PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK

APRIL 9, 2024 BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING MINUTES

A Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank was held on Tuesday, April 9, 2024, via Zoom webinar, of which proper notices were given. A condensed certified transcript of this meeting is attached to these minutes as **Exhibit A**.

Call to Order

Acting Board Chair Andrew Goodman called the meeting to order at 10:03 a.m.

Andrea Imredy Saah, Senior Counsel, made the following announcements:

Today's Board meeting is being held via an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions and comments may be made using the Q&A function or by using the "raise hand" function. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar link, you may ask questions or make comments by pressing *9 on your phone or using the "raise hand" function. Please do not use the Chat function. If any member of the public has any issues submitting questions or comments, please send an email to Andrea.Saah@phdc.phila.gov. Please note that all questions and comments received by email prior to this meeting or through the Q&A function during the meeting will be included in the minutes. All questions and comments received by 5 pm yesterday were shared with the Board.

Prior to today's Public Session, the Board held an Executive Session during which Mr. Rodriguez reviewed the agenda and discussed the order of review of the agenda items.

Item I Roll Call

The following members of the Board of Directors reported present: Alexander Balloon, Andrew Goodman, Maria Gonzalez, Michael Johns, Rebecca Lopez Kriss, and Rick Sauer.

The following Board members were absent during roll call: Majeedah Rashid, who had informed Land Bank staff that she might be able to join the meeting later in the morning. Three seats are currently vacant.

The following Land Management staff members were present: Angel Rodriguez, Andrea Imredy Saah, Esq., Robert Spence, Esq., Todd Hestand, Brian Romano, Tracy Pinson-Reviere, Cristina Martinez, and Mathen Pullukattu.

Public Attendees: The list of public attendees follows these minutes.

A quorum of Directors was present, and the meeting, having been duly convened, proceeded with business.

Mr. Goodman announced that an updated agenda was posted online. Item V.B.1. appeared on the initial posted agenda but is being tabled at this time.

The order of the items under Agenda Item V.A. will be switched, and the item listed as V.A.2. will be heard first. The Board currently has a quorum for all other agenda items, but there is not a quorum for agenda V.A.1. One Board member has recused themselves from item V.A.1; moving it to later in the agenda gives Board Member Rashid more time to join the meeting for a quorum to be present when the item is considered.

Item II <u>Approval of Board Minutes</u>

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the Board regarding the minutes of the Board meeting of March 12, 2024. There were none.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding approval of the minutes.

Ms. Lopez Kriss moved to approve the minutes. Mr. Johns seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the March 12, 2024, Board Meeting minutes.

Item III Executive Director's Report

Mr. Rodriguez had no Executive Director's report other than to inform the Board that staff is working diligently to get prospective home buyers approved and into homes built on Land Bank land that were approved for participation in the Turn the Key (TTK) program.

<u>Item IV</u> <u>Administrative Matters</u>

A. Interagency Transfers

Item IV.A.1

Mr. Rodriguez requested the Board's approval to transfer property in the 8th Council District to the Philadelphia Housing Authority (the PHA) for the preservation of affordable rental housing. The properties are 6657-59 Blakemore Street; 50, 56, 60-74 and 101 Collom Street; 67, 83, 85 and 87 Church Lane; 45, 47 and 51 E. Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513 and 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951, 6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim Street; and 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46 and 63 E. Wister Street. The properties are being transferred from the Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority (PRA) to the PHA via the Land Bank, and the transfer is being done in collaboration with the 8th Councilmanic District.

Mr. Goodman asked if any public comment was received prior to today's Board meeting on this agenda item. Ms. Imredy Saah responded that nothing was received.

Mr. Goodman asked if the Board had any questions or comments on this agenda item. Mr. Sauer asked the staff to clarify the use of the proposed transfers for the benefit of the public. Mr. Rodriguez explained that the transfer will allow the PHA to seek financing to renovate the properties and preserve them as affordable rental housing. Mr. Johns asked if the background on this disposition

could be explained to the public. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the properties were formerly known as Germantown Settlement properties. The properties were taken to mortgage foreclosure by Philadelphia Redevelopment Authority (PRA) due to the developer's default under the redevelopment agreement. PRA has since been tasked to provide property management services, but PHA is better equipped to manage the properties and their tenants. Conversations with Council lead to a determination that the properties should be transferred to the PHA.

Mr. Goodman then reviewed the Land Bank Board's meeting public comment rules and called for public comments and questions. Mr. Goodman recognized Greg Paulmier. Mr. Paulmier requested confirmation that the PHA would be taking ownership and continuing to provide low- and moderate-income rental housing for residents in the community. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed that is correct.

Mr. Goodman next recognized Yvonne Haskins. Ms. Haskins expressed displeasure that the properties are being transferred to the PHA and not being made available for homeownership opportunities. Ms. Haskins's full comments can be found at pages 14 to 16 of the attached transcript.

Mr. Goodman recognized Allison Weiss of SoLo Germantown Civic Association. Ms. Weiss believes that community input was ignored, and asked questions about the timing and process for the transfer to the PHA, and when the properties would be available for occupants. Ms. Weiss's full comments can be found at pages 16 to 17 of the attached transcript. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the transfer will receive all necessary approvals in short order, and the deeds will likely be recorded in the next couple of months.

Mr. Goodman noted the properties have been having problems for a long time, and asked whether this transfer would allow the properties to become long-term affordable housing. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed that is the plan. Mr. Johns asked if someone from the PHA would be at the PRA Board meeting to answer questions. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the PHA does not typically send a representative for interagency transfers.

Mr. Sauer asked if the PHA planned for all units to be affordable rentals or if there might be homeownership opportunities. Mr. Rodriguez responded that he does not know PHA's plans, but that PHA has the capacity to acquire, manage, and finance the renovation of the properties. Mr. Sauer asked if there was any community notification for the transfer. Mr. Rodriguez responded there was no notification.

Mr. Johns expressed a desire to hear from the PHA regarding its plans for the properties.

Ms. Gonzalez expressed support for the PHA and its efforts to expand affordable housing in Philadelphia.

Ms. Lopez Kriss asked if there were any alternatives to this transfer while maintaining the units as affordable housing. Mr. Rodriguez responded that he is not aware of any other public or private entity which can both relocate the tenants to alternate affordable housing and manage the renovation and re-occupation of the subject properties. Mr. Johns concurred.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding the interagency transfer. Mr. Balloon moved to approve the interagency transfer and Ms. Gonzalez seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the **Resolution Authorizing Conveyance of 6657-59 Blakemore Street**; **50**, **56**, **60-74**, **101 Collom Street**; **67**, **83**,

85, 87 Church Lane; 45, 47, 51 East Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513, 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951, 6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim Street; 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 63 East Wister Street to Philadelphia Housing Authority (attached to these minutes as Exhibit B).

Item IV.A.2

Mr. Rodriguez requested the Board's approval to transfer properties in the 3rd Council District to the PHA for the Westpark Choice Neighborhoods application. The properties are 4310R Haverford Avenue and 4419R Market Street. The properties are being transferred from the City to PHA via the PRA and the Land Bank, and the transfer is being done in collaboration with the 3rd Councilmanic District. The properties are remnants of former parcels mostly already conveyed to the PHA in the past. Ms. Imredy Saah worked with the PHA to develop the legal descriptions and addresses, and these transfers will complete the assemblage.

Mr. Goodman asked if these are part of the existing PHA site or if they are adjacent. Mr. Rodriguez responded that they are in the development area but had been left out of conveyances over time. Ms. Imredy Saah added that the properties were assumed to be owned by PHA, but title work identified the City as the actual owner, and this transfer simply memorializes what was always assumed to be the case.

Mr. Goodman asked if any public comment was received prior to today's Board meeting on this agenda item. Ms. Imredy Saah responded that nothing was received.

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the Board. There were none.

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the public. There were none.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding the interagency transfer. Mr. Johns moved to approve the interagency transfer and Mr. Balloon seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the **Resolution Authorizing Conveyance of 4310R Haverford Avenue and 4419R Market Street to Philadelphia Housing Authority** (attached to these minutes as **Exhibit C**).

Item V Property Dispositions

A. Development – Affordable Housing (Unsolicited)

Mr. Goodman reiterated the Board would start with item V.A.2 on the posted agenda.

Item V.A.2

Mr. Rodriguez requested the Board's approval to convey 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 and 2559 North 28th Street in the 5th Council District to Soar City Development Co. to develop five (5) single-family homeownership units. All five (5) single-family homeownership units will be sold to households with incomes at or below 100% AMI with a maximum sale price of \$280,000. The units will be three stories, containing 3 bedrooms and 2 baths at approximately 1,200 SF each. Homebuyers will be

eligible for the Neighborhood Preservation Initiative's Turn the Key Program. The application was unsolicited and evaluated pursuant to the disposition policy. An EOP plan will apply to this project.

Mr. Goodman requested confirmation that the community meeting occurred prior to today's Board meeting. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed that was the case.

Mr. Goodman asked if any comments were received prior to today's Board meeting. Ms. Imredy Saah received four (4) letters of support, which were provided to the Board prior to the meeting. The letters are from Kevin Williams on behalf of Black Squirrel, David Langlieb on behalf of Philadelphia Accelerator Fund, Christopher Pitt on behalf of Pitt Pass Development Group, and Kevin Moran on behalf of ULI Philadelphia. All letters are attached in full to the minutes as **Exhibit D**. They all highlighted the importance of increasing the number of projects awarded to small minority developers.

Mr. Goodman noted that this item was previously before the Board and asked for clarification regarding the changes. Mr. Rodriguez described the design changes, including a set-back third story while maintaining the front façade in accordance with the design overlay.

Mr. Johns commended the architect team on improving the design but raised questions about the accuracy of the fact sheet that was distributed, including the square footage of the units, the number of stories in the units, the gross square footage for the project, and whether there are porches in the plans.

Mr. Rodriguez stated that the developer, Ibraheim Campbell, was participating in the meeting, and requested that he respond. Mr. Campbell clarified that the current plans include three stories but that based on the feedback he received at the community meeting, he had decided to change the plans again to build homes of 1,200 square feet with two stories, without a setback, but with a porch. He had not provided those revised plans to the Land Bank staff.

Mr. Goodman called for questions or comments from the public. Mr. Goodman recognized Bonita Cummings on behalf of Strawberry Mansion Community Concern. Ms. Cummings does not support the project. Ms. Cummings's full comments can be found at pages 43 to 46 of the attached transcript.

Mr. Goodman recognized Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams is from the Strawberry Mansion neighborhood and supports the comments made by Ms. Cummings.

Mr. Goodman recognized David Langlieb. Mr. Langlieb is the Executive Director of the Philadelphia Accelerator Fund, and the Fund supports the project. Mr. Langlieb's full comments can be found at pages 48 to 49 of the attached transcript.

Mr. Goodman and Mr. Rodriguez offered context on the TTK programs. All units in this project will be eligible to receive the \$75,000 soft mortgage and will be coupled with \$10,000 of closing support. Mr. Rodriguez added that the average monthly mortgage payment for a TTK home at \$280,000 is approximately \$1,353, which is lower than the average local rent for a 3-bedroom unit.

Mr. Goodman asked whether the Land Bank could adjust its TTK-eligible property dispositions to target a lower AMI. Mr. Rodriguez responded that Turn the Key is governed by the bond issuance documents, and adjusting the terms of the program would require at least Council approval.

Mr. Sauer asked whether a lower target AMI requires a legislative change in light of previous 80% AMI dispositions. Mr. Rodriguez distinguished RFP offerings, which provide the opportunity to set AMI levels, and unsolicited applications, which are governed by the disposition policy and existing legislation. Mr. Sauer next suggested the Land Bank act more strategically in areas with limited land remaining in public ownership. Mr. Rodriguez responded that Land Bank staff already regularly meet with Council and City staff to develop disposition strategies for neighborhoods in which the Land Bank is actively selling property. Mr. Rodriguez also clarified that the Land Bank does not subsidize development. Developer applicants must obtain private financing, and the selected applicants are highly motivated to complete their projects because they have contractual obligations to the Land Bank and financial obligations to their lenders.

Ms. Gonzalez suggested a change to the Land Bank scoring rubric to better favor greater affordability. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the scoring rubric was set by Council ordinance and can only be changed by Council.

Mr. Rodriguez acknowledged Calvin Williams's question "Will PHA advocate for Policy hanges [sic]?" in the Q&A feature. Mr. Rodriguez noted that PHA is not subject to the dispositions policy or ordinance. PHA is a federally chartered entity with its own governance requirements. The disposition ordinance applies to public property dispositions from the Land Bank and Redevelopment Authority to private entities and applicants.

Mr. Goodman and Ms. Imredy Saah responded to the question in the Q&A regarding Board minutes, stating that the video recording is posted as soon as it is available and the draft minutes are posted as part of the next month's Board package.

Mr. Goodman called for a motion regarding the disposition. Mr. Johns moved to approve the disposition, conditioned upon the staff making the corrections to the fact sheet and updating the posted Board package. Ms. Gonzalez seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously approved the **Resolution Authorizing Conveyance of 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 and 2559 North 28th Street to Soar City Development Co.** (attached to these minutes as **Exhibit E**), conditioned upon updates to the fact sheet pertaining to the number of stories, unit square feet, setbacks, and front porches and said revised fact sheet being added to the posted April Board package.

Item V.A.1

Mr. Goodman noted that a Board member recused herself from the discussion and voting on this item and that the Board therefore did not have a quorum to conduct business on this item at this time. As a result, the item has to be tabled. Ms. Gonzales's recusal letter is attached to these minutes as **Exhibit F**. Mr. Goodman apologized, and stated the Board is working to have the existing vacancies filled to reduce the likelihood of this problem recurring.

Item VI Public Comment (Old & New Business)

Mr. Goodman asked if any member of the public had old or new business they would like to bring to the Board.

Mr. Goodman recognized Adam Butler. Mr. Butler asked which Board member had to be recused. Mr. Goodman responded that Ms. Maria Gonzalez recused herself. Mr. Butler requested that the Board have a Board member who supported the disposition of Land Bank land for non-development uses.

