from Ms. Gonzalez that	2	Also, as a member of the Ceiba LEDC
3	was provided to the Board. She wrote to say	3	Collective there has been some discussions
4	that she is recusing herself because in her	4	with the group and some advocacy that the
5	day-to-day professional capacity as President	5	group has made as it relates to this
6	of HACE she has an interest in and	6	particular agenda item. And just to make
7	association with the Ceiba Latina Equitable	7	sure that there is I'm transparent and
8	Development Collective which HACE is a member	8	clear in making sure that in any way my
9	organization. And as a member of Ceiba,	9	any of my decisions, you know, relates to
10	HACE has advocated for the development of	10	this is not tainted, doesn't taint the
11	affordable housing for the very low income	11	process, I have chosen to recuse myself
12	households in the neighborhoods where the	12	again.
13	property is listed in Item V A-1 are located.	13	CHAIR GOODMAN: And I want to thank
14	And our Conflict of Interest Policy	14	you, Secretary Gonzalez. I want to leave it
15	does require that Board members who have a	15	there. The Board can't kind of adjudicate
16	potential or actual conflict of interest	16	individual recusals or unrecuse someone or
17	disqualify themselves and abstain from	17	anything like that. I mean there are a
18	participating in the discussion or the vote	18	variety of different kind of ethical and Code
19	on such agenda items.	19	of Conduct Guidelines that the Board members
20	MR. RUSHDY: All right. But, I'm	20	have to adhere to. And so in order to kind
21	sorry, but and I guess the question is for	21	of preserve the efficacy of, you know, of the
22	the Board member. The same Board member	22	process and the Board's role in kind of
23	voted on a disposition that has 49 percent	23	conducting business and managing the affairs
24	market rate of over \$600,000 homes and the	24	of the Philadelphia Land Bank we have to be,
1 2	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between	1 2	Page 73 we have to be thorough in that way.  But thank you for responding,
3	APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's	3	Secretary Gonzalez.
4	in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm	4	The next hand raise I see is
5	not following here. And I'm not trying to	5	Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted,
6	I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on	6	please unmute yourself and begin your
7	the spot here. This is my third time coming	7	question or comment.
8	to the Land Bank with the same application of	8	MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion
9	which for the same reasons, not enough Board	9	Community concern. I just wanted to state
10	members being there to vote yea or nay and we	10	that the community with the North 28
11	continue to be in the gray zone and on an	11	conditional disposition does not have what
12	application that has been highly politicized.	12	that development is and we don't really know
13	So I need to be very clear and understand	13	at this point what your decision even means,
14	exactly where no votes are coming from and	14	conditional, and then you're getting or
15	where recusals are coming from.	15	may have definition of something that was not
16	So I guess the question is for the	16	discussed with our community. So we do need
17	Board member. I mean is there a specific	17	to get some clarity on these on what it
18	reason for that specific application their	18	is. And again, we do have an overlay and we
19	recusal is made versus others?	19	need to really look at whether or not just
12	MS. GONZALEZ: So, Mr. Rushdy, if I	20	throwing a third floor on to a two-story
20	MD. GOMMANDA: 50, MI. RUSHQY, II I	20	9
20	max. This is my nominal is consistent	21	block of rowhouses over En comething
21	may. This is my recusal is consistent	21	block of rowhouses, over 50 something
21 22	with the recusal at the former meeting where	22	rowhouses is the ideal development for that
21			_

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	Page 74		Page 76
1	And Mr. Goodman did ask I was	1	have in here and doesn't help preserve it?
2	trying to raise my hand but I'm not sure if	2	So is there any good on those community
3	it was just overlooked before, you know,	3	meetings they are having? We have over 100
4	before you closed out the that portion.	4	people in the last community meetings. The
5	So I just want to make sure that we as a	5	community is opposing the project. What are
6	community are also in the conversation around	6	our resources per legislation or is the
7	what you all believe is the conditional	7	community meeting just a pro forma action of
8	disposition that was just sanctioned.	8	the developer to come and talk, check the box
9	Thank you.	9	that they spoke to the community and ignore
10	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you,	10	the request of the community?