Mr. Goodman recognized Mo Rushdy, the developer whose application was tabled. Mr. Rushdy asked if a reason was given for the recusal. Ms. Imredy Saah responded that the recusal letter will be included with the minutes, and the letter states that through her position as President of HACE, which is a member of the CEIBA Latino Equitable Development Coalition working in the neighborhood to promote affordable housing, she has an inherent conflict of interest. CEIBA had already expressed its opposition to the proposed disposition that was tabled. Mr. Rushdy asked what differentiates his application from the application in the same neighborhood which included 51 percent affordable housing and 49 percent market rate housing and which Ms. Gonzalez voted to approve. Ms. Gonzalez stated her recusal is consistent with her recusal from previous discussions of the tabled disposition.

Mr. Goodman next recognized Bonita Cummings on behalf of Strawberry Mansion Community Concern. Ms. Cummings asked for clarity regarding the conditional approval. Mr. Goodman asked Mr. Rodriguez to distribute the updated Fact Sheet to the affected RCOs. Mr. Rodriguez confirmed this will be done.

Mr. Goodman next recognized Xiente RCO. Michelle Carrera spoke on behalf of the RCO and asked about the effect of community meetings and community opposition on the tabled disposition. Mr. Rodriguez responded that the purpose of the community meeting is to inform the community about the project and to give community members information prior to the Land Bank Board of Directors making a determination regarding the project. Mr. Rodriguez added that the Board takes all information, including community responses, into consideration when deliberating how to vote on each item.

Mr. Goodman recognized Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams expressed support for righting the wrongs which have been done against his community, and he has no issues with the recusal.

Item VII Adjournment

Seeing no further comments from the Board or the public, Mr. Goodman called for a motion to adjourn. Mr. Sauer moved to adjourn the meeting and Ms. Gonzalez seconded the motion.

Upon motion made and duly seconded, the Board unanimously voted to adjourn at 11:30 am.

NOTE: Any comments and questions submitted via the Q&A function follow the list of attendees attached to these minutes.

SECRETARY TO THE BOARD	

PUBLIC ATTENDANCE SHEET

PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, April 9, 2024, at 10:00 AM, held remotely using Zoom Webinar.

User Name
Jamila Davis
Mark Coriolan
Abdul-Rahim Muhammad
David Fecteau
Winnie Branton
Mike Tomasetti
Jacquelyn Sims
Strawberry Mansion Community Concern
(Bonita Cummings)
Wadia Gardiner
Mo Rushdy
Allison Weiss
Russell Hicks
Kwame Gray
Logan M
Julian Rios
Mark Lawson
Omaira Santiago
Ibraheim Campbell
Manny Delgado
Candis Pressley
Walter Toliver
David Langlieb
Suzanne Ponsen
Jake Blumgart
Antonio Cerqueira
Sheila Newkirk-Squire
Daniel Galarraga
Kiana Gonzalez
Doris Aldridge
Leah Apgar
Aimee Thomson
David Whitaker
Greg Paulmier
Michelle Carrera
Charlie Huemmler
Rose Gray

Page 1 of 2

PUBLIC ATTENDANCE SHEET

PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, April 9, 2024, at 10:00 AM, held remotely using Zoom Webinar.

User Name
Dee Dukes
Calvin Williams
Lydia Hurtado
Kevin Moran
Michael Kuehner
Ben Fries
Svitlana Tutova
Conlan Crosley
Ubong Udoekwere
Xiente RCO
Mark Harris
Iliana Dominguez-franco
Sean Ongley
Sloane Folks
Yvonne Haskins
Leslie Fuentes
Dawn Rulli
Kyle Meiers
Rhakeim Miller
Relina Bonilla
Ryan Boyer
Pamela Bracey
Christopher Pitt
Lansana Sylla
Caller 1
Caller 2
Caller 3

Page 2 of 2

PUBLIC COMMENTS SUBMITTED IN Q&A

PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK BOARD OF DIRECTORS REGULAR MEETING Tuesday, April 9, 2024, at 10:00 AM, held remotely using Zoom Webinar

#	Question	Asker Name	Answer
1	Where is the agenda?	Dee Dukes	https://phdcphila.org/who-we- are/boards/philadelphia-land- bank-board/
2	Where do you get a list of the addresses?	Dee Dukes	It is in the agenda
3	Does this mean they will continue to be for rent only going forward?	Jacquelyn Sims	That will be determined by PHA
4	I totally support the transfer of these property to the Philadelphia Housing Authority	greg paulmier	
5	Will PHA advocate for Policy hanges?	Calvin Williams	This is not a PHA issue
6	where can we access the after meeting minutes?	kiana gonzalez	
7	Sounds like you can only introduce what has been submitted for review on nonsolicited proposals and make no decisions regarding lowering the AMI.	Jacquelyn Sims	
8	How do you apply to be a Board member on Land Bank?	Dee Dukes	Board members are appointd by either the Administration or City Council
9.	What is the status of the 4215, 4217, 4219 & 4221 Brown Street, that the ICPIC New Africa Center submitted and application for in late Nov. 2023?	Abdul-Rahim Muhammad	

Board of Directors Meeting 04/09/2024

Page 1

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
PHILADELPHIA LAND BANK

- - - - - -

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

DATE: Thursday, April 9, 2024

LOCATION: Zoom Teleconference

REPORTED BY: Jan Brooks, Court Reporter

BOARD MEMBERS: ANDREW GOODMAN, Chair

MARIA GONZALEZ, Board Member

MICHAEL JOHNS, Board Member

ALEXANDER BALLOON, Board Member

RICK SAUER, Board Member

REBECCA LOPEZ KRISS, Board Member

ALSO PRESENT: ANDREA IMREADY SAAH, ESQUIRE,

Board Member

ANGEL RODRIGUEZ, Executive Director

Page 1 through 83

	Page 2		Page
	AGENDA	1	send an e-mail to Andrea.Saah@phdc.phila.gov
9	PAGE	2	And I will put that e-mail address in the
I.	Roll Call 4	3	chat. Please note that all questions and
II.	Approval of Minutes of Meeting of 7	4	comments received by e-mail prior to this
TTT	March 12, 2024 Executive Director's Report 8	5	meeting or through the Q & A function during
III. IV.	Executive Director's Report 8 Administrative Matters	6	the meeting will be included in the minutes.
IV.	A. Interagency Transfers 9	7	All questions and comments received by
	6657-59 Blakemore Street; 50, 56,	8	5:00 p.m. yesterday were shared with the
	60-74, 101 Collum Street; 67, 83, 85	9	Board.
	and 87 Church Lane; 45, 47, 51 East	10	Also, prior to today's public
	Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27,	11	session the Board held an executive session
	5429-43, 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951,	12	during which Mr. Rodriguez reviewed the
	6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim	13	agenda and also we discussed the order of th
	Street; 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 63	14	review of the agenda items.
	East Wister Street.	15	So, that's it. Thank you.
	B. Interagency Transfers 28	16	CHAIR GOODMAN: And can you also
	4310 R Haverford Avenue and 4419 R	17	please do roll call?
	Market Street	18	MS. SAAH: Yes. Andrew Goodman.
J.	Property Dispositions 33	19	CHAIR GOODMAN: Present.
	2502, 2503, 2542, 2552, 2559	20	MS. SAAH: Alexander Balloon.
	North 28th Street	21	MR. BALLOON: Here.
VI.	Public Comment (Old & New Business) 67	22	MS. SAAH: Maria Gonzalez.
JΙΙ.	Adjournment 82	23	MS. GONZALEZ: Here.
		24	MS. SAAH: Rebecca Lopez Kriss.
1	Page 3 PROCEEDINGS	1	Page MS. LOPEZ KRISS: I'm here.
2	CHAIR GOODMAN: Good morning,	2	MS. SAAH: Michael Johns.
3	everyone. Thank you for joining us this	3	MR. JOHNS: Present.
4	morning for the April, 2024 meeting of the	4	MS. SAAH: Rick Sauer.
5	Land Bank Board of Directors. My name is	5	MR. SAUER: I'm here.
6	Andrew Goodman and I'm Acting Chair of the	6	MS. SAAH: Majeedah Rashid.
7	Philadelphia Land Bank Board. Thanks again	7	She is currently, just to inform
8	for being with us this morning.	8	everyone, she is currently not present but
9	First we will start off with a	9	will is hoping to join us shortly.
10	message from our attorney about either	10	Thank you.
	hosting virtual meetings.	11	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Andrea.
11		12	So I will make just a couple quick
	MS, SAAH: Good Morning, evervone.		Jaco a coapto quion
12	MS. SAAH: Good morning, everyone. Todav's board meeting is being held by an		
12 13	Today's board meeting is being held by an	13	announcements about some changes and also
12 13 14	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being	13 14	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the
12 13 14 15	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made	13 14 15	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed.
12 13 14 15	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the	13 14 15 16	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on
12 13 14 15 16	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the raised hand function on your screen. If you	13 14 15 16 17	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on line but just in case anybody missed it then
12 13 14 15 16 17	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the raised hand function on your screen. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar	13 14 15 16 17 18	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on line but just in case anybody missed it ther was there is one item that is being
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the raised hand function on your screen. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar link you may ask questions or make comments	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on line but just in case anybody missed it ther was there is one item that is being tabled. It was originally listed as Agenda
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the raised hand function on your screen. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar link you may ask questions or make comments by pressing star 9 on your phone or again	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on line but just in case anybody missed it ther was there is one item that is being tabled. It was originally listed as Agenda Item V B-1. Just to make sure I get that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the raised hand function on your screen. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar link you may ask questions or make comments by pressing star 9 on your phone or again using the raised hand function. Please do	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on line but just in case anybody missed it ther was there is one item that is being tabled. It was originally listed as Agenda Item V B-1. Just to make sure I get that right, it was five single family home
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the raised hand function on your screen. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar link you may ask questions or make comments by pressing star 9 on your phone or again using the raised hand function. Please do not use the chat.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on line but just in case anybody missed it ther was there is one item that is being tabled. It was originally listed as Agenda Item V B-1. Just to make sure I get that right, it was five single family home ownership units in the Fourth Councilmanic
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Today's board meeting is being held by an authorized communication device and is being recorded. Questions or comments may be made using the Q & A function or by using the raised hand function on your screen. If you are calling in and not using the Zoom webinar link you may ask questions or make comments by pressing star 9 on your phone or again using the raised hand function. Please do	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	announcements about some changes and also possible changes to the agenda for the viewing public just before we proceed. So an updated agenda was posted on line but just in case anybody missed it ther was there is one item that is being tabled. It was originally listed as Agenda Item V B-1. Just to make sure I get that right, it was five single family home

```
Page 6
                                                                                                 Page 8
                                                         has been made and properly seconded to
    observe or comment on that item, that item is
                                                      1
    being tabled.
                                                          approve the Meeting Minutes from March, 2024
 3
              Another change is that we are going
                                                         Land Bank Meeting.
                                                      3
 4
    to switch the order of Section V A of the
                                                     4
                                                                   All in favor?
    agenda, Property Dispositions Development for
 5
                                                      5
                                                                    (Chorus of ayes.)
    Affordable Housing. So we will hear -- the
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?
                                                      6
    one that is listed as No. 2, we will actually
                                                      7
 7
                                                                    (No response.)
    hear that one first. That's an Application
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Great. Thank you.
 8
                                                      8
    Proposal for Five Single Family Home
                                                     9
                                                         The motion passes and meeting minutes are
 9
10
    Ownership Units in the Fifth Councilmanic
                                                     10
                                                         approved.
    District. We will hear that first and then
11
                                                     11
                                                                   We will now move to agenda item
12
    hopefully be able to get to the 45 Unit
                                                     12
                                                         No. 3, our Executive Director's Report.
    Proposal after that.
                                                                   MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Board
13
                                                     13
14
              Though I do want to note while we
                                                     14
                                                         members and public. Today I do not have an
15
    currently -- the Board does currently does
                                                     15
                                                         Executive Director's Report other than say
16
    have a quorum for all the other agenda items,
                                                     16
                                                         that we are still working diligently to get
    we don't currently have a quorum for agenda
                                                         prospective home buyers approved and into the
17
                                                     17
18
    Item V A-1 which is why we are going to, you
                                                         houses we have already approved for turn the
                                                     18
    know, have it be later in the agenda.
19
                                                     19
                                                         key.
20
              We have a Board member that has to
                                                     20
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Great. Thank you,
    recuse themselves from that agenda item which
                                                     21
21
                                                         Angel.
    would take us out of quorum. And so in order
                                                     22
                                                                   We will move to agenda Section IV,
    to have a quorum in time to be able to hear
                                                         Administrative Matters, IV A, Interagency
2.3
                                                     23
    that agenda item, that's why we are going to
                                                     24
                                                         Transfers. So can staff please start with
                                            Page 7
                                                                                                 Page 9
    move it slightly later. But I do want to
                                                         Agenda Item IV A-1?
 1
                                                     1
     just note for everybody here, again, anybody
                                                                   MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Board
                                                      2
 3
    here from the public that is here to observe
                                                      3
                                                         Chair, members of the Board and the public.
    or speak on that item, there is a chance that
                                                                   Today we are asking the Board to
                                                     4
 5
    if we do not have quorum and are not able to
                                                         authorize a transfer of the following
                                                     5
    actually conduct business or conduct a vote
                                                          properties to the Philadelphia Housing
    on that matter we will have to table that
                                                         Authority for disposition, reuse and/or
 7
                                                      7
    agenda item as well. So I just want to, you
                                                         management by the Philadelphia Housing
 9
    know, be up front and transparent for
                                                     9
                                                         Authority, specifically affordable housing in
    everybody before we get into, you know, the
                                                     10
                                                         the Eighth Councilmanic District. The
10
    meat of the agenda. So thank everybody for
                                                         properties are as follows: 6657 to 59
                                                     11
11
                                                         Blakemore Street; 50, 56, 60 through 74 and
12
    your patience.
               So now we will proceed to the next
                                                         1001 Collom Street; 6783, 85 and 87 Church
13
                                                     13
14
    agenda item, and that is Approval of the
                                                     14
                                                         Lane; 4547 and 51 East Garfield Street; 5417,
    Meeting Minutes from the last Board Meeting
                                                         5423 through 27, 5429 through 43, 5513 and
15
                                                     15
    held on March 12, 2024. The minutes were
                                                         5515 Lena Street; 4949 and 4951, 6526 through
                                                     16
17
    recirculated to Board Members ahead of this
                                                         34 Germantown Avenue; 1017 Manheim Street;
                                                     17
     morning's meeting. Hopefully everyone has
                                                          and then 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46 and 63 East
18
                                                     18
19
    had a chance to review.
                                                         Wister Street. All properties are going to
                                                     19
2.0
             MS. LOPEZ KRISS: I make a motion
                                                     2.0
                                                         be transferred by the Redevelopment Authority
21
    that we approve the meeting -- accept the
                                                     2.1
                                                         to the Land Bank.
    meeting minutes.
                                                     22
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel.
22
23
                                                     23
                                                                   Andrea, did we receive any public
              MR. JOHNS: Second.
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Great. A motion
                                                     24 comment in writing on this agenda item in
```

	04/03	7 2 0 2	• •
	Page 10		Page 12
1	advance of today's meeting?	1	I do see a few hands raised so I
2	MS. SAAH: Did not.	2	will remind everybody since we do have a
3	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Thank,	3	robust agenda this month we do have a few
4	Andrea.	4	ground rules for, you know, for public
5	Any questions from the Board about	5	comment. One is that we will call on
6	this agenda item?	6	individual members of the public only once
7	MR. SAUER: Yeah. Angel, for the	7	per agenda item. They will be asked to limit
8	benefit of the public, can you just clarify	8	your comments to two minutes or less. We
9	what the proposed transfers are or how the	9	also ask that people refrain from any
10	properties would be reused upon transfer?	10	personal attacks, be that of members of
11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's for the PHA to	11	application teams, staff, Board, et cetera,
12	seek financing to rehab the properties for	12	that people, you know, only comment on the
13	affordable housing, use and management.	13	agenda item, you know, have those be relevant
14	MR. JOHNS: Can you just explain a	14	to the agenda item specifically only, and
15	little background about the project itself?	15	that just noting that we will you know,
16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: For those that	16	staff will time and mute people as needed.
17	don't know, the PRA had this goes bank,	17	Thank you, everybody. We hope this
18	well, I don't know how long it went back but	18	allows people to maximize the number of
19	I want to say about a decade. These were	19	people who can comment on any particular
20	formerly Germantown Settlement properties.	20	agenda item and, you know, provide, you know,
21	PRA had issued financing. Due to malfeasance	21	provide important feedback for the Board as
22	on the part of the Germantown Settlement,	22	it makes its decisions.
23	these properties were taken to mortgage	23	So with that, going in the order as
24	foreclosure by the PRA. In one instance I	24	they appear on my screen, I see Greg
1 2	Page 11 believe three or four years ago the Land Bank went to Sheriff's sale and acquired one of	1 2	Page 13 Paulmier. Sorry if I mispronounce that. Please state your name and proceed with your
3	the Lena Street properties and then	3	testimony.
4	transferred it to the PRA to complete the	4	MR. PAULMIER: Yes. My name is
5	taking of well, the taking back of the	5	Greq Paulmier. I'm a 65 year resident of
6	properties from Germantown Settlement.	6	Germantown. And I just want to make sure
7	Since then PRA has been tasked with	7	that I'm clear on what's happening today
8	providing property management. I think it's	8	regarding the prior Germantown Settlement
9	safe to say here and other areas that PRA is	9	properties. It is my understanding that
10	not really set up to be a property manager or	10	these properties are going to be become
11	a landlord, in which case in conversations	11	the ownership of PHA, Philadelphia Housing
12	with the Councilmanic District and PHA the	12	Authority, and Philadelphia Housing Authority
13	best thing would be to have the properties	13	is going to manage these properties and
14	transferred to PHA, given that they have the	14	continue to make these properties available
15	resources to address the tenant issues as	15	to low and moderate income people in our
16	well as maintenance and providing and getting	16	community.
17	finance for the rehab of the properties.	17	Is that the correct understanding?
18	MR. JOHNS: Thank you.	18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct.
19	CHAIR GOODMAN: Any questions from	19	MR. PAULMIER: Okay. Thanks very
20	the Board?	20	much.
21	(No response.)	21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Next I see Yvonne
22	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. At this	22	Haskins. When prompted, please unmute
23	point do we have any questions or comments	23	yourself, state your name and proceed with
24	from the public on this agenda item?	24	your testimony.
"	The first of the wastern toom.		1

```
Page 14
                                                                                                 Page 16
              MS. HASKINS: My name is Yvonne
                                                          probably one of the worst landlords in the
 1
                                                      1
 2
    Haskins. Good morning.
                                                          City.
              CHAIR GOODMAN: Good morning.
                                                      3
                                                                    Thank you very much.
 3
              MS. HASKINS: I am confused that
 4
                                                      4
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your
    this is being -- all of the properties that
                                                          time. Thanks for joining us this morning.
 5
                                                      5
 6
    were foreclosed on by the PRA are being
                                                                    I also see A. Weiss. When
 7
     transferred to PHA?
                                                          prompted, please unmute yourself, state your
 8
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct.
                                                      8
                                                          name and proceed with your testimony.
              MS. HASKINS: That includes all 45
 9
                                                     9
                                                                    MS. WEISS: Yes. Allison Weiss,
10
    properties?
                                                     10
                                                          Solo Germantown Civic Association. And I
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Correct.
                                                          have to say that this is very confusing what
11
                                                     11
12
              MS. HASKINS: There was no notice
                                                     12
                                                          is happening, how it's happening, the time
    to the community about this and we had -- we
13
                                                     13
                                                          that it's taken to get to this point, the
14
    have had five years, or more -- no, it's
                                                     14
                                                          time that's been wasted, the condition of the
    going on six years since we uncovered this
                                                          properties. This is just -- why was there no
15
                                                     15
16
    fraud in the community, and hence, we had
                                                     16
                                                          notice that this was going to be happening?
17
    several community meetings. And since the
                                                          Why is there total disregard for all of the
                                                     17
    PRA hired someone to do community engagement
                                                         community meetings that were held with regard
18
                                                     18
    and every time we have met people want to
19
                                                     19
                                                          to what the community wanted to have happen
20
    have not only affordable housing but to also
                                                     20
                                                          to these properties? And now something
21
    have home ownership opportunities. This does
                                                     21
                                                          totally different is happening. What is the
22
    not provide home ownership opportunities if
                                                     22
                                                          timeline? When will these properties be
                                                          available to have people move in? And when
23
    it's going to PHA.
                                                     23
              Also, when PHA -- when the PRA
                                                     24
                                                         will there been an opportunity for the
24
                                           Page 15
                                                                                                Page 17
    first foreclosed on these properties they
                                                          community to be informed? This is -- I mean
 1
                                                      1
    gave these properties to -- they hired PHA
                                                          we are glad to see something happening
 2
    who managed the properties. And I received
                                                          finally but it's not happening in the way
 3
 4
    complaints from several tenants who -- most
                                                          that we were informed that it would be
                                                      4
    of the properties are unoccupied. We have
                                                          happening.
 5
                                                      5
 6
    had squatters that moved in. And I received
                                                      6
                                                                    A lot of money went into community
                                                          meetings, community engagement, what the
 7
    complaints from several tenants who were not
                                                      7
 8
    getting service, repairs or for problems by
                                                          community wanted.
 9
    PHA.
                                                      9
                                                                    And now, and now that's been
                                                          totally disregarded, in addition to the fact
10
              One property actually complained
                                                     10
                                                          that some of these properties have title
11
    that PHA had sent a crew of people out to do
                                                     11
    the manage -- to do the repairs. They never
                                                          issues as was brought up at the last
12
                                                     12
13
    did the repairs. And then this person was
                                                     13
                                                          Redevelopment Authority Meeting. There's a
14
    called to come in and sign the approval that
                                                     14
                                                          house that's in the rear of 83 and 87 Church
    the repairs were --
                                                          Lane that faces Lena Street that doesn't have
15
                                                     15
16
              CHAIR GOODMAN: Ms. Haskins, sorry
                                                     16
                                                          an address.
    to interrupt. Two minutes are up so can you
17
                                                     17
                                                                    But this is just a total surprise
18
    please, you know, complete your -- finish
                                                     18
                                                          and shock and disregard for the community
19
    your question please?
                                                     19
                                                          process. So I would like to know what the
2.0
              MS. HASKINS: I would object and
                                                     20
                                                         timeline is.
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your
21
    I'm sure most of the people in this community
                                                     21
22
    would object to PHA receiving these
                                                     22
                                                          comments and your questions.
                                                     23
23
    properties and there being no community
                                                                    So I guess, Angel, I know you
    engagement in making that decision. PHA is
                                                     24
                                                         can't, probably can't comment on PHA's
24
```

```
Page 20
                                           Page 18
     process directly. But can you comment a
                                                         property manager in that respect. PHA is.
                                                     1
    little bit on the kind of the steps on, you
                                                     2
                                                                   CHAIR GOODMAN: Absolutely. Thank
 3
    know, the PRA side that kind of preceded this
                                                     3
                                                         vou.
    coming to this, you know, this Board?
4
                                                     4
                                                                   Any other questions from members of
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: So in terms of
 5
                                                     5
                                                         the Board?
 6
   timeline for the transfer, the PRA Board
                                                     6
                                                                  MR. JOHNS: Will you have someone
 7
    meets tomorrow and they will be meeting to
                                                     7
                                                        from PHA at the Redevelopment Authority Board
    talk about the transfer. On the Land Bank
                                                        Meeting that can answer questions?
 8
                                                     8
    side we are a little bit more efficient than
                                                     9
                                                                   MR. RODRIGUEZ: Typically they --
    PRA. I would just shout out to our Legal
                                                    10 for interagency transfers they don't send
10
11
    Department in getting resolutions over. Once
                                                    11
                                                        anyone.
                                                                   MR. SAUER: Actually I have a
12
    the Board resolves to do the transfer, PRA
                                                    12
13
    will handle their resolutions.
                                                    13
                                                         couple other questions. Is the assumption
                                                    14 here that the units will be rehabbed as
14
              Counsel, we are expecting counsel
15
   to approve this. Then PHA has their own
                                                    15
                                                        affordable rental or do we not know what the
    process for receiving properties. So -- but
                                                         broader -- if there's any home ownership
16
                                                    16
                                                         opportunities that PHA is considering for --
    this would be an Interagency Transfer and fee
17
                                                    17
18
    simple transfer so there wouldn't be, under
                                                    18
                                                                  MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't know their
19
    the Disposition Ordinance there would not be
                                                    19
                                                        specific plans. What I can talk to is the
    a development. There would just be a title
                                                         capacity of PHA to acquire tax credits and
20
                                                    20
21
    transfer to PHA and PHA will work towards
                                                    21
                                                         4 percents. PHA has a grade model in being
22
    acquiring the properties, taking title,
                                                    22
                                                         able to secure 4 percent tax credits where
23
    putting the mandatory developed plans to
                                                    23
                                                        nobody else can and work with developers to
24
    rehab it.
                                                    2.4
                                                        actually expedite like development.
                                           Page 19
              CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.
                                                        Obviously if there's any kind of tax credits
 2
              Well, obviously this is a saga --
                                                        it will have to be affordable. I think that
                                                     2
 3
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: It would take a
                                                     3
                                                         is their bread and butter. So that will
    couple of months for the -- to effect the
 4
                                                     4 be -- have to have a conversation with
    transfers because the deeds would be an
                                                     5 Kelvin. I don't want to speak for him.
    issue. So the deeds -- I think given the
                                                                  MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, I understand
 6
                                                     6
     prior legal, prior legal activity, the deeds
                                                     7
                                                         that.
    shouldn't be in question. And the fact of
8
                                                     8
                                                                   I guess my other question is it
    the matter is that PRA then legal would just
                                                         sounds like there's been a community
                                                        engagement process over the last several
10
   have to transfer it without any consideration
                                                    10
11
    to the Land Bank. So I don't see this going
                                                    11
                                                         years. This is sort of slowly winding its
    on. This probably will be concluded in the
                                                         way through the process. But maybe there
12
                                                    12
                                                         wasn't notification. I don't know if that's
13
    next couple of months.
                                                    13
                                                         because it's an Interagency Transfer versus a
              CHAIR GOODMAN: And I know this is
14
                                                    14
15
    saga that has been going a for quite some
                                                    15
                                                         disposition by the Land Bank. But was there
16
   time. But is it, I mean is it fair to say
                                                    16
                                                         any type of community notification beyond
17
    that the, kind of the primary motivation
                                                    17
                                                         this being on the Board, Land Bank Board
   behind this transfer is to enable this to be
18
                                                    18
                                                        Meeting agenda?
19
    a site for long-term affordable housing which
                                                    19
                                                                   MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. So I had
    is kind of the impetus of this all along,
                                                        talked to the PRA Board. This kind of
2.0
                                                    2.0
21
    right?
                                                    21
                                                        happened rather quickly.
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. I think, you
                                                                   MR. SAUER: I mean it seems like
22
                                                    22
23
   know, as I stated earlier, the Redevelopment
                                                    2.3
                                                        there might be some value given the process
24 Authority is not really a landlord or
                                                    24
                                                        that was already in place to have a
```

```
Page 22
                                                                                                Page 24
                                                         question. Just for clarity sake, since the
    conversation with the community before the
 1
 2
    transfer is done. But I do support the goal
                                                          public seems to have questions remaining, is
                                                          there any other way that these properties
 3
    of maintaining these units as long-term
    affordable housing, so that's a positive step
                                                          would be developed for affordable housing?
 4
                                                      4
 5
    as well.
                                                          Like is this part of a natural process it
              MR. JOHNS: Yeah, I agree that it
                                                          needs to go to PHA -- or I'm sorry, it needs
 7
                                                      7
                                                         to go get out of our --
    would be good to have someone from the
    Authority to have, you know, have some
                                                                    MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think the issue
 8
                                                      8
 9
    statement in terms of what it is that they
                                                      9
                                                          here is the complexity of how the properties
    plan to do. To Rick's point, will it be
                                                          were taken and the fact that you have
10
                                                     10
    affordable rental or is -- or will there be
                                                     11
                                                          occupancy in there. So whomever takes it,
11
    opportunities for home ownership? You know,
                                                          and the actual conditions of the units, you
12
                                                     12
13
    it's been a project that exists, been around
                                                         need someone who has the wherewithal to
                                                     1.3
    for a long time so I know there's a lot of
                                                         relocate residents at a low affordability
14
    interest. You know, the community is very
15
                                                     15
                                                          level and then maintain the asset. And that
16
    interested in what the ultimate outcome will
                                                          way, with those considerations I don't think
17
    be, so just if we had some statement or
                                                         there is any nonprofit CDC that has that
                                                     17
    something from the Authority would be great.
                                                          capability or capacity to come up really
18
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I don't have
19
                                                          quickly with a relocation plan and then get
                                                     19
20
                                                          financing to then rehab the property in a
    one but.
                                                     20
              CHAIR GOODMAN: And we certainly
21
                                                     21
                                                         timely fashion and relocate the people back
22
    know that PHA has a variety of -- or they
                                                         in. The PHA does. The PHA has a number of
                                                     22
    have multiple different pathways to assist,
23
                                                     2.3
                                                         units that they can immediately identify to
    you know, assist their kind of -- their
                                                          put these tenants in a much more -- better
24
                                                                                                Page 25
                                           Page 23
    clients in getting into home ownership
                                                          quality housing, either temporarily or
1
                                                      1
    opportunities and so hopefully that would be
                                                          permanently. Then they have the wherewithal.
 2
                                                      2
 3
    a possibility here as well.
                                                          They have their -- the way they are
 4
              Any other questions from the Board?
                                                      4
                                                          structured and federally funded, they are
 5
              MS. GONZALEZ: I just have a
                                                         better at getting 4 percents which most
    statement. I think that, you know, as
                                                          people cannot get and also 9 percents.
 6
 7
    developers of affordable housing I'm sure
                                                      7
                                                                    And I would just point out to
 8
    there's going to be many opportunities. I
                                                      8
                                                          everybody on the Board and the public PHA,
 9
    don't want to speak for PHA but I'm sure that
                                                         you know, is doing a hell of a job getting
    -- you know, depending on the use of these
                                                         choice neighborhood grants. I mean you have
10
                                                     10
11
    properties and permitting and all that, there
                                                     11
                                                          got them in Bartram Gardens, they have
    will be other opportunities for public
                                                          already done Blumberg Sharswood, they have
12
                                                     12
                                                          done Eastern North. You know, I think -- and
13
    comment and for the community to also be able
                                                     13
    to speak to what they would like to see in
                                                         now they are doing West Philadelphia and the
14
                                                     14
15
    their neighborhood. And, you know, I think
                                                     15
                                                          northern area in West Park. I think this is
    we need more affordable housing in
                                                         a better fit. I think it meets the needs of
16
                                                     16
17
    Philadelphia, so I really applaud them for,
                                                     17 the residents who definitely need some
    you know, stepping in and saving these
                                                     18 attention and also the properties need
18
    properties and putting them back in use for
19
                                                     19
                                                         attention.
    the community.
                                                                   MR. JOHNS: I agree. And I'm not
20
                                                     20
              CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.
21
                                                     21 bias but I agree.
                                                     22
                                                                   MR. BALLOON: Thank you so much for
2.2
              Any other questions or comments
23
    from the Board?
                                                     23
                                                          that answer. I think that flushes out a lot
24
              MS. LOPEZ KRISS: I have a quick
                                                     24
                                                         of the questions. I think that given some of
```

```
Page 28
                                            Page 26
     the public feedback, I think there's some
                                                          Authority, specifically affordable housing in
 1
                                                       1
 2
     important conversations that need to happen
                                                           the Third Councilmanic District. These
    with PHA about the future of the properties
                                                           properties are 4310 Rear Haverford Avenue and
 3
    but I'm not sure that they can be answered
                                                          4419 Rear Market Street. Both properties are
 4
                                                       4
                                                          being transferred from the City
 5
    here by the Land Bank today.
              MS. GONZALEZ: I just wanted to add
                                                          Redevelopment Authority to the Land Bank.
                                                                     As background, this is part of the
 7
     this is I guess Step 1. Acquisition is, you
                                                       7
    know, really important in order to formulate
                                                           Choice Neighborhood initiative in West Park.
 8
9
     a development plan that meets the needs of
                                                          These were remnants that did not have a legal
                                                      9
10
    the community.
                                                      10
                                                          description. The Land Bank legal team,
11
               And also, I just wanted to comment
                                                           Andrea specifically worked with PHA to get a
                                                      11
12
    as it relates to relocation. Yes, PHA has
                                                      12
                                                           legal description, appropriate legal
    the capacity to be able to absorb, you know,
                                                           description and address so that we could do
13
                                                      13
    and be able to relocate those families. And
                                                          this transfer. This will now complete the
14
                                                      14
                                                           assemblage and allow PHA to complete -- \ensuremath{\text{I}}
15
    also, they are held to a higher standard
                                                      15
                                                           think they have already submitted their
16
    because they are, you know, governed by HUD,
                                                      16
    you know, as it relates to making sure that
                                                           application to HUD but for a large scale
17
                                                      17
18
    no one is displaced and, you know, they are
                                                     18
                                                          affordable housing, I believe it's a mixed
                                                          income, correct, Andrea, in West Park area.
    taken care of, and also, having the
19
                                                      19
    flexibility to come back which no other
                                                                     CHAIR GOODMAN: Yes, I believe
20
                                                      20
    developer, unless you really have a large
                                                      21
                                                          there is some -- yes, there's some mixed
21
2.2
    number of units, will be able to absorb that.
                                                      22
                                                           income components, though their first
              CHAIR GOODMAN: All right. With
                                                      23
                                                          applications are entirely affordable.
2.3
2.4
    that, seeing no other comments or questions
                                                      2.4
                                                                     And just a clarification, since
                                            Page 27
                                                                                                  Page 29
    from the Board, is there a motion?
                                                           these were kind of addressless parcels for
1
 2
              MR. BALLOON: I move that we
                                                       2
                                                           some time, are these pieces of land that were
                                                           essentially effectively already being used by
 3
     approve the Interagency Transfer.
                                                       3
              MS. GONZALEZ: I second.
                                                           PHA as part of the existing site or do they
 4
               CHAIR GOODMAN: A motion has been
 5
                                                           just happen to be right next to the existing
                                                       5
    made and properly seconded to approve the
                                                           PHA site?
 6
     Interagency Transfer from Philadelphia Land
                                                                     MR. RODRIGUEZ: They are actually
 7
                                                      7
    Bank to the Philadelphia Housing Authority.
                                                          in the development area that already had
 8
 9
                                                          been, you know, part of PHA's application.
              All in favor please say aye.
                                                      9
10
               (Chorus of ayes.)
                                                      10
                                                           So these are like remnants they found out
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?
                                                           like, you know, okay, these were -- there
11
                                                      11
                                                           might have been a combination at some point.
12
               (No response.)
                                                      12
               CHAIR GOODMAN: The ayes have it
                                                           But either way, these parcels did not -- we
13
                                                      13
14
    and the Interagency Transfer is approved.
                                                      14
                                                           couldn't find them in any legal description
15
               Moving on to our next agenda item
                                                      15
                                                           for conveyance so, therefore, first we had to
    is another Interagency Transfer proposal to
                                                          identify legally what it was. Then once we
                                                      16
17
    the Philadelphia Housing Authority.
                                                      17
                                                          did that, we had to go through the process of
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,
                                                          getting an address to convey it. So now PHA
18
                                                      18
19
                                                           will legally have access and ownership of
    Mr. Chair.
                                                      19
2.0
               Today we are asking the Board to
                                                      2.0
                                                          those remnants. They are just open. It's
    authorize the transfer of the following
                                                      21
                                                           just -- go ahead, Andrea.
2.1
    properties to the Philadelphia Housing
                                                                     MS. SAAH: Yes. If I can give a
22
                                                      22
                                                      23
                                                         little more background. These were actually
23
    Authority for disposition, reuse and/or
    management by the Philadelphia Housing
                                                         assumed to be owned by PHA but because they
                                                      24
```

```
Page 30
                                                                                                 Page 32
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: The motion has been
    are remainders -- they were basically
1
                                                      1
2
    properties that PHA had conveyed to the City
                                                          made and properly seconded to approve the
    and then the City had subdivided and conveyed
                                                          Interagency Transfer of 4310 R Haverford
3
    some of it to the Nehemiah Way Development
                                                          Avenue and 4419 R Market Street to the
4
                                                      4
5
    but also back to PHA. And so it was always
                                                      5
                                                          Philadelphia Housing Authority.
    assumed that all of it had been conveyed.
                                                                    All in favor?
 7
    But then we discovered that there were two
                                                      7
                                                                    (Chorus of ayes.)
     parcels that had not been conveyed back to
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?
8
                                                      8
9
     PHA and so we are just basically
                                                      9
                                                                     (No response.)
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: The ayes have it.
10
    memorializing what had always been assumed
                                                     10
    was already the case.
                                                          The motion carries and the Interagency
11
                                                     11
12
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Got you. Thank
                                                     12
                                                          Transfer is approved.
    you, thank you. Super helpful. Thank you
                                                     13
                                                                    Moving on to Section V of our
13
    for that extra context.
                                                          agenda, Property Dispositions, Subsection A.
14
                                                     14
15
              And did we receive any public
                                                     15
                                                          And as a reminder, we are going to start with
    comment in writing about this agenda item
                                                          the second agenda item listed in the
16
     ahead of this morning's meeting?
                                                          published -- publicized meeting agenda, a
17
                                                     17
              MS. SAAH: We did not.
                                                          proposal for five single family home
18
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Thank you.
                                                          ownership units in the Fifth Council
19
                                                     19
              Any questions from the Board about
                                                          District.
20
                                                     20
21
    this agenda item?
                                                     21
                                                                    MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you,
22
               (No response.)
                                                     22
                                                          Chairperson.
               CHAIR GOODMAN: All right. Seeing
23
                                                     23
                                                                    Today we are asking the Board to
    none, are there any questions or comments
                                                          authorize the disposition of the following
                                            Page 31
                                                                                                 Page 33
    from the public on this agenda item? If so,
                                                          properties to Soar City Development Company
1
                                                      1
2
    please raise your virtual zoom hand. And
                                                      2
                                                          to develop five single family home ownership
 3
    again, please be reminded please limit your
                                                          units in the Fifth Councilmanic District.
 4
    comments to this specific agenda item, i.e.,
                                                      4
                                                          All five single family home ownership units
5
    the Interagency Transfer for these two
                                                          will be sold to households with incomes at or
     properties along Haverford Avenue and Market
                                                          below 100 percent of AMI with a maximum sales
 6
                                                          price of $280,000. The units will be two
 7
     Street.
8
               I see Ms. Yvonne Haskins. Please
                                                      8
                                                          stories containing three bedrooms, two
9
    unmute yourself, state your name and proceed
                                                          baths -- correction. They will be three
    with your comments or questions.
                                                          stories. There's a setback on the third
10
                                                     10
11
               (No response.)
                                                     11
                                                          story. Three bedroom, two baths,
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Perhaps it
                                                          approximately 1,200 square feet. The home
12
                                                     12
13
    was a raised hand in error. Okay.
                                                     13
                                                          buyers will be illegible for the Neighborhood
              Are there any other members of the
                                                          Preservation Initiatives Turn the Key
14
                                                     14
15
    public who wish to ask questions or give
                                                     15
                                                          Program.
16
    comments on this Interagency Transfer agenda
                                                     16
                                                                    The application was unsolicited and
17
                                                     17
                                                          evaluated pursuant to the Disposition Policy
                                                          and Ordinance. An EOP Plan will apply to
18
               (No response.)
                                                     18
19
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Seeing none,
                                                     19
                                                          this project. There will also been an
                                                     20
    I will entertain a motion from the Board on
                                                          irrevocable Power of Attorney applied to
20
21
    this agenda item.
                                                     21
22
              MR. JOHNS: Motion to approve the
                                                     22
                                                                    The properties are: 2502, 2503,
2.3
     transfer.
                                                     2.3
                                                          2542, 2552, 2559 North 28th Street. These
              MR. BALLOON: Second.
24
                                                          properties are being transferred from the
```