11	Ms. Cummings. Yeah, so to kind of recap, we	11	CHAIR GOODMAN: Angel, go ahead.
12	were the conditions were the three	12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I just want to
13	stories, the 1,200 unit square footage, the	13	differentiate the process from deliberation
14	third floor setbacks and the front porches on	14	to decision. So I'm not going to speak for
15	all units.	15	the Board.
16	So, I mean, Angel, when all the	16	What happened here today and what's
17	kind of documentation is made consistent, can	17	been happening is that the Board does not
18	that, can that packet just be resent out to	18	have quorum to legally make a decision. So
19	the overlapping RCOs by staff?	19	there has to be a quorum for the Land Bank
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	20	Board to decide. I don't speak for the
21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Nice.	21	Board. All we as staff members do is qualify
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No problem.	22	the applications and ensure the Board that
23	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you very	23	they are what they are reviewing is a
24	much.	24	qualified application. It is the Board's
	Paga 7E		Page 77
1	Page 75 The next hand raised I see, forgive	1	Page 77 responsibility to deliberate and to hear the
1 2		1 2	
10000	The next hand raised I see, forgive	5,000	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the
2	$\label{eq:The next hand raised I see, forgive} \\ \text{me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO.}  \text{When} \\$	2	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.
2	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your	2	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community
2 3 4	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.	2 3 4	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and
2 3 4 5	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle	2 3 4 5	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these
2 3 4 5	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is	2 3 4 5	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter
2 3 4 5 6 7	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the	2 3 4 5 6 7	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination.  Andrea, do you want to add to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination.  Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that doesn't serve the well-being and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination.  Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly?  MS. SAAH: No, you captured it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that doesn't serve the well-being and the affordable housing needs that we need and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination.  Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly?  MS. SAAH: No, you captured it properly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.  MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that doesn't serve the well-being and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.  So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.  What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination.  Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly?  MS. SAAH: No, you captured it

## Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

	,		
	Page 78		Page 80
1	public comment on that particular agenda	1	policies of redlining and discrimination and
2	item, there can be no deliberation among the	2	racism has put us in the position, and
3	Board on this particular agenda item.	3	anything that would have us an opportunity to
4	I did hear the question the	4	be at the table, to reconstruct and make
5	question I heard, though, from Xiente is the	5	things right again, anything, any method that
6	community meeting, not this particular	6	holds the process down I'm in favor of.
7	meeting, but what is the purpose of the	7	So if there is a Board member
8	community meeting. And I just want to set	8	that's not eligible to be able to get the
9	forth that it is not part and I have	9	quorum that you need to do business, I don't
10	explained this before to many other public	10	have any real opposition to that if it halts
11	commenters in writing, that the community	11	the process that the people in my
12	meeting was added to the Land Bank's process.	12	neighborhoods and the African American
13	It requires the developer to reach out to the	13	community have a chance to make to right
14	community through RCOs but also in other ways	14	all the wrongs that's been done.
15	to provide information about the development	15	Thank you.
16	that is being proposed to the community. It	16	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your
17	NATIONAL PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERT	17	comment. Thank you for staying on this
18	is not part of the RCO approval process. It does not require RCO approval. It is a way	18	1 1 2
1.00.0000		7.00.000	morning's meeting.
19 20	for RCOs are contacted so that they get	19	I do see a question about a
	people to come to the meeting and notify the	20	specific application in the Q & A box.
21	community that is a part of those RCOs about	21	Angel, do you want to follow-up with them
22	the community meeting. But, again, it is not	22	directly?
23	a legislative requirement for developers to	23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That needs to
24	have this meeting. This was added by the	24	happen off the record.
	Page 70		Page 01
1	Page 79 Board in response to communities stating that	1	Page 81 CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.
1 2		1 2	
	Board in response to communities stating that		CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.