	1000	/202	
	Page 34		Page 36
1	City of Philadelphia to the Land Bank through	1	that has worked with Mr. Campbell to help him
2	the Redevelopment Authority.	2	develop his project. And basically they are
3	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel.	3	all very much in favor of approving this
4	And can you confirm that the	4	project for this development.
5	that an RCO meeting took place ahead of this	5	And all of the letters were
6	morning's Board Meeting?	6	provided to the Board and they will all be
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: The meeting	7	included in the minutes to this meeting. I
8	happened.	8	cannot read every single letter. They are
9	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yes.	9	rather long. But they are very strongly in
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: RCO members were	10	support. We did not receive any e-mails
11	present.	11	either for or against e-mails from
12	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, thank	12	community members either for or against the
13	you.	13	project.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, I confuse	14	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Thank you
15	the two.	15	for that summary, Andrea.
16	CHAIR GOODMAN: No, no, no. Got	16	And just to clarify for kind of
17	you. You got to use the right terminology	17	refreshing memory, this was, this was a
18	always, always, always.	18	proposal to come before this Board a couple
19	Andrea, did we receive any comments	19	meetings ago. And there were there have
20	from the public in writing on this agenda	20	been some correct me if I am wrong
21	item ahead of this morning's meeting?	21	design revisions since that, since that
22	MS. SAAH: Sorry. I couldn't get	22	meeting, right.
23	back to the screen.	23	Angel, can you kind of give a quick
24	Yes, we did. Let me pull them up.	24	summary of what those are?
	Page 35		Page 37
1 2	Page 35 We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City	1 2	Page 37 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third
2000	We received a letter of we received four	332	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There
2	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The	2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out
2	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass	2 3 4 5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that.
2 3 4 5 6	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David	2 3 4 5 6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put
2 3 4 5 6 7	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And	2 3 4 5 6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and brown community development financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think I was the one that had most of the comments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and brown community development financial institutions to meet the demand for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think I was the one that had most of the comments related to the land the way that the plans
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and brown community development financial institutions to meet the demand for the capital.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think I was the one that had most of the comments related to the land the way that the plans laid out. It is a much better plan, liveable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We received a letter of we received four letters of support for the Soar City Development. The first was from Black Squirrel. Kevin Williams is the CEO. The second is from Chris Pitt from PittPass Development Group. The third is from David Langleib, Philadelphia Accelerator Fund. And the fourth is from ULI Philadelphia, Kevin Moran, Executive Director. And all of them highlighted the importance of increasing the capacity of black and brown developers, minority developers, also allowing minority homeowners to build generational wealth, to reduce blight and improve the neighborhood's beautification and to create entrepreneurial opportunities to drive to build a more equitable real estate developed system, and also to increase the capacity of black and brown community development financial institutions to meet the demand for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. When they were put there there was a setback third floor I think in terms of the design. There was issues with the design as we pointed out by Board members. They have addressed that. And then in terms of liveable space they put the setback third story and maintained the front facade. There is a zoning overlay. They had to comply with that. So they are in compliance with the zoning overlay and then they designed it so that it was a much more liveable space. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Angel. Any questions or comments from the Board? MR. JOHNS: Yes, I do. First I just want to commend the development team for going back and changing the design. As an architect it was driving me in sane. I think I was the one that had most of the comments related to the land the way that the plans

		i	
1	Page 38 sheet. We just have to make sure that the	1	Page 40 expressed in trying to kind of, you know,
2	fact sheets are accurate. And I'm glad Angel	2	meet their concerns. There was a revision
3	mentioned the change to the three story, two	3	made to two story and but as it stands,
4	story to three story because that was one of	4	yes, three story is where it is.
5		5	
6	the things that I saw.	6	MR. JOHNS: So it is three story
7	Also, it says approximately 1,200	7	with the third story is set back to comply
8	square foot each. But when I calculate the	8	with zoning. Is that what I'm hearing? MR. CAMPBELL: Correct.
9	dimensions of the site in parcel I get 1,336	9	
19600	for the unit. So I don't know if they can	2002	MR. JOHNS: Okay, all right. So
10	explain what the difference is.	10	this is the correct plan that we have in
11	There's also on the development	11	front of us. That's I'm just trying to
12	fact sheet Page 72 it says 5,000 square feet	12	get that straight.
13	gross. It should be 6,000. But the numbers	13	MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, but there were
14	actually create correctly to the 6,000 based	14	multiple versions. That may be why. You
15	on 1,200 square feet. And there's no porch	15	know, you may have the fact sheet from
16	shown in the plan and that should be shown.	16	another version of the design. That's all.
17	If someone could just explain what's the	17	MR. JOHNS: Okay. Well, Angel, is
18	difference between the 1,336 and the 1,200.	18	this we are assuming this is the correct
19	And is it the construction or what, other	19	one, right?
20	framing to the existing property lines or	20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. But we
21	what? Because there is a difference.	21	could what I would suggest is we have made
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't know if the	22	note of your questions and I would ask, if
23	developer is on the call, on this meeting.	23	possible, getting a provisional approval
24	CHAIR GOODMAN: Ibraheim Campbell	24	until we like correct this. I think we I
1	Page 39	1	Page 41
1 2	has raised his hand.	1 2	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were
2	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank	2	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct
2	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the	2	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure
2 3 4	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community	2 3 4	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct.
2 3 4 5	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today.	2 3 4 5	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to
2 3 4 5 6	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question,	2 3 4 5 6	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another
2 3 4 5 6 7	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because	2 3 4 5 6 7	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here. MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here. MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here. MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GCODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here. MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion. MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you. MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here. MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion. MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three story, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you. MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you know, as we are presenting, you know, for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here. MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion. MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three story, correct? MR. CAMPBELL: So the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you. MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you know, as we are presenting, you know, for a resolution, I think it will be important to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has raised his hand. MR. CAMPBELL: Hey, Michael. Thank you for the questions and thank you for the opportunity to present to the community today. But in response to your question, there were multiple designs submitted because from my community meeting there was some concern over the third story because the block is largely a two story block. So because of that I did go back to the community with a two story proposal. So there may have been a mix up with the designs of the two story proposal which were 1,200 square feet and the drawings that you are seeing here. MR. JOHNS: Okay. So this MR. CAMPBELL: That might explain the confusion. MR. JOHNS: So the final is a three story, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think to Mr. Campbell's point there were several plans. I believe we have the correct plans because we did reach out to make sure that it was correct. CHAIR GOODMAN: I just want to and the porch thing, too, is another important one to bring up because it does appear there's porches on the elevations, right, but we don't see them on the site plans. MR. JOHNS: Right. And is it if it's a situation where the porch is only applicable in areas where they are required to have a porch, that makes sense, too, right. But if it's just how does that affect the you know, does it affect the number, how does it affect the number, how does it affect the number kind of thing. But I think the layout is much better than what we saw before, so thank you. MS. GONZALEZ: Yeah, I think, you know, as we are presenting, you know, for a

```
Page 44
                                            Page 42
     footage, how many stories. And I think going
                                                          is everyone this morning?
 1
                                                      1
    forward I think it will be important to make
                                                                    I am an affected resident of
                                                      2
 2
 3
    sure that the information that we get is very
                                                      3
                                                          Strawberry Mansion as well as an affected
    clear so we know what we are approving and
                                                          RCO. And the last drawings I saw were hand,
 4
                                                      4
                                                          some kind of hand revision. Then later we
 5
     there's, you know, there's no
                                                          did get something that may look like whatever
 6
    misunderstanding, you know, within what we
 7
     are approving and also in what the community
                                                      7
                                                          you all are looking at right now and I'm not
     is expecting to be built.
                                                          really sure. Strawberry Mansion also has an
 8
                                                      8
              MR. JOHNS: And lastly, please if
 9
                                                      9
                                                          overlay and the elevation, we are not clear
    we can make sure -- I know this is ad
                                                         whether it's in violation of that or not,
10
                                                     10
    nauseam -- to -- for the developers to
                                                     11 whether it's set back or not.
11
12
    include furnishings, furniture on the plans
                                                     12
                                                                    I also just wanted to indicate that
13
    so, you know, we can accurately kind of see
                                                     13
                                                          words do matter. And I think it's very
    what fits, whether it -- you know, bedrooms,
                                                     14 heartfelt and harmful when in particularly
14
15
    which I know that this is legitimate sized
                                                     15
                                                          this case people keep talking about black and
    rooms but, you know, if you don't show it and
                                                          brown people and never really came to our
16
                                                     16
    you have got some smaller units, you don't
                                                          community to really, you know, work with us
17
                                                     17
18
    show furniture, people in general can't
                                                     18
                                                          and talk with us.
19
    necessarily know, you know, does this fit a
                                                     19
                                                                    And these words keep being moved
    bedroom, can a family, you know, be here.
                                                          around in this whole idea of affordable
20
                                                     20
21
              But this, the plan that we have in
                                                     21
                                                          housing and that is not what we see. You got
22
    front of us works for me. It looks good.
                                                     22
                                                          four letters of people who don't even know
23
              CHAIR GOODMAN: So then just to
                                                     23
                                                          that neighborhood. You know, like we got
    make sure, you know, for consistency that I
                                                          this we support Black Squirrel/Ibraheim
    have got it right for what is being proposed
                                                          Campbell process but you don't have anything
                                                      1
                                                          from the community. And if there were other
    on each of these addresses is three story,
 2
                                                      2
                                                          meetings -- again, these meetings are
 3
    1,200 square foot unit, third floor setback
 4
    and porch.
                                                      4
                                                          haphazard. They are not inclusive of all of
 5
              Mr. Campbell, can you confirm?
                                                          the people including the process of RCOs that
              MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, that is the
                                                          should be notified to make sure that we all
 6
                                                          are in agreement and that we all see the same
 7
     current proposal that is being presented,
     correct.
 8
                                                      8
                                                          thing.
 9
              CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Any
                                                      9
                                                                    Again, these non basement type of
10
    other questions or comments from the Board?
                                                     10
                                                          properties make and reduce those homes,
11
               (No response.)
                                                     11
                                                          particularly two which are only 900 square
              CHAIR GOODMAN: Questions or
                                                          feet to approximately 700 square foot. You
12
                                                     12
13
    comments from any members of the viewing
                                                     13
                                                          all are assuming that there could even be a
     audience? If so, please raise your virtual
                                                          sale of these houses for that block. Like
14
                                                     14
15
    hand and please limit your comments to two
                                                     15
                                                          there's no real accountability of how we are
16
    minutes or less.
                                                     16
                                                          even putting so-called black and brown
17
              The first hand I see is Strawberry
                                                     17
                                                          people, so-called low income people in harm's
    Mansion. When prompted, please unmute
                                                          way of a $280,000 mortgage, that these
18
                                                     18
19
    yourself, state your name and proceed with
                                                     19
                                                          properties are suitable to starter homes or
                                                         homes long term.
2.0
    your comments.
                                                     2.0
21
              MS. CUMMINGS: Can you hear me?
                                                     21
                                                                    So I just wanted to say that it
              CHAIR GOODMAN: Loud and clear.
22
                                                     22
                                                          really is a hardship really to communities
23
    Good morning.
                                                     23
                                                          and to leaders such as myself where we have
2.4
              MS. CUMMINGS: Good morning. How
                                                     24
                                                         to combat with our government around
```

_	*	10	
1	Page 46 terminologies, you know, words that really	1	Page 48 Next I see David Langlieb. When
2	mean nothing because none of those people,	2	prompted, please unmute yourself, state your
3	none of that support could tell you anybody	3	name and proceed with your comments.
4	they even knew in that community. They have	4	MR. LANGLIEB: Thank you, Andrew.
5	not worked with us in any real capacity and	5	This is Dave. I'm the Executive Director of
6	they are using their banks and different	6	the Philadelphia Accelerator Fund.
7	things to just kind of bully this Board,	7	I did provide a letter of support
8	other boards and members of our elected body.	8	for this project or signed a letter of
9	So I just think we need to be more	9	support and wanted to reinforce that and also
10	accountable. This project is really wishy	10	sport Ibraheim Campbell as a developer.
11	washy. We don't know what they're building.	11	Ibraheim's project will create five new home
12	There, again, like as I said, there's an	12	ownership opportunities for Philadelphia
13	overlay. Does that, does throwing a third	13	residents, will be benefiting from Turn the
14	roof or a third height or elevated height	14	Key subsidy, and as such, will be able to own
15	violate that?	15	their homes for considerably less than it
16	CHAIR GOODMAN: Two minutes has	16	36 (2) 5077 W 36
17	passed so can you wrap up your comments?	17	would cost to rent a comparable three bedroom residence.
	MS. CUMMINGS: Thank you. I have	18	
18 19	said what I had to say. Thank you.	19	Ibraheim grew up in Philadelphia, is committed to investing in the City. The
20		20	disposition proposed here will enable him to
21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Thank	21	
22	you for taking the time.	22	scale out his Affordable Housing Development activities. He's one of several successful
23	And, you know, certainly do know	23	
24	that I mean any member, any member of the public is, you know, welcome to attend these	24	graduates of the Philly Rise Development Accelerator Program which we at PAF here are
24	public is, you know, welcome to accend these	24	Accelerator Program which we at PAP here are
	Page 47		Page 49
1	meetings, give comment, also can bring	1	excited to support as well. And we also
2	comment ahead of time. But, you know,	2	provided a term sheet for the project's pre
13	certainly trust and believe and know that	3	debt and expect that we will be able to
4	this Board does pay, you know, very close	4	partner with the reinvesting fund on a full
5	attention to kind of, to the origins of the	5	project financing. I think support for
6	comments, right, especially as you know,	6	developers like Ibraheim from the Accelerator
7	especially with, you know, residents and	7	Fund is designed to leverage the requisite
8	community stakeholders in the neighborhood	8	construction debt, ultimately enable Soar
9	where the, you know, where the application is	9	City to attract bank financing and to build
10	located in. So thank you for that.	10	more quality affording housing throughout
11	Next I see Calvin Williams.	11	Philadelphia.
12	Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute	12	Thank you for your time.
13	yourself, state your name and begin your	13	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And,
14	comments.	14	you know, just to clarify, even though I know
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Good	15	it does come up frequently at these Board
16	afternoon, all. I just want to put my	16	meetings but, you know, showing that these
17	support around I am Calvin Williams with	17	properties are eligible to participate in the
18	Strawberry Mansion also. I would like to put	18	City's Turn the Key Program, you know,
19	my support around the community concerns,	19	does provides first-time home buyers with
20	comments, and I will limit it to that. I	20	the opportunity for a soft second mortgage up
21	fully support the comments made there.	21	to \$75,000. So I know it, you know, comes up
22	Thank you.	22	frequently where the maximum sale price on a
23	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Thank	23	land disposition, you know, is much higher
24	you for your time.	24	than what the actual kind of obligation is to
24	you for your cime.		