2	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about	2	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank
2	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.	2	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other
2 3 4	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's	2 3 4	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute
2 3 4 5 6	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters,	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny  Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.  Can I get a motion to adjourn?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment.  MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.  Can I get a motion to adjourn?  MR. SAUER: So moved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment.  MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be brief. I just want to the state that I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.  Can I get a motion to adjourn?  MR. SAUER: So moved.  MS. GONZALEZ: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment.  MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be brief. I just want to the state that I am not really familiar with black and brown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.  Can I get a motion to adjourn?  MR. SAUER: So moved.  MS. GONZALEZ: Second.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Motion has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment.  MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be brief. I just want to the state that I am not really familiar with black and brown communities but I am familiar with African	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.  Can I get a motion to adjourn?  MR. SAUER: So moved.  MS. GONZALEZ: Second.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Motion has been made and properly seconded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.  Thank you.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.  And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.  Next we have Calvin Williams.  Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment.  MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be brief. I just want to the state that I am not really familiar with black and brown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.  But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.  Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.  (No response.)  CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.  So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.  Can I get a motion to adjourn?  MR. SAUER: So moved.  MS. GONZALEZ: Second.  CHAIR GOODMAN: Motion has been

### Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

```
Page 82
             CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?
2
              (No response.)
             CHAIR GOODMAN: The ayes have it..
3
    Motion carried. Meeting is adjourned.
           The next Land Bank meeting is
6
    May 14.
7
             Thank you, everypody, and we will
8
    see you next month.
           MS. LOPEZ KRISS: Thank you.
10
           MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                                                  Page 83
            CERTIFICATION
2
3
4
5
           I hereby certify that the
6 testimony and the proceedings in the
7 aforegoing matter are contained fully and
    accurately in the stenographic notes taken
9
    by me, and that the copy is a true and
10
    correct transcript of the same
11
12
                 Professional Court Reporter
13
14
15
           The foregoing certification does
16 not apply to any reproduction of the same by
17 any means unless under the direct control
18 and/or supervision of the certifying
    shorthand reporter.
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

#### Exhibit B

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2024-8**

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF 6657-59 BLAKEMORE STREET; 50, 56, 60-74, 101 COLLOM STREET; 67, 83, 85, 87 CHURCH LANE; 45, 47, 51 EAST GARFIELD STREET; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513, 5515 LENA STREET; 4949, 4951, 6526-34 GERMANTOWN AVENUE; 117 MANHEIM STREET; 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 63 EAST WISTER STREET TO PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, Section 16-707 of the Philadelphia Code authorizes the Philadelphia Land Bank (the "Land Bank") to convey, exchange, sell, transfer, lease, grant or mortgage interests in real property of the Land Bank in the form and by the method determined to be in the best interests of the Land Bank, subject to the terms and conditions of Chapter 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors (the "Board") has determined that it is in the best interests of the Land Bank to convey 6657-59 Blakemore Street; 50, 56, 60-74 and 101 Collom Street; 67, 83, 85 and 87 Church Lane; 45, 47 and 51 East Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513 and 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951 and 6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim Street; and 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46 and 63 East Wister Street (the "Property") to the Philadelphia Housing Authority (the "PHA") for disposition, reuse and/or management as determined by the PHA.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED** by the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank that:

- 1. The conveyance of the Property to the PHA for One and 00/100 U.S. Dollar (\$1.00) is in the best interests of the Land Bank and is hereby approved.
- 2. The conveyance of the Property complies with all applicable terms and conditions of Section 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.
- 3. Subject to the terms of this Resolution, the Executive Director and Senior Counsel are each hereby authorized, in the name of and on behalf of the Land Bank, to prepare, execute, deliver, and perform any and all agreements, deeds, and other documents, as may be necessary or desirable, to consummate the conveyance of the Property (collectively, the "Transaction Documents") and, from time to time and at any time, amend, supplement, and modify the Transaction Documents, or any of them, as may be necessary or desirable. The Transaction Documents and any amendments, supplements, and modifications thereto shall contain such terms and conditions as the Executive Director and Senior Counsel shall deem necessary or appropriate subject to the terms of this Resolution, and, when so executed and delivered by the Land Bank shall constitute the valid and binding obligations of the Land Bank.
- 4. The Executive Director with the advice of Senior Counsel may modify this Resolution as may be necessary or desirable to carry out its purposes and intents. The Executive Director or Senior Counsel will notify the Board of all modifications to this Resolution at the next Board meeting following the date of such modifications.
- 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption by the Board.

Adopted by Philadelphia Land Bank Board of Directors on April 9, 2024.