	Page 50		Page 52
1	the first-time home buyer thanks to the soft	1	I think kind of disconnect between, you know,
2	second as well as a variety of other	2	what the land disposition, you know, agenda
3	assistance programs for first-time home	3	item says the maximum sales price versus the
4	buyers that the City is able to bring to the	4	kind of true and genuine income and kind of
5	table.	5	obligation to whoever the first-time home
6	So I know it's obviously become a	6	buyer is.
7	pretty common discussion topic at these Board	7	So I mean I guess since it has come
8	meetings because of all the Turn the Key	8	up, I mean seeing, seeing that Turn the Key
9	related dispositions. But since it's the	9	was actually becoming beneficial to so many
10	first agenda item on that topic this month I	10	people at lower AMIs than what the land
11	just wanted to raise that up as well.	11	disposition is being sold for, has there been
12	Angel, I saw you unmuted. Did you	12	any conversation to kind of right size, for
13	have any comments on that?	13	lack of a better word, in terms of adjusting
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, just to give	14	maximum sale prices or AMI thresholds to be
15	some context. The Turn the Key Program would	15	lower since that is the target for you
16	provide \$75,000 at this point plus it would	16	know, since that is where the kind of prime
17	be coupled with an additional 10,000 of, you	17	opportunity for Turn the Key is and that's
18	know, closing support. All of our partner	18	where the average home buyer is coming in at
19	banks provide at a minimum \$10,000 of a	19	so far anyway?
20	product for that property owner. Just so we	20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think that's a
21	are really clear, you know, what we are	21	bigger conversation because, again, the
22	seeing in closings, the average monthly	22	funding is coming from a bond issuance which
23	payment, mortgage payment for Turn the Key	23	is tied to an ordinance which caps again,
24	recipients are 1,353. Typically it's, you	24	this is a cap to actually not every
200	Page 51	245	Page 53
1	Page 51 know, much less than the local rent for a	1	Page 53 council district is the same, not every
2	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what	2	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why
2	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer	2	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So
2	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what	2 3 4	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being
2	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We	2 3 4 5	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a
2 3 4 5 6	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have	2 3 4 5 6	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process
2 3 4 5 6 7	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent	2 3 4 5 6 7	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously we know there's a whole bunch of other steps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MR. SAUER: since the Second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously we know there's a whole bunch of other steps after that to actually bring something to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI - MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MR. SAUER: since the Second District. So I'm not sure it needs a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, much less than the local rent for a three bedroom, two bath. And then what you're looking at is the average home buyer income is 45,000, credit score 600 is the average credit score of the home buyer. We are hitting about at this point we have dropped from 58 percent of AMI to 57 percent of AMI. So just saying that the program is working as it was meant to be as it was designed. There's built-in equity because once you build there in the surrounding development you're really creating wealth, long-term wealth. CHAIR GOODMAN: I know it's super helpful certainly for this Board to be able to hear the kind of up-to-the-minute numbers, right, because even while, you know, oftentimes when this Board approves, you know, the disposition applications, obviously we know there's a whole bunch of other steps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	council district is the same, not every neighborhood is the same. So that's why 100 percent of AMI is set as the cap. So that would require the legislation being changed to lower it, so. And you as a staffer in council know what that process would mean to do that. I do think, you know, it really is hitting, you know, the intended market at 57 percent of AMI, so. CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah. No, that's really cool to hear, so. Oh, sorry, Rick. Go ahead. MR. SAUER: Yeah. No, just one comment on what you just said, Angel. And it's great that the AMI has been so low with that. I had thought for sure, though, we have done dispositions for Turn the Key that were capped at 80 percent of AMI versus 100 percent of AMI MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MR. SAUER: since the Second

	NCD - VOMM SHROOM		COLORIA.
	Page 54		Page 56
1	to distinguish, Rick, is that this an	1	more strategically around what type of
2	unsolicited application. So the legislation	2	affordability we want to make in those
3	states if it's going to qualify for Turn the	3	neighborhoods and be a little bit more
4	Key funding, the maximum is 100 percent of	4	proactive on that front.
5	AMI. So it's two separate issues. There was	5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think you're
6	one the disposition of land and development	6	assuming we don't. I think we have regular
7	and then the qualifications of the Turn the	7	meetings with Council Offices about what's
8	Key home buyer. So the average of the Turn	8	happening and what the plans are. I think
9	the Key home buyer probably wouldn't change	9	part of it is, you know, how do we, you know,
10	because, you know, that's what we are seeing	10	put together RFPs and then how do we, you
11	in terms of approval.	11	know, post them. I do have regular meetings
12	What you are talking about in the	12	with Council Offices and their different
13	Second District was a conversation and a	13	concerns in different areas as to what could
14	like a distinct and deliberate decision on	14	be built, whether you can get a mortgage.
15	the part of Council President Johnson to	15	I think a lot of people have this
16	actually set the AMI at 80 percent because of	16	idea that you can just develop anywhere where
17	the impact of development in his district.	17	there's vacant land. Well, if you don't have
18	So that's why we have it set at 250 as a	18	comps you can't really do a kind of Turn the
19	maximum.	19	Key Program because you would be under water.
20	So an RFP is different from an	20	And again, I just want to like just so a
21	unsolicited application. So I just want to	21	finer point for this Board to understand, the
22	distinguish that. So as the Land Bank like	22	Land Bank does not provide subsidy to
23	issues RFPs, we do that in conjunction with	23	developers. We discount the land to help
24	the Council office to make sure we are	24	with the pro forma. The Turn the Key dollars
		l.	
-	Page 55	7	Page 57
1	addressing those neighborhood issues. But,	1	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So
2	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited	2	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of
2	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation	2	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we
2 3 4	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says	2 3 4	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner
2 3 4 5	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development	2 3 4 5	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not
2 3 4 5	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at	2 3 4 5	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in
2 3 4 5 6 7	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home	2 3 4 5 6 7	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home	2 3 4 5	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking
2 3 4 5 6 7	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation. MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation. MR. SAUER: Very helpful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation. MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation. MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm not talking necessarily in the Strawberry	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has. What you are seeing here, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation. MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm not talking necessarily in the Strawberry Mansion case here. But in neighbors going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has. What you are seeing here, the benefit of Turn the Key is that imagine a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	addressing those neighborhood issues. But, again, the legislation allows for unsolicited applications and in that way the legislation for disposition is actually higher. It says 120. But if you want to have a development that targets Turn the Key it has to be at 100 percent. Then the reality is the home buyers, which is the actual pool of home buyers that are looking to benefit from that, we are seeing 50 percent. So I know it gets very confusing in terms of the legislative pieces. But I just want to distinguish when we produce an RFP, a lot of room to set levels and be very deliberate. An unsolicited application is reviewed as per the legislation. MR. SAUER: Very helpful clarification. I guess the one thing I would say in response to that is given and I'm not talking necessarily in the Strawberry Mansion case here. But in neighbors going through transition where there's a limited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are mortgage subsidizes to home buyers. So we are not financing or subsidizing any of this development. Our expectation is that we find developers who are willing to partner with us and are very heavily regulated, not easy to deal with, and also you have to be in a market where you can get construction financing, so in which case the banking underwriting criteria does matter, in which case what they look at are what are the comps in the area for sales. So it's not just like okay, if I'm up on Clearfield I can just do that. If the comps aren't there you can't do a project like this. And there are a lot of areas where there hasn't been development that could probably use it but you need to have them subsidized. That is not a tool the Land Bank has. What you are seeing here, the benefit of Turn the Key is that imagine a doughnut and in the doughnut all the market

		_	
	Page 58		Page 60
1	hole. We have the land and we can benefit	1	is we follow the ordinance which has a rule
2	from the comps and we are capping the sales	2	of law. So I appreciate what you are saying
3	price. So it's built-in equity. It allows	3	but that is a legislative change, and until
4	developers to come in and get construction	4	that happens we have to utilize the scoring
5	financing and then provide the home buyer	5	rubric that is part of the disposition.
6	where we are seeing development timelines in	6	CHAIR GOODMAN: We know the
7	less than I think Pastor Allen in the	7	threshold criteria rubric is the rubric. But
8	Third District, they actually built single	8	we also know that within the definition,
9	family homes in three months, which is	9	within the definition of how the code, how
10	ridiculously fast, and we are looking at home	10	the code defines affordable housing for
11	buyers like to move into those properties.	11	noncompetitive disposition there is a range,
			_
12	So we are actually getting product up I would	12	right. And while you cannot control
13	say on average, you know, five months, six	13	applications that are unsolicitedly that's
14	months.	14	not a word but unsolicitedly submitted to
15	And I really would ask this Board	15	the Land Bank you staff does have the
16	to go visit some of these properties because	16	ability to run you know, conduct analyses,
17	they are really well made. And what you're	17	market trends in particular neighborhoods as
18	seeing is this is a perfect partnership where	18	we know, you know, we know they do to see how
19	we are providing land, they have to use the	19	particular proposals line up with
20	private market for financing, and then they	20	affordability and mortgage ability.
21	have to build it because they have the	21	CHAIR GOODMAN: We can do that but
22	development obligation to us but also to the	22	we can't change or operate outside the
23	bank. And they are highly motivated.	23	ordinance.
24	MS. GONZALEZ: So going back to	24	CHAIR GOODMAN: Of course.
1	Page 59	1	Page 61
1 2	the, you know, topic related to the	1	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And
2	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order	2	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that,
2	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households	2	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a
2 3 4	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the	2 3 4	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also
2 3 4 5	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way	2 3 4 5	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying
2 3 4 5 6	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both	2 3 4 5	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower
2 3 4 5 6 7	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So the Council in 2020 legislated the scoring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the Board for consideration as it pertains to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So the Council in 2020 legislated the scoring rubric for solicited and unsolicited. So to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the Board for consideration as it pertains to the law and the ordinance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So the Council in 2020 legislated the scoring rubric for solicited and unsolicited. So to your point, it would require a legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the Board for consideration as it pertains to the law and the ordinance. What you are talking about is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So the Council in 2020 legislated the scoring rubric for solicited and unsolicited. So to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the Board for consideration as it pertains to the law and the ordinance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So the Council in 2020 legislated the scoring rubric for solicited and unsolicited. So to your point, it would require a legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the Board for consideration as it pertains to the law and the ordinance. What you are talking about is a deeper discussion with Council District and with the administration because it's how do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the, you know, topic related to the legislation, I think that, you know, in order to be able to target lower income households we don't even have to, you know, change the legislation. I think that there can be a way where a matrix for evaluating proposals both that are solicited and unsolicited to be able to, you know, evaluate those proposals based on the income targeting and, you know, and being able to come up with a way as you evaluate them, then be able to discount the land at a certain amount based on, you know, on income, you know, targeting. So that can be one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Maria, I just want to clarify for you. The disposition policy and the scoring rubric is legislative. So the Council in 2020 legislated the scoring rubric for solicited and unsolicited. So to your point, it would require a legislative change. A bill would have to be introduced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. GONZALEZ: Of course. And nobody is saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, in order to be able to approve a certain it incentivizes developers to also target some percentage, I'm not saying 100 percent, but some percentage to lower income households and really make it affordable. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The thing that I am trying to tell you, Maria, is that that is a legislative change because MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you're making it seem as if the staff have latitude. We do not. And I just want to be very clear with this Board is that we don't bring anything other than a qualified application to the Board for consideration as it pertains to the law and the ordinance. What you are talking about is a deeper discussion with Council District and

```
Page 62
                                                                                                 Page 64
    happens what we are left with is we have to
                                                          the City, the Redevelopment Authority and
 1
                                                      1
 2
     look at what the ordinance says and what the
                                                          Land Bank. That's what the ordinance covers.
3
     scoring rubric is.
                                                      3
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: I see another
              I also have a different filter is
                                                          question in the Q & A box. Minutes are
 4
                                                      4
    that I want to protect this agency from any
 5
                                                      5
                                                          posted on the Land Bank Board website via
    undue lawsuits which we have been subject to
                                                          phdcphila.org every month. So looking out
 6
     lawsuits about if we do things differently.
                                                      7
                                                          for that, keep a look out for that in a
 7
     So I just want to be really clear that what
                                                          couple weeks.
 8
                                                      8
    you are talking about is a legislative issue.
                                                     9
9
                                                                    Andrea, yes.
              MS. GONZALEZ: I understand. And I
10
                                                     10
                                                                    MS. SAAH: I was just to about to
     think again based to what Andrew just said,
                                                         answer that question as well. In the Q & A
11
                                                     11
12
     there is a range as well and no one is saying
                                                     12
                                                          and -- so the video recording of each session
     to go outside within the legislation.
                                                          is posted within a few days. As soon as we
13
                                                     13
14
              And another thing is yes, the Land
                                                     14
                                                          receive it it's posted on the Board webpage,
     Bank does not subsidize developers but, you
                                                          which is at phdc -- we can put it in the
15
                                                     15
                                                     16
16
    know, there is an economic benefit that they
                                                          chat. And then the other -- the minutes are
     are benefiting from as well because otherwise
                                                          posted as part of next month's Board package,
17
                                                     17
    they wouldn't be doing it either. So I think
                                                          the draft minutes. They are not posted prior
18
                                                     18
     the discount of the land in of itself it is a
19
                                                     19
                                                          to that. But you can always review the Board
20
    benefit, so.
                                                     20
                                                         Meeting recording.
21
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm not disagreeing
                                                     21
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you, Andrea.
22
    with you. But again, we cap or rely on every
                                                     22
                                                                    With that, can I get a motion from
    development at 20 percent overall. And you
                                                          the Board?
23
                                                     23
    have to understand these developers have
                                                     24
24
                                                                    MR. JOHNS: I motion for
                                           Page 63
                                                                                                 Page 65
 1
    to -- the current market for financing and
                                                      1
                                                          conditional approval with the staff making
 2
    construction is 9 1/2 percent, so.
                                                      2
                                                          any corrections to the effections.
3
              MS. GONZALEZ: Duly noted.
                                                      3
                                                                    MS. GONZALEZ: I second.
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: A motion has been
              MR. BALLOON: Can we move to the
                                                      4
 4
                                                          made and properly seconded to approve this
 5
    question?
 6
              CHAIR GOODMAN: So any other -- any
                                                      6
                                                          disposition for single family home ownership
7
    questions or -- any additional questions or
                                                          contingent on, contingent on the terms that
    comments for the Board on this agenda item?
                                                          were discussed in the meeting this morning
                                                      8
8
               (No response.)
                                                          being number of stories, units, square feet,
9
                                                      9
               CHAIR GOODMAN: Any other questions
10
                                                          setbacks and front porches.
                                                     10
11
    or comments from anybody in the viewing
                                                     11
                                                                   All in favor?
12
     audience?
                                                     12
                                                                    (Chorus of ayes.)
13
               I see something popping up. Oh,
                                                     13
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Those opposed have
    it's PHA related, okay. So PHA is not
14
                                                     14
                                                          the right to say nay.
15
    involved in this particular agenda item so it
                                                     15
                                                                    (No response.)
    could be related to a prior agenda item.
                                                                    CHAIR GOODMAN: Hearing none, the
16
                                                     16
17
              MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just to answer that
                                                     17
                                                          ayes have it. Motion carries and the
18
    question, this is not a PHA issue. PHA is
                                                     18
                                                          disposition recommendation is approved.
    not subject to the ordinance or to the
                                                     19
                                                                    With that, looking at our next
19
                                                          agenda item. Because we do have one board
    disposition policy. It is a federally
                                                     20
20
    chartered and, you know, enacted and enabled,
                                                     21
                                                          member who has to recuse herself from this
21
    you know, through the municipality. They are
                                                          proceeding, we will no longer have sufficient
2.3
    not subject to the disposition policy. This
                                                     2.3
                                                         board member representation to conduct
    is strictly an issue with public property in
                                                          business. So for that reason, we will have
```

	Page 66		Page 68
1	to table any review and comments on the 45	1	Butler, not Leslie Fuentes, just for
2	unit single family home ownership application	2	clarification.
3	located in the Seventh Councilmanic District.	3	CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay.
4	I sincerely apologize. I know	4	MR. BUILER: I have a general
5	or my guess is probably the bulk of people in	5	comment. I have a question regarding the
6	the Zoom room are here to testify on this.	6	recusal. Which Board member had to recuse
7	But sadly, we have no, we have no kind of	7	for the prior item?
8	channel or vehicle to operate or conduct any	8	CHAIR GOODMAN: Secretary Maria
9	business on this agenda item or in response	9	Gonzalez. And I believe that letter will be
10	to any comments, so we need to table the	10	posted along with the Board information, you
11	proceedings until we have a sufficient quorum	11	know, along with all the public comment that
12	of Board members.	12	was submitted for this morning's Board
13	We sadly we have multiple	13	meeting on our website.
14	vacancies on the Board that we are actively	14	MR. BUILER: Thanks, Andrew.
15	looking to fill in order to increase our	15	A general comment I have for the
16	membership. But until then, we have to	16	Board is that it's time for the Land Bank
17	operate under this kind of tighter margin of	17	Board to have a community member
18	quorums which the bylaws state that we need,	18	representative of organizations that solicit
19	you know, six active voting Board members in	19	land for nondevelopment use and it would be
20	order to, you know, in order to conduct	20	really great if there was a board member that
21	business on a particular agenda item. So,	21	was a gardener or a community group
22	for that reason, we will not be able to hear	22	represented here today.
23	it and take comments and hopefully be able to	23	Thank you.
24	bring it back at our next meeting which is	24	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. Thank
1	Page 67 May 14 at 10:00 a.m.	1	Page 69 you for being with us this morning.
2	So again, my sincerest apologies.	2	Next I see Mo Rushdy.
3	This is not, you know, this is not how we	3	And I do want to make a point of
4	typically like to do things but sadly, it is		
5		4	information/clarification is that Mo Rushdy
	a reality. And one of our Board members had	5	is now a former member of the Land Bank
6	a kind of, you know, medical	5 6	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following
6 7	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement.	5 6 7	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case
6 7 8	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this	5 6 7 8	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public
6 7 8 9	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah,	5 6 7 8 9	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make
6 7 8 9	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you.	5 6 7 8 9	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification.
6 7 8 9 10	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends	5 6 7 8 9 10	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted,
6 7 8 9 10 11	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month? CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month? CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month? CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes. Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month? CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes. Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and proceed with your comment or question.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh District with similar applications. So is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month? CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes. Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and proceed with your comment or question. MR. BUILER: Hi. Can you hear me?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh District with similar applications. So is there a reason given for that recusal?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a kind of, you know, medical MR. RODRIGUEZ: Prior engagement. CHAIR GOODMAN: issue this morning and is not able to join us. Yeah, thank you. So, with that, I believe that ends the kind of the voting agenda items on today's agenda. So with that, we will move in to old and new business. Does anyone have any items old or new that they wish to approach the Board with this month? CHAIR GOODMAN: Moving in order of hands raised, I see Leslie Fuentes. Ms. Fuentes, please unmute yourself and proceed with your comment or question.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is now a former member of the Land Bank Board. He issued his resignation following the March Board Meeting. So just in case there are any members of the viewing public that did not know that, I just wanted to make that clarification. So Mr. Rushdy, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your questions. MR. RUSHDY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Board members. I have a question on the recusal. Has there been a reason for the recusal, just knowing that the recused or the Board member that recused themselves has voted before on dispositions in the Seventh District with similar applications. So is

		1	
1	Page 70 MS. SAAH: Yes. All I can say is I	1	Page 72 deciding on this particular agenda item.
2	can provide the letter from Ms. Gonzalez that	2	Also, as a member of the Ceiba LEDC
	_	3	Collective there has been some discussions
3	was provided to the Board. She wrote to say		
4	that she is recusing herself because in her	4	with the group and some advocacy that the
5	day-to-day professional capacity as President	5	group has made as it relates to this
6	of HACE she has an interest in and	6	particular agenda item. And just to make
7	association with the Ceiba Latina Equitable	7	sure that there is I'm transparent and
8	Development Collective which HACE is a member	8	clear in making sure that in any way my
9	organization. And as a member of Ceiba,	9	any of my decisions, you know, relates to
10	HACE has advocated for the development of	10	this is not tainted, doesn't taint the
11	affordable housing for the very low income	11	process, I have chosen to recuse myself
12	households in the neighborhoods where the	12	again.
13	property is listed in Item V A-1 are located.	13	CHAIR GOODMAN: And I want to thank
14	And our Conflict of Interest Policy	14	you, Secretary Gonzalez. I want to leave it
15	does require that Board members who have a	15	there. The Board can't kind of adjudicate
16	potential or actual conflict of interest	16	individual recusals or unrecuse someone or
17	disqualify themselves and abstain from	17	anything like that. I mean there are a
18	participating in the discussion or the vote	18	variety of different kind of ethical and Code
19	on such agenda items.	19	of Conduct Guidelines that the Board members
20	MR. RUSHDY: All right. But, I'm	20	have to adhere to. And so in order to kind
21	sorry, but and I guess the question is for	21	of preserve the efficacy of, you know, of the
22	the Board member. The same Board member	22	process and the Board's role in kind of
23	voted on a disposition that has 49 percent	23	conducting business and managing the affairs
24	market rate of over \$600,000 homes and the	24	of the Philadelphia Land Bank we have to be,
	Page 71		Page 73
1	other 51 percent are affordable homes and	1	we have to be thorough in that way.
2	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between	2	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding,
	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's	2	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez.
2 3 4	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm	2 3 4	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is
2	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to	2 3 4 5	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez.
2 3 4	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on	2 3 4 5	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is
2 3 4 5	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted,
2 3 4 5	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the Board member. I mean is there a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need to get some clarity on these on what it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the Board member. I mean is there a specific reason for that specific application their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need to get some clarity on these on what it is. And again, we do have an overlay and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the Board member. I mean is there a specific reason for that specific application their recusal is made versus others?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need to get some clarity on these on what it is. And again, we do have an overlay and we need to really look at whether or not just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the Board member. I mean is there a specific reason for that specific application their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need to get some clarity on these on what it is. And again, we do have an overlay and we need to really look at whether or not just throwing a third floor on to a two-story
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the Board member. I mean is there a specific reason for that specific application their recusal is made versus others?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need to get some clarity on these on what it is. And again, we do have an overlay and we need to really look at whether or not just throwing a third floor on to a two-story block of rowhouses, over 50 something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the Board member. I mean is there a specific reason for that specific application their recusal is made versus others? MS. GONZALEZ: So, Mr. Rushdy, if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need to get some clarity on these on what it is. And again, we do have an overlay and we need to really look at whether or not just throwing a third floor on to a two-story
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other 51 percent are affordable homes and that was a joined venture disposition between APM and Scannapieco. So, again and that's in the same district. So I'm not, again, I'm not following here. And I'm not trying to I'm just I'm not trying to put anyone on the spot here. This is my third time coming to the Land Bank with the same application of which for the same reasons, not enough Board members being there to vote yea or nay and we continue to be in the gray zone and on an application that has been highly politicized. So I need to be very clear and understand exactly where no votes are coming from and where recusals are coming from. So I guess the question is for the Board member. I mean is there a specific reason for that specific application their recusal is made versus others? MS. GONZALEZ: So, Mr. Rushdy, if I may. This is my recusal is consistent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we have to be thorough in that way. But thank you for responding, Secretary Gonzalez. The next hand raise I see is Strawberry Mansion Community. When prompted, please unmute yourself and begin your question or comment. MS. CUMMINGS: A Strawberry Mansion Community concern. I just wanted to state that the community with the North 28 conditional disposition does not have what that development is and we don't really know at this point what your decision even means, conditional, and then you're getting or may have definition of something that was not discussed with our community. So we do need to get some clarity on these on what it is. And again, we do have an overlay and we need to really look at whether or not just throwing a third floor on to a two-story block of rowhouses, over 50 something

		En-	
1	Page 74 And Mr. Goodman did ask I was	1	Page 76 have in here and doesn't help preserve it?
2	trying to raise my hand but I'm not sure if	2	
3		3	So is there any good on those community
1000	it was just overlooked before, you know,	4	meetings they are having? We have over 100 people in the last community meetings. The
5	before you closed out the that portion.		community is opposing the project. What are
1,000,01	So I just want to make sure that we as a	5	
6 7	community are also in the conversation around	7	our resources per legislation or is the
8	what you all believe is the conditional disposition that was just sanctioned.		community meeting just a pro forma action of
9	Thank you.	8	the developer to come and talk, check the box
20 20	1	22	that they spoke to the community and ignore
10	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you,	10	the request of the community?
11	Ms. Cummings. Yeah, so to kind of recap, we	11	CHAIR GOODMAN: Angel, go ahead.
12	were the conditions were the three	12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I just want to
13	stories, the 1,200 unit square footage, the	13	differentiate the process from deliberation
14	third floor setbacks and the front porches on	14	to decision. So I'm not going to speak for
15	all units.	15	the Board.
16	So, I mean, Angel, when all the	16	What happened here today and what's
17	kind of documentation is made consistent, can	17	been happening is that the Board does not
18	that, can that packet just be resent out to	18	have quorum to legally make a decision. So
19	the overlapping RCOs by staff?	19	there has to be a quorum for the Land Bank
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	20	Board to decide. I don't speak for the
21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Nice.	21	Board. All we as staff members do is qualify
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No problem.	22	the applications and ensure the Board that
23	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you very	23	they are what they are reviewing is a
24	much.	24	qualified application. It is the Board's
1	Page 75 The next hand raised I see, forgive	1	Page 77 responsibility to deliberate and to hear the
1 2	Page 75 The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When	1 2	Page 77 responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.
8600	The next hand raised I see, forgive	12520	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the
2	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When	2	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback.
2	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your	2	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and
2 3 4	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment.	2 3 4	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community
2 3 4 5	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is	2 3 4 5	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these
2 3 4 5 6	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle	2 3 4 5 6	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter
2 3 4 5 6 7	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the	2 3 4 5 6 7	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Kiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Kiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Kiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Kiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if thad quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination. Andrea, do you want to add to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination. Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that doesn't serve the well-being and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination. Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly? MS. SAAH: No, you captured it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that doesn't serve the well-being and the affordable housing needs that we need and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination. Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly? MS. SAAH: No, you captured it properly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The next hand raised I see, forgive me if I mispronounce, Xiente RCO. When prompted, please unmute yourself, state your name and begin your question or comment. MS. CARRERA: This is Michelle Carrera from the Xiente RCO. The question is regarding community engagement. We have the project from Mo Rushdy. It's the third time that he that we tried to go to the project but it's a project that have from us community opposition and he keep insisting in applying for delining our in our district. The question is for the Land Bank, because I know Angel will very much site legislation, if you have a project that is opposed by the community, that on repeated occasions we have sent a petition from the community that we oppose the project, what does the community what are the resources for a community when they oppose a project that doesn't serve the well-being and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsibility to deliberate and to hear the community feedback. So the point of the community meeting is so that the community is aware and prepared and that they can come to these meetings and have be heard and be a matter of record. At that time it is up to every Board member to take in that information, all of your feelings about it and deliberate and make a determination yea or nay. What we have right now is this project is in limbo. It is still a qualified application but no determination has been made by the Board. The Board can vote it down if it had quorum. The Board can pass it if it had quorum. The issue here is we don't have quorum. So, therefore, legally the Board cannot make a determination. Andrea, do you want to add to that or did I capture it properly? MS. SAAH: No, you captured it