#### **Exhibit C**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2024-9**

## RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF 4310R HAVERFORD AVENUE AND 4419R MARKET STREET TO PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, Section 16-707 of the Philadelphia Code authorizes the Philadelphia Land Bank (the "Land Bank") to convey, exchange, sell, transfer, lease, grant or mortgage interests in real property of the Land Bank in the form and by the method determined to be in the best interests of the Land Bank, subject to the terms and conditions of Chapter 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Directors (the "**Board**") has determined that it is in the best interests of the Land Bank to convey 4310R Haverford Avenue and 4419R Market Street (the "**Property**") to the Philadelphia Housing Authority (the "**PHA**") for disposition, reuse and/or management as determined by the PHA.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED** by the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank that:

- 1. The conveyance of the Property to the PHA for One and 00/100 U.S. Dollar (\$1.00) is in the best interests of the Land Bank and is hereby approved.
- 2. The conveyance of the Property complies with all applicable terms and conditions of Section 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.
- 3. Subject to the terms of this Resolution, the Executive Director and Senior Counsel are each hereby authorized, in the name of and on behalf of the Land Bank, to prepare, execute, deliver, and perform any and all agreements, deeds, and other documents, as may be necessary or desirable, to consummate the conveyance of the Property (collectively, the "Transaction Documents") and, from time to time and at any time, amend, supplement, and modify the Transaction Documents, or any of them, as may be necessary or desirable. The Transaction Documents and any amendments, supplements, and modifications thereto shall contain such terms and conditions as the Executive Director and Senior Counsel shall deem necessary or appropriate subject to the terms of this Resolution, and, when so executed and delivered by the Land Bank shall constitute the valid and binding obligations of the Land Bank.
- 4. The Executive Director with the advice of Senior Counsel may modify this Resolution as may be necessary or desirable to carry out its purposes and intents. The Executive Director or Senior Counsel will notify the Board of all modifications to this Resolution at the next Board meeting following the date of such modifications.
- 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption by the Board.

Adopted by Philadelphia Land Bank Board of Directors on April 9, 2024.



Date: April 8, 2024

Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

RE: Support Letter | Soar City Development

I Kevin Williams, CEO, Black Squirrel Collaborative, am writing the Land Bank to support Soar City Development's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly RiSE". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and
- Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real estate ecosystem.

I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City Development because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

Respectfully,

Kevin Williams

CEO

**Black Squirrel Collaborative** 

( 267.223.7803

info@blacksquirrel.co

few Williams

3401 Market St., 2nd Floor, Philadelphia

www.blacksquirrel.co

PLB Board of Directors DRAFT Meeting Minutes for April 9, 2024



April 6, 2024

Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

RE: Support Letter | Soar City Development Co.

I, David Langlieb, am writing the Land Bank in support of Soar City Development's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly Rise". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and
- Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real
  estate ecosystem.

I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City Development Company because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

Respectfully,

David Langlieb



RE: Soar City Property Request Support Letter

am writing the Land Bank in support of Soar City's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly Rise". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- · Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- · An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- · Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and
- Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real
  estate ecosystem.

Mr. Campbell is building five affordable housing units:

- 2502 N. 28<sup>th</sup> Street
- 2503 N. 28<sup>th</sup> Street
- 2542 N. 28<sup>th</sup> Street
- 2552 N. 28<sup>th</sup> Street
- 2559 N. 28<sup>th</sup> Street



I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

All the best,

Christopher Pitt	
	Date: 12/11/23



1818 Market Street Suite 3300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-845-8997 philadelphia@nil.org philadelphia.uli.org

Date: April 8, 2024

Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

RE: Support Letter | Soar City Development

I, Kevin Moran on behalf of ULI Philadelphia, am writing the Land Bank to support Soar City Development's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly RiSE". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- . Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- · Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and
- Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real estate ecosystem.