	04/03		
	Page 78		Page 80
1	public comment on that particular agenda	1	policies of redlining and discrimination and
2	item, there can be no deliberation among the	2	racism has put us in the position, and
3	Board on this particular agenda item.	3	anything that would have us an opportunity to
4	I did hear the question the	4	be at the table, to reconstruct and make
5	question I heard, though, from Xiente is the	5	things right again, anything, any method that
6	community meeting, not this particular	6	holds the process down I'm in favor of.
7	meeting, but what is the purpose of the	7	So if there is a Board member
8	community meeting. And I just want to set	8	that's not eliqible to be able to get the
9	forth that it is not part and I have	9	quorum that you need to do business, I don't
10	explained this before to many other public	10	have any real opposition to that if it halts
11	commenters in writing, that the community	11	the process that the people in my
12	meeting was added to the Land Bank's process.	12	neighborhoods and the African American
13	It requires the developer to reach out to the	13	community have a chance to make to right
14	community through RCOs but also in other ways	14	all the wrongs that's been done.
15	to provide information about the development	15	Thank you.
16	that is being proposed to the community. It	16	CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you for your
17	is not part of the RCO approval process. It	17	comment. Thank you for staying on this
18	does not require RCO approval. It is a way	18	morning's meeting.
19	for RCOs are contacted so that they get	19	I do see a question about a
20	people to come to the meeting and notify the	20	specific application in the Q $\&$ A box.
21	community that is a part of those RCOs about	21	Angel, do you want to follow-up with them
22	the community meeting. But, again, it is not	22	directly?
23	a legislative requirement for developers to	23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That needs to
24	have this meeting. This was added by the	24	happen off the record.
190	Page 79	-	Page 81
1	Board in response to communities stating that	1	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay.
2	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about	2	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank
2	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's	2	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you.
2 3 4	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting.	2 3 4	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other
2 3 4 5	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you.	2 3 4 5	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny
2 3 4 5 6	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute
2 3 4 5 6 7	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment.
2 3 4 5 6	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters,	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either. So, with that, that concludes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either. So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either. So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting. Can I get a motion to adjourn?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment. MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either. So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting. Can I get a motion to adjourn? MR. SAUER: So moved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment. MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be brief. I just want to the state that I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either. So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting. Can I get a motion to adjourn? MR. SAUER: So moved. MS. GONZALEZ: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment. MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be brief. I just want to the state that I am not really familiar with black and brown	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either. So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting. Can I get a motion to adjourn? MR. SAUER: So moved. MS. GONZALEZ: Second. CHAIR GOODMAN: Motion has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Board in response to communities stating that they didn't have enough information about proposed projects prior to the Board's meeting. Thank you. CHAIR GOODMAN: Thank you. And I will certainly say as an individual Board member at least that the, you know, the comments, feedbacks, letters, all the variety of different methods that we receive in advance of agenda items from the members of the community are certainly genuinely considered by Board members and myself, one of them. Next we have Calvin Williams. Mr. Williams, when prompted, please unmute yourself and ask your question or make your comment. MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I will be brief. I just want to the state that I am not really familiar with black and brown communities but I am familiar with African	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIR GOODMAN: Yeah, yeah. Okay. But you will reach out to them? Nice. Thank you. Okay. All right. Seeing no other old or new business one more. Manny Delgado. When prompted, please unmute yourself and state your question or comment. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Hello? Please unmute if you can. I can't hear you if you're trying to speak. (No response.) CHAIR GOODMAN: Okay. Maybe that was in error. Okay. I don't see anything in the Q & A box either. So, with that, that concludes public comment for this month's meeting. Can I get a motion to adjourn? MR. SAUER: So moved. MS. GONZALEZ: Second. CHAIR GOODMAN: Motion has been made and properly seconded.

```
Page 82
             CHAIR GOODMAN: Any opposed?
2
              (No response.)
             CHAIR GOODMAN: The ayes have it..
    Motion carried. Meeting is adjourned.
            The next Land Bank meeting is
6
    May 14.
7
             Thank you, everypody, and we will
8
    see you next month.
           MS. LOPEZ KRISS: Thank you.
10
           MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                                                  Page 83
           CERTIFICATION
2
3
4
5
           I hereby certify that the
6 testimony and the proceedings in the
7 aforegoing matter are contained fully and
    accurately in the stenographic notes taken
9
    by me, and that the copy is a true and
10 correct transcript of the same
11
                 Professional Court Reporter
13
14
           The foregoing certification does
15
16 not apply to any reproduction of the same by
17 any means unless under the direct control
18 and/or supervision of the certifying
    shorthand reporter.
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Exhibit B

RESOLUTION NO. 2024-8

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF 6657-59 BLAKEMORE STREET; 50, 56, 60-74, 101 COLLOM STREET; 67, 83, 85, 87 CHURCH LANE; 45, 47, 51 EAST GARFIELD STREET; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513, 5515 LENA STREET; 4949, 4951, 6526-34 GERMANTOWN AVENUE; 117 MANHEIM STREET; 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 63 EAST WISTER STREET TO PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, Section 16-707 of the Philadelphia Code authorizes the Philadelphia Land Bank (the "Land Bank") to convey, exchange, sell, transfer, lease, grant or mortgage interests in real property of the Land Bank in the form and by the method determined to be in the best interests of the Land Bank, subject to the terms and conditions of Chapter 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors (the "Board") has determined that it is in the best interests of the Land Bank to convey 6657-59 Blakemore Street; 50, 56, 60-74 and 101 Collom Street; 67, 83, 85 and 87 Church Lane; 45, 47 and 51 East Garfield Street; 5417, 5423-27, 5429-43, 5513 and 5515 Lena Street; 4949, 4951 and 6526-34 Germantown Avenue; 117 Manheim Street; and 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46 and 63 East Wister Street (the "Property") to the Philadelphia Housing Authority (the "PHA") for disposition, reuse and/or management as determined by the PHA.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank that:

- 1. The conveyance of the Property to the PHA for One and 00/100 U.S. Dollar (\$1.00) is in the best interests of the Land Bank and is hereby approved.
- 2. The conveyance of the Property complies with all applicable terms and conditions of Section 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.
- 3. Subject to the terms of this Resolution, the Executive Director and Senior Counsel are each hereby authorized, in the name of and on behalf of the Land Bank, to prepare, execute, deliver, and perform any and all agreements, deeds, and other documents, as may be necessary or desirable, to consummate the conveyance of the Property (collectively, the "Transaction Documents") and, from time to time and at any time, amend, supplement, and modify the Transaction Documents, or any of them, as may be necessary or desirable. The Transaction Documents and any amendments, supplements, and modifications thereto shall contain such terms and conditions as the Executive Director and Senior Counsel shall deem necessary or appropriate subject to the terms of this Resolution, and, when so executed and delivered by the Land Bank shall constitute the valid and binding obligations of the Land Bank.
- 4. The Executive Director with the advice of Senior Counsel may modify this Resolution as may be necessary or desirable to carry out its purposes and intents. The Executive Director or Senior Counsel will notify the Board of all modifications to this Resolution at the next Board meeting following the date of such modifications.
- 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption by the Board.

Adopted by Philadelphia Land Bank Board of Directors on April 9, 2024.

Exhibit C

RESOLUTION NO. 2024-9

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF 4310R HAVERFORD AVENUE AND 4419R MARKET STREET TO PHILADELPHIA HOUSING AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, Section 16-707 of the Philadelphia Code authorizes the Philadelphia Land Bank (the "Land Bank") to convey, exchange, sell, transfer, lease, grant or mortgage interests in real property of the Land Bank in the form and by the method determined to be in the best interests of the Land Bank, subject to the terms and conditions of Chapter 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors (the "**Board**") has determined that it is in the best interests of the Land Bank to convey 4310R Haverford Avenue and 4419R Market Street (the "**Property**") to the Philadelphia Housing Authority (the "**PHA**") for disposition, reuse and/or management as determined by the PHA.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank that:

- 1. The conveyance of the Property to the PHA for One and 00/100 U.S. Dollar (\$1.00) is in the best interests of the Land Bank and is hereby approved.
- 2. The conveyance of the Property complies with all applicable terms and conditions of Section 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.
- 3. Subject to the terms of this Resolution, the Executive Director and Senior Counsel are each hereby authorized, in the name of and on behalf of the Land Bank, to prepare, execute, deliver, and perform any and all agreements, deeds, and other documents, as may be necessary or desirable, to consummate the conveyance of the Property (collectively, the "Transaction Documents") and, from time to time and at any time, amend, supplement, and modify the Transaction Documents, or any of them, as may be necessary or desirable. The Transaction Documents and any amendments, supplements, and modifications thereto shall contain such terms and conditions as the Executive Director and Senior Counsel shall deem necessary or appropriate subject to the terms of this Resolution, and, when so executed and delivered by the Land Bank shall constitute the valid and binding obligations of the Land Bank.
- 4. The Executive Director with the advice of Senior Counsel may modify this Resolution as may be necessary or desirable to carry out its purposes and intents. The Executive Director or Senior Counsel will notify the Board of all modifications to this Resolution at the next Board meeting following the date of such modifications.
- 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption by the Board.

Adopted by Philadelphia Land Bank Board of Directors on April 9, 2024.



Date: April 8, 2024

Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street, 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

RE: Support Letter | Soar City Development

I Kevin Williams, CEO, Black Squirrel Collaborative, am writing the Land Bank to support Soar City Development's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly RiSE". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- · Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and
- Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real estate ecosystem.

I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City Development because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

Respectfully,

Kevin Williams

CEO

Black Squirrel Collaborative

() 267.223.7803

info@blacksquirrel.co

few Williams

() 3401 Market St., 2nd Floor, Philadelphia

www.blacksquirrel.co



April 6, 2024

Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street, 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

RE: Support Letter | Soar City Development Co.

I, David Langlieb, am writing the Land Bank in support of Soar City Development's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly RiSE". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- · Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and

French

Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real
estate ecosystem.

I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City Development Company because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

Respectfully,

David Langlieb



RE: Soar City Property Request Support Letter

am writing the Land Bank in support of Soar City's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly Rise". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- · Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- · An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- · Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and
- Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real
 estate ecosystem.

Mr. Campbell is building five affordable housing units:

- 2502 N. 28th Street
- 2503 N. 28th Street
- 2542 N. 28th Street
- 2552 N. 28th Street
- 2559 N. 28th Street



I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

All the best,

Christopher Pitt	
	Date: 12/11/23



1818 Market Street Suite 3300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-845-8997 philadelphia@nil.org philadelphia.uli.org

Date: April 8, 2024

Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street, 17th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

RE: Support Letter | Soar City Development

I, Kevin Moran on behalf of ULI Philadelphia, am writing the Land Bank to support Soar City Development's application to acquire five residential lots from the City of Philadelphia. The owner Ibraheim Campbell has been a participant in the Black Squirrel Collaborative initiative "Philly RiSE". Philly Rise is a real estate accelerator for Black and Brown developers in Philadelphia. Philly Rise is the first program designed to leverage the talent of experienced diverse developers to create a more equitable real estate ecosystem within communities. Philly RiSE provides training, networking, and access to property and capital to increase the number of homes built by diverse developers, contractors, and workforce.

Black Squirrel has created Philly RiSE to connect underserved communities and minority developers to the resources and assistance needed to build a sustainable and thriving community ecosystem. Utilizing Black Squirrel as the nexus point for multi-site development across the city, a team of project managers, CDFIs, certified trainers, and seasoned real estate developers as coaches and mentors will provide a high-touch systematic approach to:

- Increase the number of minority homeowners allowing them to build generational wealth
- Increase the capacity of minority developers, thereby balancing the inequity in real estate development in Philadelphia
- . Increase the capacity of Black and Brown CDFIs to meet the demand for needed capital
- An increase in the number of properties built by diverse developers
- · Reduce blight, and improve neighborhood beautification, and
- Create entrepreneurial opportunities, which drive the income needed to build an equitable real estate ecosystem.

I recommend the approval of the transfer of property to Soar City Development because it will help improve five vacant lots in Philadelphia, create new affordable housing, and help Black developers, contractors, and other real estate developers help make the current real estate ecosystem more equitable.

Respectfully,

Kevin Moran Executive Director ULI Philadelphia

Exhibit E

RESOLUTION NO. 2024-10

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE OF 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 AND 2559 NORTH 28TH STREET TO SOAR CITY DEVELOPMENT CO.

WHEREAS, Section 16-707 of the Philadelphia Code authorizes the Philadelphia Land Bank (the "Land Bank") to convey, exchange, sell, transfer, lease, grant or mortgage interests in real property of the Land Bank in the form and by the method determined to be in the best interests of the Land Bank, subject to approval by resolution of Philadelphia City Council, and subject further to the terms and conditions of Chapter 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code.

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors (the "Board") has determined that it is in the best interests of the Land Bank to convey 2502, 2503, 2542, 2552 and 2559 North 28th Street (collectively, the "Property") to Soar City Development Co., a Pennsylvania corporation (the "Purchaser").

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Philadelphia Land Bank that:

- 1. The conveyance of the Property to the Purchaser for Five Thousand and 00/100 U.S. Dollars (\$5,000.00) is in the best interests of the Land Bank and is hereby approved, subject to approval by resolution of Philadelphia City Council.
- 2. The conveyance of the Property complies with all applicable terms and conditions of Section 16-404 of the Philadelphia Code, subject to approval by resolution of Philadelphia City Council.
- 3. Subject to the terms of this Resolution, the Executive Director and Senior Counsel are each hereby authorized, in the name of and on behalf of the Land Bank, to prepare, execute, deliver, and perform any and all agreements, deeds, and other documents, as may be necessary or desirable, to consummate the conveyance of the Property (collectively, the "Transaction Documents") and, from time to time and at any time, amend, supplement, and modify the Transaction Documents, or any of them, as may be necessary or desirable. The Transaction Documents and any amendments, supplements, and modifications thereto shall contain such terms and conditions as the Executive Director and Senior Counsel shall deem necessary or appropriate subject to the terms of this Resolution, and, when so executed and delivered by the Land Bank shall constitute the valid and binding obligations of the Land Bank.
- 4. The Executive Director with the advice of Senior Counsel may modify this Resolution as may be necessary or desirable to carry out its purposes and intents. The Executive Director or Senior Counsel will notify the Board of all modifications to this Resolution at the next Board meeting following the date of such modifications.
- 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption by the Board.

Adopted by Philadelphia Land Bank Board of Directors on April 9, 2024.

Exhibit F



April 8, 2024

Andrew Goodman Interim Board Chair Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street. 16" Floor Philadelphia. PA 19107

General Counsel City of Philadelphia Board of Ethics One Parkway Building 1515 Arch Street, 18th Floor Philadelphia. PA 19102-1504 Angel Rodriguez Executive Director Philadelphia Land Bank 1234 Market Street. 16'11Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

James Leonard. Esquire Commissioner Department of Records Room 111, City Hall Philadelphia, PA 19107

Re. Conflict Disclosure

Letter Ladies & Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Land Bank's Public Disclosure and Disqualification Procedure for Conflict Issues, I write to disclose my interest in and therefore why I will abstain from participating in section 5A.1 of the April 2024 Land Bank Board meeting agenda.

The Land Bank Board will discuss Property Dispositions in agenda section 5A.1 at its meeting to be held on Tuesday, April 9, 2024. In my day-to-day professional capacity as President of HACE, I write to disclose my interest in and association with the CEIBA Latino Equitable Development Collective, of which HACE is a member organization. As a member of CEIBA, HACE advocates for the development of affordable housing for very low-income households in the neighborhoods where the properties listed in item 5A.1 are located.

Due to this conflict of interest, I must disqualify myself and abstain from using the authority of my membership on the Land Bank Board to participate in Board discussions or official Board action related to agenda items 5A.1 at the April 9, 2024 Board meeting. I must remove myself from the opportunity to influence in any manner the I and Bank's actions related to these mailers, including leaving the meeting while the Executive Director consults with Land Bank Board members and while the Land Bank Board otherwise considers and votes on the matters. For the record, I have not attended any of the Board's Policy Committee or Executive Committee meetings at which these matters were discussed.

Exhibit F

This letter will also confirm that I will abide by the Land Bank's Public Disclosure and Disqualification Procedure for Conflict Issues and will not take official action in any future Land Bank deliberation or official action involving the Property Disposition in agenda section 5A.1 while the above-described conflict exists. Please contact me if you require further clarification.

Sincerely,

Maria N. Gonzalez

President