I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City Development because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

Respectfully,

Kevin Moran Executive Director ULI Philadelphia

#### **Exhibit E**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2024-10**

# RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 AND 2559 NORTH 28TH STREET TO SOAR CITY DEVELOPMENT CO.

WHEREAS, Section 16-707 of the Philadelphia Code authorizes the Philadelphia Land Bank (the "Land Bank") to convey, exchange, sell, transfer, lease, grant or mortgage interests in real property of the Land Bank in the form and by the method determined to be in the best interests of the Land Bank, subject to approval by resolution of Philadelphia City Council, and subject further to the terms and conditions of Chapter 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors (the "Board") has determined that it is in the best interests of the Land Bank to convey 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 and 2559 North 28th Street (collectively, the "Property") to Soar City Development Co., a Pennsylvania corporation (the "Purchaser").

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED** by the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank that:

- 1. The conveyance of the Property to the Purchaser for Five Thousand and 00/100 U.S. Dollars (\$5,000.00) is in the best interests of the Land Bank and is hereby approved, subject to approval by resolution of Philadelphia City Council.
- 2. The conveyance of the Property complies with all applicable terms and conditions of Section 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code, subject to approval by resolution of Philadelphia City Council.
- 3. Subject to the terms of this Resolution, the Executive Director and Senior Counsel are each hereby authorized, in the name of and on behalf of the Land Bank, to prepare, execute, deliver, and perform any and all agreements, deeds, and other documents, as may be necessary or desirable, to consummate the conveyance of the Property (collectively, the "Transaction Documents") and, from time to time and at any time, amend, supplement, and modify the Transaction Documents, or any of them, as may be necessary or desirable. The Transaction Documents and any amendments, supplements, and modifications thereto shall contain such terms and conditions as the Executive Director and Senior Counsel shall deem necessary or appropriate subject to the terms of this Resolution, and, when so executed and delivered by the Land Bank shall constitute the valid and binding obligations of the Land Bank.
- 4. The Executive Director with the advice of Senior Counsel may modify this Resolution as may be necessary or desirable to carry out its purposes and intents. The Executive Director or Senior Counsel will notify the Board of all modifications to this Resolution at the next Board meeting following the date of such modifications.
- 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption by the Board.

Adopted by Philadelphia Land Bank Board of Directors on April 9, 2024.

#### **Exhibit F**



April 8, 2024

Andrew Goodman Interim Board Chair Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street. 16''' Floor Philadelphia. PA 19107

General Counsel City of Philadelphia Board of Ethics One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 18th Floor Philadelphia. PA 19102-1504 Angel Rodriguez Executive Director Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street. 16'11Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

James Leonard. Esquire Commissioner Department of Records Room 111, City Hall Philadelphia, PA 19107

Re. Conflict Disclosure

Letter Ladies & Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Land Bank's Public Disclosure and Disqualification Procedure for Conflict Issues, I write to disclose my interest in and therefore why I will abstain from participating in section 5A.1 of the April 2024 Land Bank Board meeting agenda.

The Land Bank Board will discuss Property Dispositions in agenda section 5A.1 at its meeting to be held on Tuesday, April 9, 2024. In my day-to-day professional capacity as President of HACE, I write to disclose my interest in and association with the CEIBA Latino Equitable Development Collective, of which HACE is a member organization. As a member of CEIBA, HACE advocates for the development of affordable housing for very low-income households in the neighborhoods where the properties listed in item 5A.1 are located.

Due to this conflict of interest, I must disqualify myself and abstain from using the authority of my membership on the Land Bank Board to participate in Board discussions or official Board action related to agenda items 5A.1 at the April 9, 2024 Board meeting. I must remove myself from the opportunity to influence in any manner the I and Bank's actions related to these mailers, including leaving the meeting while the Executive Director consults with Land Bank Board members and while the Land Bank Board otherwise considers and votes on the matters. For the record, I have not attended any of the Board's Policy Committee or Executive Committee meetings at which these matters were discussed.

### Exhibit F

This letter will also confirm that I will abide by the Land Bank's Public Disclosure and Disqualification Procedure for Conflict Issues and will not take official action in any future Land Bank deliberation or official action involving the Property Disposition in agenda section 5A.1 while the above-described conflict exists. Please contact me if you require further clarification.

Sincerely,

Maria N. Gonzalez

President

